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# DECEMBER EMPLOYMENT SITUATION 

FRIDAY, JANUARY 4, 1991

U.S. Congress, Joint Economic Committee, Washington, DC.

The committee met at 9:40 a.m., pursuant to notice, in room 628 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building, the Hon. Paul S. Sarbanes (chairman of the committee), presiding.

Present: Senators Sarbanes and Symms.
Also Present: William Buechner, Steve Quick, Jim Klumpner, Joe Cobb and Chris Frenze, professional staff members.

## OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR SARBANES, CHAIRMAN

Senator Sarbanes. The committee will come to order. The Joint Economic Committee is very pleased this morning to again welcome Commissioner Janet Norwood of the Bureau of Labor Statistics for her first appearance before the committee in 1991. Commissioner Norwood and her colleagues are here this morning to testify on the employment and unemployment situation for last month.

Employment data provide a prime indicator of the overall health of the economy, and these data have been sending out some very disturbing signals in recent months. The rising unemployment rate has already convinced many economists that the country has moved into a recession. Some have asserted what they perceive to be a gradual pace at which unemployment has increased has led forecasters to anticipate a short and shallow recession.

There are unfortunately some early warning signs in the employment data which suggest that the recession may be neither shallow nor short. The number of people employed has been dropping swiftly for several months, a pattern which is consistent with the onset of serious recessions in the past.

Since May of last year, civilian employment has decreased by more than 1.1 million jobs, over a million job decrease in civilian employment, a pace of job loss greater than that experienced in the first 5 months of the deep recessions of 1981-82 and 1974-75. The 1981-82 recession was, in fact, the worst we had experienced since the Great Depression. The loss in jobs in this period since May has been greater than experienced during the first 5 months of that recession. Aggregate hours worked also have declined at a rate which suggests a deeper drop in GNP during the fourth quarter than the consensus forecast.
Other data point in the same direction. Industrial production declined 2.7 percent between August and November, an annual rate
of more than 10 percent, and the leading indicators have declined for 5 months in a row.
It's difficult to judge whether the worst is yet to come, but we do have ample evidence that the current recession has already created the kind of economic distress associated with serious past recessions.

If this recession should turn out to be no worse than the average post-war recession, the number of people with jobs will fall by about 2 percent or $21 / 2$ million. Millions of job losers will turn for help to an unemployment insurance system which may not be adequate to meet their needs.

As the nation moves into recession, the members of the Joint Economic Committee are concerned about whether the unemployment insurance system will provide an adequate safety net for those who lose their jobs. Therefore, this morning, following the testimony of the Commissioner and her colleagues on the employment and unemployment figures, the committee will hold a second hearing immediately upon the conclusion of this one to examine the condition of the unemployment insurance system, which is our basic safety net for unemployed workers.
We have a panel of distinguished experts who will testify at that hearing.

Commissioner, we welcome you, and we look forward to hearing your testimony.

## STATEMENT OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD, COMMISSIONER, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, ACCOMPANIED BY KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS; AND THOMAS J. PLEWES, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS

Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. We appreciate the opportunity to be here to add a few comments to our news release.

It's a special pleasure to be here this morning, Senator Sarbanes, as you once again take over the chairmanship of this committee.

The nation's job market continued to weaken in December, as the unemployment rate rose and payroll employment declined. The civilian unemployment rate increased two-tenths of a percentage point to 6.1 percent, the second month in a row with an increase of that magnitude. The jobless rate has risen eight-tenths of a percentage point in the 6 months since June.

Payroll employment, as measured in our business survey, fell by about 75,000 , following 2 months of very large job losses. Since September, we have lost half a million payroll jobs.

The December job losses were again widespread. The BLS diffusion index of employment has remained under 50 percent since August, showing that more industries lost jobs than gained them.

Construction employment continued to slide, with a loss of about 30,000 jobs beyond what we might get for purely seasonal reasons. The cutbacks since spring are now approaching 300,000 , more than 5 percent of the industry's employment.

Reflecting this trend, the number of unemployed construction workers continued to rise. Nearly 900,000 of these workers are now unemployed, representing 14 percent of the construction workforce. That jobless rate is up from 9.6 percent a year ago.

Employment in the nation's factories fell by 35,000 in December. We lost 200,000 factory jobs in November and a combined 180,000 jobs in the prior 3 months. Although automobile manufacturing employment was up 20,000 over the month, the change reflected the reopening of temporarily closed plants rather than the new hiring of workers.

The December recalls recouped less than half of the prior month's losses, however, and auto employment was still down 55,000 from last June.

In the service-producing sector, health services again continues to be the most dependable job creator. This industry added another 55,000 jobs in December.

I might note that despite the overall economic weakness in much of 1990, a few industries affected by the changing age structure of the population have continued to expand at a brisk pace. Health services has added 600,000 jobs over the year, education nearly 300,000 , and assisted living facilities and child-care facilities about 50,000 each.

By contrast, business services is clearly feeling the effects of widespread economic weakness. It lost 17,000 jobs in December, and its employment level has dropped by 40,000 over the last 3 months. The holiday hiring in retail trade continued to reflect shaky conditions with a seasonally adjusted decline of nearly 50,000 jobs in December.

A puzzling development in December was the increase in the average workweek, two-tenths of an hour overall and three-tenths in manufacturing. In view of the widespread job losses and the hours declines of recent months, it's difficult to interpret these changes.

The aggregate hours series, which are more comprehensive because they reflect both employment and the workweek, while up in December remained below their September level.

The employment count from the household survey was up slightly after a large loss in November, but at 117.6 million, civilian employment was still 300,000 below September's level.

As I mentioned at the outset of my comments, the two-tenths of a percentage point rise in unemployment in December left the jobless rate eight-tenths of a point higher than the revised June figure. The impact of higher unemployment has been remarkably even.

Virtually every major demographic group, whether defined by age, by gender, or by race, has seen a rise in joblessness over the June to December period. For example, the jobless rate for adult men has risen by nine-tenths of a percentage point since June, and the rate for women was up by seven-tenths of a point. The unemployment rate for teenagers has risen by about 2 percentage points during the same time span.

The data suggest that teenagers have become less likely to take part in the labor force at all, an option not often possible for adult workers. The participation rate for teenagers has been declining since early spring. This may be the result of a softening in demand
in retail trade and in some of the other industries that employ young workers.
Two other labor market measures tend to move in tandem with unemployment, discouraged workers and part time for economic reasons. Both have risen in recent months.
The number of discouraged workers is now 940,000 , the highest in 2 years. The number of persons working part time for economic reasons has now reached 5.6 million. This group has increased 560,000 since June.

In summary, the job market continued to deteriorate in December. Unemployment rose another two-tenths of a point. Seventyfive thousand payroll jobs were lost, following 2 months of even larger losses. The employment weakness was broadbased, affecting most sectors of the economy.

Mr. Senator, we would be glad to try to answer any questions you have.
[The table attached to Mrs. Norwood's statement, together with the Employment Situation press release, follows:]

Unemployment rates of all civilian workers by alternative seasonal adjustment methods

| Month and year | Unadjusted rate | X-11 ARIMA method |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { X-11 method } \\ \text { (official } \\ \text { method } \\ \text { before } 1980 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Official procedure | Concurrent (as first computed) | Concurrent (revised) | Stable | Total | Residual |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January...... | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | - |
| February.... | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| March....... | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | . 1 |
| April........ | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| May.......... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| June......... | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | -1 |
| July.......... | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | . 1 |
| August....... | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | - |
| September... | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | - |
| October...... | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | - |
| November..... | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | . 1 |
| December..... | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | - |

## SOURCE: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Bureau of Labor Statistics January 1991

(1) Unadjusted rate. Unemployment rate for all civilian workers, not seasonally adjusted.
(2) Official procedure (X-11 ARIMA method). The published seasonally adjusted rate for all civilian workers. Each of the 3 major civilian labor force componentsagricultural employment, nonagricultural employment and unemployment-for 4 age-sex groups-males and females, ages 16-19 and 20 years and over-are seasonally adjusted independently using data from January 1974 forward. The date series for each of these 12 components are extended by a year at each end of the original series using ARIMA (Auto-Regressive, Integrated, Moving Average) models chosen specifically for each series. Each extended series is then seasonally adjusted with the $\mathrm{X}-11$ portion of the X-11 ARIMA program. The 4 teenage unemployment and nonagricultural employment components are adjusted with the additive adjustment model, while the other components are adjusted with the multiplicative model. The unemployment rate is computed by summing the 4 seasonally adjusted unemployment components and calculating that total as a percent of the civilian labor force total derived by summing all 12 seasonally adjusted components. All the seasonally adjusted series are revised at the end of each year. Extrapolated factors for JanuaryJune are computed at the beginning of each year; extrapolated factors, for JulyDecember are computed in the middle of the year after the June data become available. Each set of 6-month factors are published in advance, in the January and July issues, respectively, of Employment and Earnings.
(3) Concurrent (as first computed, X-11 ARIMA method). The official procedure for computation of the rate for all civilian workers using the 12 components is followed except that extrapolated factors are not used at all. Each component is seasonally adjusted with the X-11 ARIMA program each month as the most recent data become available. Rates for each month of the current year are shown as first computed; they are revised only once each year, at the end of the year when data for the full year become available. For example, the rate for January 1984 would be based, during 1984, on the adjustment of data from the period January 1974 through January 1984.
(4) Concurrent (revised, X-11 ARIMA method). The procedure used is identical to (3) above, and the rate for the current month (the last month displayed) will always be the same in the two columns. However, all previous months are subject to revision each month based on the seasonal adjustment of all the components with data through the current month.
(5) Stable (X-11 ARIMA method). Each of the 12 civilian labor force components is extended using ARIMA models as in the official procedure and then run through the $\mathrm{X}-11$ part of the program using the stable option. This option assumes that seasonal patterns are basically constant from year-to-year and computes final seasonal factors as unweighted averages of all the seasonal-irregular components for each month across the entire span of the period adjusted. As in the official procedure, factors are extrapolated in 6 -month intervals and the series are revised at the end of each year. The procedure for computation of the rate from the seasonally adjusted components is also identical to the official procedure.
(6) Total ( $X$-11 ARIMA method). This is one alternative aggregation procedure, in which total unemployment and civilian labor force levels are extended with ARIMA models and directly adjusted with multiplicative adjustment models in the X-11 part of the program. The rate is computed by taking seasonally adjusted total unemployment as a percent of seasonally adjusted total civilian labor force. Factors are extrapolated in 6 -month intervals and the series revised at the end of each year.
(7) Residual (X-11 ARIMA method). This is another alternative aggregation method, in which total civilian employment and civilian labor force levels are extended using ARIMA models and then directly adjusted with multiplicative adjustment models. The seasonally adjusted unemployment level is derived by subtracting seasonally adjusted employment from seasonally adjusted labor force. The rate is then computed by taking the derived unemployment level as a percent of the labor force level. Factors are extrapolated in 6-month intervals and the series revised at the end of each year.
(8) 12-month extrapolation (X-11 ARIMA method). This approach is the same as the official procedure except that the factors are extrapolated in 12 -month intervals. The factors for January-December of the current year are computed at the beginning of the year based on data through the preceding year. The values for January through June of the current year are the same as the official values since they reflect the same factors.
(9) X-11 method (official method before 1980). The method for computation of the official procedure is used except that the series are not extended with ARIMA
models and the factors are projected in 12 -month intervals. The standard X-11 program is used to perform the seasonal adjustment.

Methods of Adjustment: The X-11 ARIMA method was developed at Statistics Canada by the Seasonal Adjustment and Times Series Staff under the direction of Estela Bee Dagum. The method is described in The X-11 ARIMA Seasonal Adjustment Method, by Estela Bee Dagum, Statistics Canada Catalogue No. 12-564E, February 1980.

The standard X-11 method is described in X-11 Variant of the Censes Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program, by Julius Shiskin, Allan Young and John Musgrave (Technical Paper No. 15, Bureau of the Census, 1967).

# The Four Most Recent Recessions 

|  | Percent Change, Annual Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Recession $\qquad$ | Index of Private, Nonfarm Aggregate Hours | Real GNP |
| 1970 I | -2.10 | -2.44 |
| 1974 I | -0.71 | -2.21 |
| 1980 II | -8.40 | -9.12 |
| 1981 IV | -3.67 | -5.47 |
| 1990 IV | -4.20 | ------ |

Technical information: (202) 523-1371
523-1944
523-1959
523-1913
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## THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION: DECEMBER 1990

The nation's employment situation deteriorated further in December, as the civilian worker unemployment rate rose from 5.9 to 6.1 percent, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. Nonfarm payroll employment declined, though not as much as in the prior 2 months. Total civilian employment, which has also fallen substantially in recent months, was little changed in December.

Unemployment (Household Survey Data)
The number of unemployed persons rose by 260,000 to 7.6 million in December, and the civilian worker unemployment rate rose to 6.1 percent. Since June, the jobless count has risen by 1 million and the jobless rate has increased by eight-tenths of a percentage point, reaching its highest level since June 1987.

Jobless rates for most major worker groups continued their upward trend in December. Specifically, the rates rose to 5.6 percent for adult men, 5.3 percent for adult women, 5.3 percent for whites, and 9.3 percent for Hispanics. The rate for teenagers ( 16.6 percent) was little changed and that for blacks ( 12.2 percent) was unchanged over the month, but, as with the rates for the other groups, both have trended upward since mid1990. (See tables A-2 and A-3.)

Persons reentering the labor force accounted for most of the increased unemployment in December. The number of unemployed who lost their last jobs was about unchanged but, at 3.8 million, was 600,000 higher than in June. The number of persons working part time for economic reasons-sometimes referred to as the partially unemployed-edged up by 150,000 to 5.6 million in December and has risen by 560,000 since June. (See tables A-8 and A-4.)

[^0]Table A. Major indicators of labor market activity, seasonally adjusted


## Civilian Employment and the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)

Following declines in October and November, total civilian employment was little changed in December at 117.6 million . Since June, the number of employed persons has fallen by nearly 700,000 (some of which stenmed from cutbacks in employment of temporary census workers). At 62.3 percent in Decenber, the percentage of the population that is enployed (the employment-population ratio) was six-tenths of a percentage point lower than in June. (See table A-2.)

The civilian labor force showed an increase of 450,000 in December, reaching 125.2 million. Over the past year, however, the labor force has risen by only 630,000. The civilian labor force participation rate edged back up to 66.3 percent in Decenber, following steady declines during most of the second half of the year. (See table A-2.)

Discouraged Workers (Household Survey Data)
The number of discouraged workers--persons who want to work but are not looking for jobs because they believe they could not find any-increased by 110,000 in the fourth quarter of 1990 to a seasonally adjusted level of 940,000 . This was the highest level since the first quarter of 1988. Women accounted for all of the increase in discouragement in the fourth quarter. (See table A-14.)

## Industry Payroll Employment (Establishment Survey Data)

Nonfarm payroll employment decreased by 75,000 in December to 110.1 million. While mach smaller than in the prior 2 months, job losses were still widespread. The largest declines occurred in retail trade, manufacturing, and construction. (See table B-1.)

Following extremely large cutbacks in November, manufacturing employment declined by 35,000 in December. Most manufacturing industries showed only small declines, but noteworthy losses occurred in industrial machinery as well as in several construction and auto-related industries-lumber; stone, clay, and glass; fabricated metals; and rubber and plastics. Employment in motor vehicles and equipment was up by 20,000 in December, reflecting the return of some auto workers from temporary layoffs; still, auto employment was about 55,000 lower than in mid-1990.

Employment in construction (seasonally adjusted) was down by 30,000 in Decenber, following substantially larger losses in the prior 2 months. Since May, construction declines have totaled 290,000. Mining had a small job gain in December, mostly in oil and gas extraction.

In the service-producing sector, retail trade lost 50,000 jobs in December, after seasonal adjustment, with half of the decline in general merchandise (department and variety) stores. In part because employers did not staff up as much as they usually do for the Christmas buying period, retail employment fell by 150,000 over the past 3 months. Wholesale trade had its fourth straight monthly employment decrease in December; employment in this industry is down 55,000 since June.

In the services industry, health services added 55,000 jobs in December and has increased its employment at about that pace throughout the year. In contrast, employment in business services declined for the third straight month and has lost 40,000 jobs since September.

Weekly Hours (Establishment Survey Data)
The average workweek for production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls rose by 0.2 hour in December to 34.6 hours, seasonally adjusted, bringing the average workweek close to the September level. The manufacturing workweek increased 0.3 hour to 40.8 hours, seasonally adjusted, and factory overtime rose by 0.2 hour to 3.7 hours. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers increased by 0.6 percent to $1-24.2$ (1982=100) in December, seasonally adjusted. The index for manufacturing rose by 0.7 percent to 104.2, seasonally adjusted. Over the year, however, the manufacturing index was down by 3.3 percent, reflecting the declines in employment. (See table B-5.)

## Hourly and Weekly Earnings (Establishment Survey Data)

Average hourly earnings of private production or nonsupervisory workers rose by 0.6 percent on a seasonally adjusted basis to $\$ 10.20$ in December. Average weekly earnings rose by 1.2 percent, seasonally adjusted, to $\$ 352.92$. Prior to seasonal adjustment, average hourly earnings were up 4 cents and average weekly earnings increased by $\$ 6.48$. over the past year, average hourly earnings increased by 3.8 percent and average weekly earnings by 4.4 percent. (See tables B-3 and B-4.)

The Employment Situation for January 1991 will be released on Friday, February 1, at 8:30 A.M. (EST). Release dates for the balance of 1991 are as follows:

| March 8 | Aug. 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| April 5 | Sept. 6 |
| May 3 | Oct. 4 |
| June 7 | Nov. 1 |
| July 5 | Dec. 6 |

## Changes in Data Presentation

Beginning with next month's issue, this release will include new seasonally adjusted data for broad occupational groupings. These data will be incorporated into existing tables A-4 and A-6 and will replace not. seasonally adjusted data in table A-11, which will be discontinued. Table A-10 (data for "black and other" workers) also will be discontinued. The unadjusted occupational data and the "black and other" data series will continue to be published in Employment and Earnings and also will be available upon request. Data for specific race and ethnic groups (black, white, and Hispanic) will continue to appear in table A-3 of this release.

## Revision of Seasonally Adjusted Household Survey Data

At the end of each calendar year, BLS routinely updates the seasonal adjustment factors for labor force series derived from the Current Population Survey (household survey) to incorporate the experience of that year. As a result, seasonally adjusted data for the most recent 5 years are subject to revision. (Seasonally adjusted establishment data are revised later in the year, concurrently with the introduction of annual benchmark adjustments.)

Table B sumarizes the effects of the revisions on the overall and civilian worker unemployment rates in 1990. Table C presents revised seasonally adjusted data for major civilian labor force series for December 1989 through December 1990.

The January 1991 issue of Employment and Earnings will contain the new seasonal adjustment factors that will be used to calculate the civilian labor force and other major series for January-June of 1991. The publication will also contain a description of the current seasonal adjustment methodology and revised data for the most recent 13 months or calendar quarters for all regularly published tables containing seasonally adjusted household survey data. Revised monthly data for the 1986-90 revision period for nearly 450 labor force series will be published in the February 1991 issue. Microcomputer diskettes of historical seasonally adjusted data (monthly and quarterly) may be purchased from the Bureau (contact Gloria P. Green on 202--523-1959).

Table B. Seasonally adjusted unemployment rates in 1990 and change due to revision


[^1]hOUSEHOLD DATA
Table C. Employment status of the civilian noninstitutional population by sex and age, seasonally adjusted
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment status, sex, and age | $1989$ <br> Dec. | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| TOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population' | 187,165 | 187,293 | 187,412 | 187.529 | 187.669 | 187.828 | 187.977 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 188,136 \\ & 124,709 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 188,261 | 188,401,188,525 |  | ¢ 88,697$124.723188,866$125,174 |  |
| Civilian labor force ..................... | $\begin{array}{r}124,542 \\ 66.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 124.489 <br> 66.5 | 124.653 |  | $\text { 124,781} \begin{array}{r} 66.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124.939 \\ \quad 66.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,797 \\ 66.4 \end{array}$ |  | 124,705 | 124.970 | 124.875 |  |  |
| Percent of popuiat |  |  | [66.5 | 124.5 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 124,709 \\ 65.3 \end{array}$ |  | 117.883 ${ }^{66.3}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 66.2 \\ 117,733 \end{array}\right\}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 66.3 \\ 197.574 \end{array}$ |
| Employed ......... | 117.957 | 17.945 | 118,074 | 118,235 | 118,090 | 118,277 | 118,237 | 117,882 | 117,690 |  |  |  |  |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 62.9 | 63.0 | 62.9 |  | 62.5 | 62.6 | 62.4 | 62.2 | 62.3 |
| Unemployed | $\begin{array}{r} 6,585 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,544 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.579 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | 6.5635.3 | 6,691 | 6,662 | 6,560 | 6.827 | 7.015 | 7,087 | 7.142 | 7,337 | 7,600 |
| Unemployment rate |  |  |  |  | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Men. 20 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population' | 82,055 | 82,168 | 82,248 | 82,378 | 32,487 | 82.581 | 82,676 | 82,790 | 82,862 | 82,940 | 83,013 | 83,092 | 83,200 |
| Civitan laber force | 64,053 | 64,031 | 64,111 | 64,154 | 64,188 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,313 \\ 77.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64,342 \\ 77.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64,331 \\ 77.7 \end{array}$ | 64,419 | 64,572 | 64,594 | $\begin{array}{r} 64,682 \\ 77.8 \end{array}$ | 64,803 |
| Percent of population | 78.1 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 77.8 | $77.9$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 77.7 \\ 61,174 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.9 \\ 61,248 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.8 \\ 61,245 \end{array}$ |  | 77.9 61.188 |
| Employed | 61, 749 | 61,059 | 61,160 | 61.202 | 61.152 | 61,276 | 61,294 | $\begin{array}{r} 77.7 \\ 61,162 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 77.8 \\ 61,217 \end{array}$ | 61,18873.5 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.5 \\ 2,277 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.3 \\ 2,268 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74,4 \\ 2,262 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.3 \\ 2,275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74,1 \\ 2,272 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.2 \\ 2.374 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 74.1 | 73.9 | 73.8 | 73.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 73.8 \\ 2.283 \end{array}$ | 1,217 73.7 |  |
| Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,369 | 2,279 | 2,266 | 2,299 |  | 2,307 | 73.5 2,365 58.029 |
| Nonagricultural industries | 58,872 | 58,791 | 58,858. | 58,927 | 58.880 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.374 \\ 50,902 \end{array}$ | 58,925 | 58,883 | 58,908 | 58,949 3,324 | 58,962 <br> 3,349 | 58,910 3,465 | 58,823 3,615 |
| Unemployed | $\begin{array}{r} 2.904 \\ 4.5 \\ 18.002 \end{array}$ | 2,972 | 2,951 | 2,952 4.6 | 3,036 4.7 | 3,037 | 3,048 4.7 | 3.169 4.9 | $\begin{array}{r}3,245 \\ 5.0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.324 5.1 | 3,349 5.2 | 3,465 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 18,405 \end{array}$ |
| Unemployment ra |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ 18.137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ 18.137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ 18,224 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 18,299 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 18,268 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 18,334 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.9 \\ 18.459 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 18,443 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 18,368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.2 \\ 18,419 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 18,410 \end{array}$ |  |
| Not in tebor force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women, 20 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population ${ }^{1}$ | 91,042 | 91,091 | 91,15752,822 | 91,237 | 91,330 | 91,414 | 91,495 | 91,581 | 91,688 | 91,765 | 91,857 | 91,963 | 92,042 |
| Civilian tabor force | $\begin{array}{r} 52,629 \\ 57.8 \end{array}$ |  |  | 52,837 | 52,943 | 53,075 | 53,107 | 53,15558.050.637 | $\begin{array}{r} 53,255 \\ 58.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,129 \\ 57.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,047 \\ 57.7 \end{array}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}52.896 \\ 57.5\end{array}$ | 53,18257.8 |
| Percent of population |  | $57.9$ | 57.9 | 57.9 | $\begin{array}{r}58.0 \\ \hline 50,424\end{array}$ | 58.1 | 58.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 50,132 | 50,265 | 50,340 | 50,368 55.2 | 50,424 | 50,613 55.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 50.675 \\ 55.4 \end{array}$ | 50,637 | 50,649 | 50,504 | 50,423 | 50,196 | 50.389 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 55.1 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 55.2 |  | 55.4 |  | 55.3 | 55.2 | 55.0 | 54.9 | 54.61 | 54.7 |
| Agriculture | 616 | 610 | 609 | 650 | 658 | 664 | 661 | 586 | 634 | 633 | 628 | 627 | 647 |
| Nonagricultural industries | 49,516 | 49,655 | 49,731 | 49,718 | 49,766 | 49,949 | 50,014 | 50,051 | 50,015 | 49.871 | 49.795 | 49.569 | 49,742 |
| Unemployed ... | 2,497 | 2.438 | 2,482 | 2,469 | 2.519 | 2,462 | 2,432 | 2.518 | 2,606 | 2,625 | 2,624 | 2.700 | 2,793 |
| Unemployment rate ......................... | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5. |
| Not in labor force .................................. | 38,413 | 38,388 | 38,335 | 38.400 | 38.387 | 38.339 | 38,388 | 38,426 | 38,433 | 38,636 | 38,810 | 39,067 | 38,860 |
| Both sexes, 16 to 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population' | 14,067 | 14,034 | 14,008 | 13,914 | 13.852 | 13,832 | 13.808 | 13,764 | 13.711 | 13.696 | 13.655 | 13,642 | 13.616 |
| Civilian labor force. | 7,860 | 7.755 | 7.720 | 7.807 | 7.650 | 7.551 | 7,348 | 7,223. | 7.031 | 7,269 | 7,234 | 7,145 | 7.189 |
| Percent of population | 55.9 | 55.3 | 55.1 | 56.1 | 55.2 | 54.6 | 53.2 | 52.5. | 51.3 | 53.1 | 53.0 | 52.4 | 52.8 |
| Employed | 6,676 | 6.621 | 6.574 | 6,665 | 6,514 | 6,388 | 6,268 | 6,083 | 5,867 | 6,131 | 6,065 | 5,973 | 5.99 |
| Emplayment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$............ | 47.5 | 47.2 | 46.9 | 47.9 | 47.0 | 46.2 | 45.4 | 44.2 | 42.8 | 44.8 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 44. |
| Agriculture | 290 | 267 | 248 | 272 | 210 | 248 | 249 | 243 | 252 | 262 | 264 | 251 | 241 |
| Nonagricultural industries . | 6,386 | 6,354 | 6,326 | 6,393 | 6,304 | 6,140 | 6,019 | 5.840 | 5,615 | 5,869 | 5,801 | 5.722 | 5,756 |
| Unemployed. | 1,184 | 1.134 | 1,146 | 1.142 | 1,138 | 1,163 | 1.080 | 1.140 | 1,164 | 1,138 | 1.169 | 1,172 | 1.192 |
| Unemployment rate .......................... | 15.1 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16. |
| Not in labor force ..... | 6,207 | 6,279 | 6,288 | 6,107 | 6.202 | 6.281 | 6,458 | 6,541 | 6,680 | 6.427 | 6.421 | 6,497 | 6,42 |

[^2]NOTE: Seasonally adjusted data have been revised based on the experience through December 1990.

## Explanatory Note

This news release presents statistics from two major surveys, the Current Population Survey (household survey) and the Current Employment Statistics Survey (establishment survey). The household survey provides the information on the labor force, total employment, and unemployment that appears in the A tables, marked HOUSEHOLD DATA. It is a sample survey of about 60,000 households that is conducted by the Bureau of the Census with most of the findings analyzed and published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

The establishment survey provides the information on the employment, hours, and earnings of workers on nonfarm payrolls that appears in the $B$ tables, marked ESTABLISHMENT DATA. This information is collected from paytoll records by BLS in cooperation with State agencies. The sample includes over 340.000 establishments employing over 40 million people.
For both surveys, the data for a given month are actually collected for and relate to a particular week. In the household survey, unless otherwise indicated, it is the calendar week that contains the 12th day of the month, which is called the survey week. In the establishment survey, the reference week is the pay period including the 12 th , which may or may not correspond directly to the calendar week.
The data in this release are affected by a number of technical factors, including definitions, survey differences, seasonal adjustments, and the inevitable variance in results between a survey of a sample and a census of the entire population. Each of these factors is explained below.

## Coverage, definitions, and differences

## between surveys

The sample households in the household survey are selected so as to reflect the entire civilian noninstitutional population 16 years of age and older. Each person in a household is classified as employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force. Those who hold more than one job are classified according to the job at which they worked the most hours.
People are classified as employed if they did any work at all as paid civilians; worked in their own business or profession or on their own farm; or worked 15 hours or more in an enterprise operated by a member of their family, whether they were paid or not. People are also counted as employed if they were on unpaid leave because of illness, bad weather, disputes between labor and management, or personal reasons. Members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States are also included in the employed total.
People are classified as unemployed, regardless of their eligibility for unemployment benefits or public assistance, if they meet all of the following criteria: They had no employment during the survey week; they were available for work at
that time; and they made specific efforts to find employment sometime during the prior 4 weeks. Persons laid off from their former jobs and awaiting recall and those expecting to report to a job within 30 days need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed.
The labor force equals the sum of the number employed and the number unemployed. The unemployment rate is the percentage of unemployed people in the labor force (civilian plus the resident Armed Forces). Table A-S presents a special grouping of seven measures of unemployment based on varying definitions of unemployment and the labor force. The definitions are provided in the table. The most restrictive definition yields $\mathrm{U}-1$ and the most comprehensive yields U 7. The overall unemployment rate is $\mathrm{U}-5 \mathrm{a}$, while $\mathrm{U}-5 \mathrm{~b}$ represents the same measure with a civilian labor force base.
Unlike the household survey, the establishment survey only counts wage and salary employees whose names appear on the payroll records of nonfarn firms. As a result, there are many differences between the two surveys, among which are the following:

- The household survey, although based on a smaller sampie, reflects a larger segment of the population; the establishment survey excludes agriculture. the self-employed, unpaid family workers, private household workers. and members of the resident Armed Forces:
- The household survey inctudes people on unpaid keave among the employed: the establishment survey does not:
- The household survey is limited to those 16 years of age and oldet; the establishment survey is not limited by age;
- The household survey has no duplication of individuals, because each individual is counted only once: in the establishment survey, employees working at more than one job or otherwise appearing on more than one payrolt would be counted separately for each appearance.

Other differences between the two surveys are described in "Comparing Employment Estimates from Household and Payroll Surveys," which may be obtained from the BLS upon request.

## Seasonal adjustment

Over the course of a year, the size of the Nation's labor force and the levels of employment and unemployment undergo sharp fluctuations due to such seasonal events as changes in weather, reduced or expanded production, harvests, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools. For example, the labor force increases by a large number each June, when schools close and many young people enter the job market. The effect of such seasonal variation can be very large; over the course of a year, for example, seasonality may account for as much as 95 percent of the month-to-month changes in unemployment.

Because these seasonal events follow a more or less regular pattern each year, their influence on statistical trends can be eliminated by adjusting the statistics from month to month. These adjustments make nonseasonal developments, such as declines in economic activity or increases in the participation of women in the labor force, easier to spot. To return to the school's-out example, the large number of people entering the labor force each June is likely to obscure any other changes that have taken place since May, making it difficult to determine if the level of economic activity has risen or declined. However, because the effect of students finishing school in previous years is known, the statistics for the current year can be adjusted to allow for a comparable change. Insofar as the seasonal adjustment is made correctly, the adjusted figure provides a more useful tool with which to analyze changes in economic activity.
Measures of labor force, employment, and unemployment contain components such as age and sex. Statistics for all employees, production workers, average weekly hours, and average hourly earnings include components based on the employer's industry. All these statistics can be seasonally adjusted either by adjusting the total or by adjusting each of the components and combining them. The second procedure usually yields more accurate iniormation and is therefore followed by Bls. For example, the seasonally adjusted figure for the labor force is the sum of eight seasonally adjusted civilian employment components, plus the resident Armed Forces total (not adjusted for seasonality), and four seasonally adjusted unemployment components; the total for unemployment is the sum of the four unemployment components; and the overall unemployment rate is derived by dividing the resulting estimate of total unemployment by the estimate of the labor force.
The numerical factors used to make the seasonal adjustments are recalculated regularly. For the household survey, the factors are calculated for the January-June period and again for the July-December period. For the establishment survey, updated factors for seasonal adjustment are also calculated twise a year. In both surveys, revisions to historical data are made once a year.

## Sampling variabillity

Statistics based on the household and establishment surveys are subject to sampling error, that is, the estimate of the number of people employed and the other estimates drawn from these surveys probably differ from the figures that would be obtained from a complete census, even if the same questionnaires and procedures were used. In the household survey, the amount of the differences can be expressed in terms of standard errors. The numerical value of a standard error depends upon the size of the sample, the results of the survey, and other factors. However, the numerical value is always such that the chances are approximately 68 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than the standard error
from the results of a complete census. The chances are approxinately 90 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than 1.6 times the standard error from the results of a complete census. At approximately the 90 -percent level of confidence-the confidence limits used by bls in its analyses-the error for the monthly change in total employment is on the order of plus or minus 358,000 ; for total unemployment it is 224,000 ; and, for the overall unemployment rate, it is 0.19 percentage point. These figures do not mean that the sample results are off by these magnitudes but, rather, that the chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that the "true" level or rate would not be expected to differ from the estimates by more than these amounts.

Sampling errors for monthly surveys are reduced when the data are cumulated for several months, such as quarterly or annually. Also, as a general rule, the smaller the estimate, the larger the sampling error. Therefore, relatively speaking, the estimate of the size of the labor force is subject to less error than is the estimate of the number unemployed. And, among the unemployed, the sampling error for the jobless rate of adult men, for example, is much smaller than is the error for the jobless rate of teenagers. Specifically, the error on monthly change in the jobless rate for men is .25 percentage point; for teenagers, it is 1.29 percentage points.

In the establishment survey, estimates for the 2 most current months are based on incomplete returns; for this reason, these estimates are labeled preliminary in the tables. When all the returns in the sample have been received, the estimates are revised. In other words, data for the month of September are published in preliminary form in October and November and in final form in December. To remove errors that build up over time, a comprehensive count of the employed is conducted each year. The results of this survey are used to establish new benchmarks-comprehensive counts of employment-against which month-to-month changes can be measured. The new benchmarks also incorporate changes in the classification of industries and allow for the formation of new establishments.

## Additlonal statistics and other Information

In order to provide a broad view of the Nation's employment situation, BLS regularly publishes a wide variety of data in this news release. More comprehensive statistics are contained in Employment and Earnings, published each month by BLS. It is available for $\$ 8.50$ per issue or $\$ 25.00$ per year from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20204. A check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents must accompany all orders.

Employment and Earnings also provides approximations of the standard errors for the household survey data published in this release. For unemployment and other labor force categories, the standard errors appear in tables B through J of its "Explanatory Notes." Measures of the reliability of the data drawn from the establishment survey and the actual amounts of revision due to benchmark adjustments are provided in tables $M, O, P$, and $Q$ of that publication.

Table A-1. Employment status of the poputation, Inchuding Armed Forcea In the Untted States, by eex
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment staus and sex | Mot seamonatly adjusted |  |  | Seasonaily adjusted ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1989 | Nov. 1990 | Dec. $1990$ | Dec. <br> 1989 | Aurg. 1990 | Sept. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 1990 | Dec. <br> 1990 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninstitutional popuatior' ................................................... | t88,865 | 190,312 | 190.483 | 188,865 | 189,901 | 190,002 | 190,095 | 190.312 | 190.483 |
| Labor torce ${ }^{2}$...................................................................... | 125,698 | 126,436 | 126,247 | 126,242 | 126,345 | 126.571 | 126,445 | 126,338 | 126,791 |
| Perricipation rate' ........................................................ | 66.6 | 66.4 | 65.3 | 66.8 | 66.5 | 66.6 | 66.5 | 66.4 | 66.6 |
| Total employed .............................................................. | 119,398 | 119,226 | 118,904 | 119,657 | 119,330 | 119,484 | 119,303 | 119.001 | 119.191 |
| Enptoyment-population ratio' ......................................... | 63.2 | 62.6 | 62.4 | 63.4 | 62.8 | 62.9 | 62.8 | 62.5 | 62.6 |
| Resident Armed Forces ............................................... | 1,700 | 1,615 | 1.617 | 1,700 | 1,640 | 1,601 | 1,570 | 1,615 | 1.617 |
| Civilian emptoyed .......................................................... | 117,698 | 117.611 | 117,287 | 117,957 | 117.690 | 117,883 | 117.733 | 117.386 | 117,574 |
| Agricutture | 2,882 | 3,056 | 2,943 | 3,183 | 3,152 | 3,194 | 3,175 | 3.185 | 3.253 |
| Nonsgricultural incuustries ............................................ | 114,836 | 114,555 | 114.344 | 114,774 | 114,538 | 114.689 | 114,558 | 114,201 | 114,321 |
| Unemployed ... | 6,300 | 7.211 | 7.343 | 6,585 | 7.015 | 7,087 | 7.142 | 7.337 | 7,600 |
| Unemployment rate ${ }^{3}$..................................................... | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.9 |
| Not in labor force ......................................................................... | 63,167 | 63,875 | 64,236 | 62,623 | 60.556 | 63,431 | 63,650 | 63.974 | 63,692 |
| Men, 16 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninstitutional population' ${ }^{2}$........................................................... | 90,678 | 91,440 | 91,537 | 90,678 69,685 | 91,240 6955 | 91.271 | 91,299 | 91,440 | 91,537 |
| Labor force ${ }^{2}$............ | 69,164 | 69,656 | 69,566 | 69,685 | 69,552 | 69,814 | 69,804 | 69,899 | 70.058 |
| Participation rate ${ }^{3}$ | 76.3 | 76.2 | 76.0 | 76.8 | 76.2 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.4 | 76.5 |
| Total employed ${ }^{2}$. | 65,600 | 65,550 | 65,242 | 66,130 | 65,663 | 65,853 | 65,822 | 65.700 | 65,781 |
| Employmen-population retio ${ }^{\text {a }}$...................................... | 72.3 | 71.7 | 71.3 | 72.9 | 72.0 | 72.2 | 72.1 | 71.9 | 71.9 |
| Resident Armed Forces | 1,525 | 1,453 | 1.454 | 1,525 | 1,475 | 1.441 | 1.414 | 1.453 | 1,454 |
| Civitian employed ............................................................. | 64,075 | 64,137 | 63,788 | 64,605 | 64,188 | 64,412 | 64,408 | 64,337 | 64,327 |
| Unemployed ........................................................................... | 3,565 | 4,067 | 4,324 | 3,555 | 3,889 | 3.961 | 3.982 | 4.109 | 4,277 |
| Unemployment rate ${ }^{3}$........................................--....... | 5.2 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Women, 16 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninstitutional popudation ${ }^{2}$ | 98,187 | 98,672 | 98,946 | 98,187 | 98,661 | 98,731 | 98,796 | 98,872 | 98,946 |
| Labor force ${ }^{2}$.............. | 56.534 | 56.780 | 56,681 | 56,557 | 56,793 | 56,757 | 56,64 | 56,439 | 56,733 |
| Participation rate' .......................................................... | 57.6 | 57.4 | 57.3 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 57.5 | 57.3 | 57.1 | 57.3 |
| Total employed ..................... | 53.798 | 53,636 | 53,662 | 53.527 | 53,667 | 53,631 | 53,48 $\dagger$ | 53,211 | 53.410 |
| Employment-poputation ratio ${ }^{4}$....................................... | 54.8 | 54.2 | 54.2 | 54.5 | 54.4 | 54.3 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 54.0 |
| Resident Amed Forces .......................................................... | 175 | 162 | 163 | 175 | 165 | 160 | 156 | 162 | 163 |
| Civilian employed .......................................................... | 53,623 | 53,474 | 53,499 | 53,352 | 53.502 | 53.471 | 53,325 | 53,049 | 53,247 |
| Unemployed | 2.735 | 3,144 | 3,020 | 3,030 | 3,126 | 3,126 | 3,160 | 3,228 | 3,323 |
| Unemployment rate ${ }^{5}$.................................................... | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.9 |

- The poputation and Armed Forces figures are not adfusted for
seasonal variation; therstore, identical numbers appear in the unadjusted seasonal variation; theretore, identical numbers appear in the unadjusted and seasonally adjusted columns.
${ }^{2}$ Includes members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States.
${ }^{3}$ Labor force as a percent of the noninstitutional popelation.
- Total employment as a percent of the noninstitutional poputation. ${ }^{3}$ 'Unemployment as a percent of the labor force (including the resident Armed Forces).
NOTE: Seasonally adjusted data have been revised based on the experience through December 1990.

Table A-2. Employment atatus of the clvilian population by sex and age
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment status, sax, and age | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjusted' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. <br> 1989 | Nov. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Aug. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population .......................................... | 187.165 | t88,697 | 188.866 | 187,165 | 188,261 | 188.401 | 188,525 | 188,697 | 188,866 |
| Givilian lebor force ............................................................... | 123,998 | 124,821 | 124,630 | 124,542 | 124,705 | 124,970 | 124,875 | 124,723 | 125.174 |
| Participation rate ......................................................... | 66.3 | 66.1 | 66.0 | 66.5 | 66.2 | 66.3 | 66.2 | 66.1 | 68.3 |
| Employed ...................................................................... | 117,698 | 117.611 | 117,287 | 117.957 | 117,690 | 117,883 | 117,733 | 117,386 | 117,574 |
| Employment-population retio ${ }^{\text {z }}$....................................... | 62.9 | 62.3 | 62.1 | 63.0 | 62.5 | 62.6 | 62.4 | 62.2 | 62.3 |
| Unemployed .................................................................... | 6,300 | 7,211 | 7,343 | 6,585 | 7.015 | 7,087 | 7,142 | 7,337 | 7,600 |
| Unemployment rate ....................................................... | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Men, 20 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional poputation .......................................... | 82,055 | 83,092 | 83,208 | 82.055 | 82,862 | 82,940 | 83,013 | 83,092 | 83,208 |
| Civilian labor torce ........................................................... | 63.814 | 64,622 | 64.575 | 64,053 | 64,419 | 64,572 | 64.594 | 64,582 | 64,603 |
| Participation'rate .................................................... | 77.8 | 77.8 | 77.6 | 78.1 | 77.7 | 77.9 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 77.9 |
| Employed ................................................................... | 60.862 | 61,200 | 60,881 | 61,149 | 61.174 | 61,248 | 61,245 | 61.217 | 61,188 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$........................................ | 74.2 | 73.7 | 73.2 | 74.5 | 73.8 | 73.8 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 73.5 |
| Agriculture .......................................................................... | 2,119 | 2,261 | 2,205 | 2,277 | 2.266 | 2,299 | 2,283 | 2,307 | 2,365 |
| Nonagricultural incustries .............................................. | 58,743 | 58,939 | 58,676 | 58,872 | 58,908 | 58,949 | 58,962 | 58,910 | 58,823 |
| Unemployed ................................................................... | 2,952 | 3.422 | 3.695 | 2.904 | 3.245 | 3,324 | 3,349 | 3.465 | 3,615 |
| Unemployment rate ................ | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| Women, 20 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population .......................................... | 91,042 | 91,963 | 92,042 | 91.042 | 91.688 | 81.765 | 91,857 | 91,963 | 92,042 |
| Civilian labor force ....................................................... | 52,761 | 53,394 | 53,284 | 52,629 | 53,255 | 53,129 | 53,047 | 52,896 | 53,162 |
| Participation rate ......................................................... | 58.0 | 58.1 | 57.9 | 57.8 | 58.1 | 57.9 | 57.7 | 57.5 | 57.8 |
| Employed ........................................................................ | 50,459 | 50,751 | 50.697 | 50,132 | 50,649 | 50,504 | 50,423 | 50,196 | 50,389 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$. | 55.4 | 55.2 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.2 | 55.0 | 54.9 | 54.6 | 54.7 |
| Agriculture ........................ | 551 | 609 | 578 | 616 | 634 | 633 | 628 | 627 | 647 |
| Nonagricurtural industries .............................................. | 49,908 | 50,142 | 50,119 | 49,516 | 5c,015 | 49,871 | 49,795 | 49,569 | 49,742 |
| Unemployed .................................................................... | 2,302 | 2,643 | 2,586 | 2.497 | 2,606 | 2,825 | 2,624 | 2,700 | 2,793 |
| Unemployment rate ..................................................... | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| Both atxees, 16 to 19 yeara |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population ......................................... | 14,087 | 13,642 | 13,616 | 14.067 | 13.711 | 13.696 | 13,655 | 13,642 | 13,616 |
| Civilian labor force ............................................................. | 7.424 | 6,805 | 6,772 | 7,660 | 7.031 | 7,269 | 7,234 | 7,145 | 7,189 |
| Participation rate .......................................................... | 52.8 | 49.9 | 49.7 | 55.9 | 51.3 | 53.1 | 53.0 | 52.4 | 52.8 |
| Employed ................................................................. | 6,377 | 5,660 | 5,709 | 6,676 | 5,867 | 6,131 | 6.065 | 5,973 | 5,997 |
| Employment-poputation ratio ${ }^{2}$........................................ | 45.3 | 41.5 | 41.9 | 47.5 | 42.8 | 44.8 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 44.0 |
| Agriculture ..................................................................... | 192 | 188 | 160 | 290 | 252 | 262 | 264 | 251 | 241 |
| Nonagricultural industries ............................................... | 6.185 | 5,474 | 5.549 | 6,386 | 5,615 | 5,869 | 5,801 | 5,722 | 5,756 |
| Unemployed .................................................................... | 1.047 | 1.145 | 1.063 | 1.184 | 1.164 | 1.138 | 1.169 | 1.172 | 1.192 |
| Unemployment rate ............................................................... | 14.1 | 16.8 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 |

- The population figures are not adjusted for seasonal variation; therefore, identical rumbers appear in the unadjusted and seasonally adjusted columns.
- Civilian employment as a percent of the civilian noninstitutional

Poputation.
NOTE: Seasonally adiusted data have been revised based on the
experience through December 1990 .

Table A-s. Employment etatus of the etvilitn population by race, ean, ege, and Hiapande origin
(Nurntrers in thoursands)

| Employment staturs, race, sex, age, and Hispanic origin | Not seasonally matheted |  |  | Seasonatly edjusted' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1890 | Dec. <br> 1990 | Dec. 1989 | Aug. 1990 | Sept 1990 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Oct} \\ 1890 \end{gathered}$ | Now. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 159,832 | 160,831 | 160,942 | 159,832 | 160,550 | 160,640 | 160,717 | 160,831 | 160.942 |
|  | 106,408 | 107.013 | 106.948 | 106,965 | 107,166 | 107.391 | 107,277 | 107,048 | 107,517 |
| Perticipation rate <br> Employed | 66.6 | 66.5 | 66.5 | 66.9 | 66.7 | 65.9 | 66.7 | 66.6 | 66.8 |
|  | 101,783 | 101,739 | 101,505 | 102,108 | 101,996 | 102,192 | 102,017 | 101,648 | 101,843 |
| Employment-population ratiot | 63.7 | 63.3 | 63.1 | 63.9 | 63.5 | 63.6 | 69.5 | 63.2 | 63.3 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ Unemployment rata $\qquad$ | 4,613 | 5,274 | 5,443 | 4,657 | 5,170 | 5,199 | 5,260 | 5,400 | 5,674 |
|  | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Men, 20 yeart and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian labor force $\qquad$ <br> Participation rate $\qquad$ | 55.558 | 56,101 | 56,071 | 55,798 | 56,040 | 56,119 | 56,123 | 56,174 | 56,307 |
|  | 78.2 | 78.2 | 78.0 | 78.6 | 78.3 | 78.3 | 78.3 | 78.3 | 78.3 |
| Employed | 53,338 | 53,536 | 53,213 | 53,611 | 53,601 | 53,675 | 53,615 | 53,564 | 53,497 |
| Employment-poputation ratio' | 75.1 | 74.6 | 74.0 | 75.5 | 74.9 | 74.9 | 74.8 | 74.6 | 74.4 |
|  | 2,210 | 2.565 | 2,858 | 2,187 | 2.439 | 2.444 | 2.508 | 2.610 | 2,810 |
|  | 4.0 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| Women, 20 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian tabor torce $\qquad$ <br> Participation rate $\qquad$ | 44.574 | 45,098 | 45,050 | 44,504 | 45,060 | 44,884 | 44,918 | 44,711 | 44,995 |
|  | 57.5 | 57.7 | 57.6 | 57.4 | 57.8 | 57.7 | 57.6 | 57.2 | 57.6 |
| Employed | 42,037 | 43,210 | 43,229 | 42,702 | 43,194 | 43,101 | 43.032 | 42,768 | 43,001 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 55.4 | 55.3 | 55.3 | 55.1 | 55.4 | 55.3 | 55.1 | 54.8 | 55.0 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ Unemployment rate $\qquad$ | 1,637 | 1,888 | 1.821 | 1,802 | 1,856 | 1.883 | 1,886 | 1,943 | 1,994 |
|  | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Both tex ${ }^{\text {cta }}$, 16 to 19 yetrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covilian labor force $\qquad$ <br> Participation rate $\qquad$ | 6,277 | 5,813 | 5,827 | 6,663 | 6,066 | 6,288 | 6,236 | 6,163 | 6,215 |
|  | 55.3 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 58.7 | 54.9 | 57.1 | 56.9 | 56.3 | 57.0 |
|  | 5,518 | 4,902 | 5,063 | 5,795 | 5,201 | 5,416 | 5,370 | 5,316 | 5,345 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$ | 48.6 | 45.6 | 46.4 | 51.1 | 47.1 | 49.2 | 49.0 | 48.6 | 49.0 |
| Unemployed .......................................................................... | 759 | 821 | 764 | 868 | 865 | 872 | 866 | 847 | 870 |
| Unemployment rate ......................................................... | 12.1 | 14.1 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 14.0 |
| Men | 14.0 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 13.9 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 14.9 |
| Women ....................................................................... | 10.1 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 |
| BLACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilizn noninstitutional population ........................................... | 21,164 | 21,417 | 21,448 | 21.164 | 21,337 | 21,361 | 21,383 | 21.417 | 21,448 |
| Civilian labor force $\qquad$ <br> Participation rate $\qquad$ | 13,487 | 13,608 | 13,478 | 13,500 | 13,401 | 13,476 | 13,493 | 13.550 | 13,486 |
|  | 63.7 | 63.5 | 62.8 | 63.8 | 62.8 | 69.1 | 63.1 | 63.3 | 62.9 |
| Employed ........................................................................ | 11,989 | 11,969 | 11,859 | 11.956 | \$1.838 | 11,869 | 11,813 | 11,897 | 11,836 |
| Employment-population ratica ......................................... | 58.6 | 55.9 | 55.3 | 56.5 | 55.5 | 55.6 | 55.7 | 55.5 | 55.2 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ Unemployment rate $\qquad$ | 1.498 | 1,639 | 1,619 | 1,544 | 1,563 | 1,607 | 1,580 | 1,553 | 1,650 |
|  | 11.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 12.2 |
| Men, 20 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian labor force $\qquad$ <br> Participation rate $\qquad$ | 6,206 | 6,348 | 6,340 | 6,228 | 6,260 | 6,324 | 6,339 | 6,348 | 6,359 |
|  | 73.6 | 74.3 | 73.9 | 73.8 | 73.4 | 74.1 | 74.1 | 74.3 | 74.1 |
| Employed ........................................................................... | 5,554 | 5,637 | 5.641 | 5,573 | 5.594 | 5,597 | 5,635 | 5,638 | 5,664 |
| Employment-population retio' ............................................ | 65.9 | 66.0 | 65.8 | 66.1 | 65.6 | 65.5 | 65.9 | 66.0 | 66.0 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ Unemployment rate $\qquad$ | 652 | 711 | 699 | 655 | 666 | 727 | 704 | 710 | 695 |
|  | ;0.5 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10.9 |
| Women, 20 yeart and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian tabor force $\qquad$ <br> Perticipation rate $\qquad$ | 6,369 | 6,452 | 6,386 | 6,317 | 6,358 | 6,362 | 6,345 | 6,365 | 6,339 |
|  | 60.3 | 60.2 | 59.5 | 58.8 | 59.6 | 59.5 | 59.3 | 59.4 | 59.0 |
| Employed ........................................................................ | 5,779 | 5,808 | 5,729 | 5.708 | 5,733 | 5,716 | 5.728 | 5,717 | 5,668 |
| Employment-poputation ratio ${ }^{2}$......................................... | 54.7 | 54.2 | 53.4 | 54.0 | 53.7 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 53.3 | 52.8 |
| Unemptoyed ....................................................................................................................... | 590 | 644 | 657 | 809 | 625 | 646 | 617 | 648 | 671 |
|  | 9.3 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 10.6 |
| Both sexes, is to 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cavilian tabor force .............................................................. | 912 | 809 | 751 | 955 | 783 | 790 | 809 | 637 | 788 |
| Perticipation rate ............................................................ | 42.1 | 37.5 | 35.2 | 44.1 | 36.6 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 38.9 | 36.9 |
| Employed ....................................................................... | 655 | 524 | 488 | 675 | 511 | 556 | 550 | 542 | 504 |
| Employment-population ratio' | 30.3 | 24.3 | 22.9 | 31.2 | 23.9 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 25.2 | 23.6 |
| Unemployed ............................................................................. | 257 | 285 | 263 | 280 | 272 | 234 | 259 | 295 | 284 |
| Unemployment rate ...................................................... | 28.1 | 35.2 | 35.0 | 29.3 | 34.7 | 29.6 | 32.0 | 35.2 | 36.0 |
|  | 29.0 | 33.1 | 36.6 | 29.2 | 36.7 | 31.4 | 31.3 | 33.2 | 36.4 |
|  | 27.2 | 37.7 | 33.3 | 29.5 | 32.7 | 27.6 | 32.7 | 37.5 | 35.6 |

See footrotes at end of table.

HOUSEHOLD DATA
Table A-3. Employment status of the chilian population by race, sex, ege, and Hispanlc origin-Continued
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment status, race, sex, age, and Hispanic origin | Not amasonally adjustod |  |  | Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. <br> 1989 | Aug. <br> 1990 | Sept. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population .............................................. | 14.019 | 14,474 | 14,514 | 14,019 | 14,356 | 14.396 | 14,435 | 14,474 | 14,514 |
| Civilian labor force ............................................................. | 9,410 | 9,508 | 9,472 | 8.506 | 9,665 | 9,632 | 9,580 | 9,500 | 0.569 |
| Participation rate ............................................................. | 67.1 | 65.7 | 65.3 | 67.8 | 67.3 | 66.9 | 66.4 | 65,6 | 65.9 |
| Employed ....................................................................... | 6,651 | 8,682 | 8,586 | 8,734 | 8.804 | 8,809 | 8.793 | 8,683 | 8,676 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$.............................................. | 61.7 | 60.0 | 59.2 | 62.3 | 62.0 | 61.2 | 60.9 | 60.0 | 59.8 |
| Unemployed ....................................................................... | 759 | 826 | 687 9 | 772 8.1 | 761 79 | 8123 8.5 | 787 8.2 | 817 8.6 | 893 |
| Unemployment rate ......................................................... | 8.1 |  | 9.4 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.3 |

- The population figures are not adjusted for seasonal variation; therefore, identical numbers appear in the unadjusted and seasonally adjuster columns.
${ }^{2}$ Civilian employment as a percent of the civilian noninstitutional population.

NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not presented and Hispanies are inctuded in both the white and black population groups. Seasonally adjusted data have been revised based on the experience through December 1990.

Table A-4. Selected employment indicators
(In thousands)

| Category | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & .1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aug, } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Dac. <br> 1990 |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian employed, 66 years and over. | $\begin{array}{r} 117,698 \\ 41,075 \\ 29,897 \\ 6,442 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,611 \\ 40,957 \\ 30,036 \\ 6,401 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,287 \\ 40,795 \\ 29,951 \\ 6,464 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,957 \\ 41,006 \\ 29,708 \\ 6,349 \end{array}$ | 117.690 40,661 29,869 6,372 | 117,883 40,833 29,797 6,376 | 117.73340.833 29.789 6,354 | 117,386 | 117,574 40,728 <br> 29.776 <br> 6,367 |
| Married men, spouse present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,844 |  |
| Marred women, spouse present .......................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.713 |  |
| Women who maintain families ............................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,341 |  |
| MAJOR INDUSTRY AND CLASS OF WORKER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage and salary workers ................................................. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,505 \\ & 1,257 \end{aligned}$ | 1,595 | 1,507 | 1,671 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,705 \\ & 1,364 \end{aligned}$ | 1.7521.293 | 1,7141,350 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,681 \\ & 1,388 \end{aligned}$ | 1.6711.473 |
| Self-employed workers ...................................................... | 1,352 |  | 1,354 | 1,366 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unpaid family workers ... | 99 | 109 | 82 | 123 | 97 | 108 | 99 | 116 | 102 |  |
| Nonagricutural industries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage and salary workers .................................................. | $\begin{array}{r} 105,919 \\ 18,035 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105,451 \\ 17,981 \end{array}$ |  | 17,747 | 17,798 | 17.597 | 17,694 | 17.633 | 17.640 |  |
| Govemment ................................................................. |  |  | 17,839 87,256 | 88,035 | 87,829 | 88,089 | 67,690 | 87,634 | 87.455 |  |
| Private households | $\begin{array}{r} 1,051 \\ 86,833 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,012 \\ 86,244 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,058 \\ 86,977 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,021 \\ 86.808 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,067 \\ 67,022 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,017 \\ \mathbf{8 6 , 6 7 3} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 992 \\ 86,642 \end{array}$ | 86,442 |  |
| Sell-employed workers ............................................................ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,679 \\ 237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,863 \\ 241 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,927 \\ 222 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,655 \\ 254 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.646 \\ 238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,809 \\ 238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,859 \\ 250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,800 \\ 255 \end{array}$ | 8,896238 |  |
| Unpaid family workers ................................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERSONS AT WORK PART TMME' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pant time for economic reasons ......................................... | $\begin{array}{r} 4,709 \\ 2.333 \\ 2,026 \\ 16,465 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,357 \\ 2,861 \\ 2,239 \\ 16,149 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,497 \\ 3,074 \\ 2,199 \\ 16,119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,790 \\ 2,235 \\ 2,115 \\ 15,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.092 \\ 2,491 \\ 2.153 \\ 15,317 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,301 \\ 2,658 \\ 2,408 \\ 15,250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,409 \\ 2,663 \\ 2.344 \\ 15,129 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,438 \\ & 2,786 \\ & 2,340 \end{aligned}$ | 5,5812,9282,30215,081 |  |
| Slack work .................................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could only find part-time work ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntary part time ........................-............................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part time for economic reasons ........................................ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,485 \\ 2,151 \\ 1,998 \\ 16,106 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,092 \\ 2,686 \\ 2,181 \\ 15,782 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,211 \\ 2,650 \\ 2,140 \\ 15,740 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,543 \\ 2,079 \\ 2,063 \\ 14,988 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,830 \\ 2,290 \\ 2,084 \\ 14,861 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,051 \\ 2,482 \\ 2,333 \\ 14,823 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,135 \\ 2.467 \\ 2,281 \\ 14.715 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,163 \\ & 2,625 \\ & 2,262 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,262 \\ 2,742 \\ 2,218 \\ 14,650 \end{array}$ |  |
| Slack work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could onty find part-time work ......................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntary part time ............................................................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]NOTE: Seasonally adjusted data have been revised based on the experience through December 1980.

(Percent)

N.A. $=$ not avallable.

Table A-k. selected unemployment thelicators, seagonally acllumed

| Catogery | Number of 'unemployed persons (in thousands) |  |  | Unemploynem rates' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1889 | Nov. <br> 1890 | Dec. 1900 | Dec. <br> 1889 | Aug. <br> 1900 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & \text { teso } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1690 \end{gathered}$ | Nov. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 16 yeare and over $\qquad$ <br> Men, 16 years and over $\qquad$ <br> Men, 20 years and over $\qquad$ <br> Wormen, 16 years and over $\qquad$ <br> Women 20 yeers and over $\qquad$ <br> Both sexes, i6 to 19 years $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,585 \\ & 3,555 \\ & 2,004 \\ & 3,030 \\ & 2,497 \\ & 1,144 \end{aligned}$ | 7,337 | 7,600 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 |  |
|  |  | 4,109 | 4,277 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.8 |  |  | 6.1 62 |
|  |  | 3,485 | 3,615 | 4.5 |  |  | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,220 \\ & 2,700 \end{aligned}$ | 3,323 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,793 \\ & 1,192 \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 | 4.8 |  | 4.6 | 5.7 5.1 | 5.9 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,700 \\ & 1.172 \end{aligned}$ |  | 15.1 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 18.6 |
| Marled men, spouse present .................................. | 1,3011,176 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,582 \\ & 1,281 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,816 \\ & 1,279 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| Married women, spousa present ........................................ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & 8.4 \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Wornen who maintain familias .................................. | 548 | ${ }_{605}$ | 803 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8. 7 | 8.7 |
| Fultime workers .-................... | $\begin{aligned} & 5,243 \\ & 1,381 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,057 \\ & 1,302 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,250 \\ & 1,364 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & 7.5 \\ & 5.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & 7.7 \\ & 6.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & 7.2 \\ & 6.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \\ & 7.1 \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 7.3 \\ & 6.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.87.86.8 |
| Labor force time lost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| thDustay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonegricutural private wage and salary workers $\qquad$ Goode-prodecing inctustion | $\begin{aligned} & 4,988 \\ & 1,880 \end{aligned}$ | 5,746 | 8,838 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 8.38.1 |
|  |  |  | 2,336 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.9 |  |
| Constuxation - .-....... | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 621 \end{array}$ | 35 840 | 42 <br> 870 | 4.1 |  | 3.8 | 4.1 |  | 5.8 |
|  | 1,229 | 1,414 | 1,424 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 14.06.5 |
| Durable goods ....... |  | $\begin{aligned} & 887 \\ & 527 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & 5.8 \end{aligned}$ | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 |  |
| Nondurable goodi ................................................................... | 525 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 653 \\ & 571 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $5.8$ | 6.0 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 8.8 |
|  | 3,089 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.457 \\ 273 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,502 \\ 273 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $5.2$ | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.9 |  |
|  | 213 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | 5.3 4.1 | 5.4 | 4.4 |
|  | 1,484 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.594 \\ & 1.590 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.571 \\ & 1,856 \end{aligned}$ | 6.3 | 6.3 |  | 6.7 | 4.7 |  |
| Frience and service incustries ...........- | 1,389 |  |  |  |  | 6.6 |  | 8.7 | 6.8 |
| Government workers ........... | $\begin{array}{r} 1,408 \\ 472 \\ 170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 505 \\ & 182 \end{aligned}$ | 485 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & 2.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Agricutural wage and satary workers ........................................ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 8.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 9.8 \end{aligned}$ | 27 |
| Unemployment as a percent of the civillan labor force. <br> Aggregate hours lost by the unemployed and persors on pert time for oconomic reasors as a percert of potentially avaitable lator force houra. |  | MOTE: Data have been revised based on the experience through Oecernber 1990. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

household data
Tabro A-7. Duration of unemployment

| Weaks of unemployment | Not seusonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1989 | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. 1989 | Aurg. <br> 1990 | Sept. 1990 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 weeks ............................................................. | 2.982 | 3,326 | 3.057 | 3,219 | 3,275 | 3,087 | 3.139 | 3.277 | 3,280 |
| 5 to 14 weeks ................................................................. | 2,026 | 2,255 | 2,614 | 1.961 | 2,077 | 2.452 | 2.391 | 2,334 | 2,518 |
| 15 weeks and over .............................................................. | 1,293 | 1,530 | 1,673 | 1,34a | 1,568 | 1,605 | 1,591 | 1.727 | 1,739 |
| 15 to 26 weeks ............................................................. | 695 | 866 | 908 | 721 | 822 | 861 | 893 | - 938 | 940 |
| 27 weeks and over .................................................................................... | 598 | 764 | 765 | 627 | 746 | 744 | 698 | - 789 | 799 |
| Average (mean) duration, in weeks ..................................... | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| Median duration, in weeks ................................................... | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| PERCENT DIStribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total unemployed .............................................................- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Less than 5 weeks ......................................................... | 47.3 | 46.1 | 41.6 | 49.3 | 47.3 | 43.2 | 44.1 | 44.7 | 43.5 |
| 5 to 14 weaks .............................................................. | 32.2 | 31.3 | 35.6 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 34.3 | 33.6 | 31.6 | 33.4 |
| 15 weeks and over .......................................................... | 20.5 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 20.6 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 22.3 | 23.5 | 23.1 |
| 15 to 26 woeks ...... | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 12.5 |
| 27 wooks and over .......................................................... | 9.5 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 10.6 |

NOTE: Seasonally edjusted data have been rewised based on the experience trough Decomber 1990.

Table A-s. Reason for unemployment

## (Numbers in thousands)

| Reasons | Not seasortaly acjusted |  |  | Seazonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dac. <br> 1889 | Nov. $1890$ | Dec. 1990 | Dac. <br> 1889 | Aug. 1990 | Sept. 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1990 | Dec. $1990$ |
| NUMEER OF UNEMPLOYED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job tosers .................................................................................. | 3.172 | 3,743 | 3,956 | 3,063 | 3.388 | 3,519 | 3,563 | 3,756 | 3,797 |
| On layott ........................................................................ | 1.033 | 1,104 | 1,264 | 946 | 993 | 1,111 | 1.056 | 1.136 | 1,150 |
| Other job losers ............................................................... | 2.139 | 2,639 | 2,692 | 2.117 | 2,395 | 2.408 | 2.507 | 2.620 | 2.647 |
| Job leavers ........................................................................ | 082 | 1,002 | 957 | 1.038 | 989 | 954 | 801 | 996 | 1,024 |
| Reentrants .......................................................................... | 1,815 | 1,878 | 1,888 | 1,824 | 1,872 | t,852 | 1,911 | 1,026 | 2,128 |
| New entrants ....................................................................... | 551 | 587 | 542 | 680 | 669 | 663 | 684 | 855 | 662 |
| PERCENT DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total unemployed ............................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| job losers ........................................................................ | 50.3 | 51.8 | 53.9 | 48.4 | 49.0 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 51.2 | 49.9 |
| On layot ....................................................................... | 16.4 | 15.3 | 17.2 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 15.7 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 15.1 |
| Other job losers ............................................................ | 34.0 | 38.8 | 36.7 | 32.1 | 34.6 | 34.0 | 35.1 | 35.7 | 34.8 |
| Job leavers ...................................................................... | 15.3 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 15.7 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 13.5 |
| Reertrants ...................................................................... | 23.6 | 26.0 | 25.7 | 27.6 | 27.1 | 27.5 | 26.8 | 26.3 | 28.0 |
| New ontrants .................................................................. | 8.7 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 0.7 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 8.7 |
| UNEMPLOYED AS A PERCENT OF THE CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job loters ........................................................................ | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Jot leavers ....................................................................... | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 6 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
| Reentrants ....................................................................... | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Now entrants .................................................................... | 4 | . 5 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 5 | . 5 |

NOTE: Seasonally adjusted dete have been revied besed on the

Tablo A-9. Unemployed persors by wex and age, seseonally adipated

| Seax and age | Number of unerrployed persons (in thousands) |  |  | Unemployment rates' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. <br> 1989 | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. 1989 | $\mathbf{A l g} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & 00 c . \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total, 16 years and over | 6.585 | 7.337 | 7,600 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| 16 to 24 years | 2,389 | 2,428 | 2.463 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 8.9 | 11.7 |
| 16 to 19 yeers | 1.184 | . 1.172 | 1,192 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 |
| 16 to 17 years | 544 | - 507 | 524 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 19.1 |
| 18 to 19 years | 636 | 662 | 665 | 13.3 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| 20 to 24 years | 1,205 | 1,258 | 1.271 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 0.3 | $\underline{9.4}$ | 9.1 | 8.2 |
| 25 years and over | 4,214 | 4.910 | 5,160 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 8.0 |
| 25 to 54 years $\qquad$ | 3,719 | 4,403 | 4,664 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| 55 years and over .................................................. | 502 | 513 | 501 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Men, 16 years and over ......................................................... | 3.555 | 4.109 | 4,277 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| 16 to 24 years .............................-_...................--..... | 1,327 | 1,335 | 1,363 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| 16 to 19 yesrs ..................................................................... | 651 | 644 | 662 | 15.9 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 17.4 |
| 16 to 17 years .................................. | 303 | 280 | 295 | 18.9 | 20.7 | 18.9 | \$8.4 | 19.2 | 20.1 |
| 18 to 19 years ................................... | 345 | 367 | 366 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 15.7 |
| 20 to 24 years ......-............................. | 676 | 691 | 701 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.6 |
| 25 years and over ................................ | 2.244 | 2.764 | 2,937 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.1 |
| 25 to 54 years ............................... | 1,924 | 2,448 | 2.625 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| 55 years and over ............................................................. | 318 | 335 | 316 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Wornen, 16 yeare and over ................... | 3.030 | 3,228 | 3,323 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
|  | 1,062 | 1,093 | 1,100 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 11.1 |
| 16 to 19 years ....................................................................... | 533 | 528 | 530 | 14.2 | 45.4 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
| 16 to 17 years ............................................................. | 241 | 227 | 229 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 17.8 | 17.9 |
| 18 to 19 years ........................................................... | 291 | 285 | 298 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
|  | 529 | 565 | 570 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| 25 years and over ..............-........................................... | 1,970 | 2.146 | 2.223 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| 25 to 54 years .............................................................. | 1.795 | 1,055 | 2,039 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 |
| 55 years and over ........................................................ | 183 | 178 | 185 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| ' Unemploymert as a percent of the civilian tebor force. <br> NOTE: Data have been revised based on the experience through <br> Decamber 1990. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tathe A-t0. Employment status of biteck and other workere
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment status | Not seseonally edjusted |  |  | Seasonally edjusted ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Now. 1990 | Dec. 1890 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Aug. 1990 | Sept 1890 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ |
| Civilian noninstitutional poputation .............................................. | 27,332 | 27,866 | 27,924 | 27,332 | 27.711 | 27,761 | 27,808 | 27,866 |  |
| Covlian labor force ........................................................... | 17,592 | 17,809 | 17,682 | 17,649 | 17,527 | 17.568 | 17,621 | 17,718 | 17,738 |
| Participation rate ................................................... | 64.4 | 63.9 | 63.3 | 64.6 | 63.2 | ${ }_{63.3}$ | 63.4 | 63.6 | 17,738 63.5 |
| Employed ................................................................ | 15,905 | 15,872 | 15,782 | 15,889 | 15,702 | 15,674 | 15,755 | 15,771 | 15,774 |
| Employment-population ratio' ...................................... | 58.2 | 57.0 | 56.5 | 58.1 | 56.7 | 56.5 | 56.7 | 56.8 | 56.5 |
| Unemptoyed ................................................................. | 7,687 | 1,936 | 1,900 | 1,780 | 1,825 | 1.894 | 1,866 | 1,947 | 1,964 |
| Unermployment rate ..................................................... | 9.6 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 1,964 11.1 |
| Not in labor torce ........................................................ | 0,741 | 10.057 | 10,242 | 9,683 | 10,184 | 10,193 | 10,187 | 10.148 | 10,186 |

[^4] adiusted cotumns.

Civilian ormployment as a percent of the civilien noninstiuntional

HOUSEHOLD DATA
HOUSEHOLD DATA

Table A-11. Occupational status of the employed and untmployed, not aeasonatly adjusted

| Occupation | Civilian employed |  | Unemployed |  | Unemployment rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doc. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. <br> 1990 | Dec. <br> 1989 | Dec. <br> 1990 |
| Total, 16 years and over' ....................................................................................................... | 117,698 | 117,287 | 6,300 | 7,343 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| Managerial and protessional specialty | 30,594 | 30,823 | 581 | 646 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| Marragental and executive, administrative, and managerial ............................................................ | 14,648 | 14,703 16,119 | 346 336 | 351 | 2.3 | 2.3 1.8 |
| Protessional specialty ................................................................................................... | 15,946 | 16,199 | 236 | 295 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Technical sales, and administrative support ............................................................................ | 36,997 | 36,513 | 1,361 | 1,679 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| Technicians and related support ....................................... | 3,735 | 3,784 | 96 | 113 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| Sales occupations ............. | 14,676 18,586 | 14,391 18,337 | 605 680 | 752 814 | 4.0 3.4 | 5.0 4.3 |
| Administrative support, including clerical .................................... | 18,586 | 18,337 |  |  |  | 4.3 |
|  | 15,364 | 15,860 | 1,055 | 1,123 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| Service occupations | 871 | 800 | 63 | 40 | 6.7 | 4.7 |
| Private nousehold | 1,908 | 1,951 | 57 | 64 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| Protective service $\qquad$ <br> Service, except private household and protective $\qquad$ | 12,584 | 13,109 | 935 | 1.020 | 6.9 | 7.2 |
|  | 13,737 | 13,435 | 796 | 1,028 | 5.5 | 7.1 |
| Precision production, crath, and ropair | 4,478 | 4,499 | 160 | 204 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| Mechanics and repairers $\qquad$ Construction trades $\qquad$ | 5.134 | 5,011 | 471 | 604 | 8.4 | 10.8 |
| Construction trades ......................................................................................................................................... | 4,124 | 3,925 | 165 | 220 | 3.9 | 5.3 |
|  | 18.063 | 17,656 | 1,617 | 1.949 | 8.2 | 8.9 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers .................r. | 8,214 | . 7.968 | 748 | 016 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
| Machine operators, assembiers, and inspectora | 4,857 | 4,958 | 315 | 412 | 6.1 | 7.7 |
| Transportation and material movng Handlers equipment cleaners, hetpers, and laborers .......................................................................................... | 4,992 | 4,730 | 555 | 722 | 10.0 | 13.2 |
|  | 738 | 628 | 138 | 220 | 15.8 | 26.0 |
| Construction laborers $\qquad$ | 4,254 | 4,102 | 417 | 501 | 8.9 | 10.9 |
| Farming, forestry, end fishing .............................................................................................. | 2,944 | 3,001 | 233 | 268 | 7.3 | 8.2 |

- Persons with no previous work experience and those whose last job was
in the Armed forces are included in the unemployed total.

Table A-12. Employment atatus of male Vietnamera vetorana and nonveterana by age, not eemonally adjustod

| Veteran status and ege | Civilian noninstitutional population |  | Civilign labor force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Employed |  | Unemployed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | Percent of labor force |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1889 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. $1890$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1890 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dec. $1989$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1890 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \mathrm{C} . \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & .1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 1890 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| VIETMAM-ERA VETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 35 years and over ................................... | 7,539 | 7,709 | 6,899 | 6,984 |  |  | 6,604 | 6,588 | 294 | 396 | 4.3 | 5.7 |
| 35 to 49 years .................................................. | 6,503 | 6.501 | 6,185 | 6,107 | 5,937 | 5,746 | 249 | 361 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
| 35 to 39 years .............................................. | 1.586 | t,295 | 1,505 | 1.205 | 1,447 | 1.108 | 57 | 99 | 3.8 | 8.2 |
| 40 to 44 years ................................................ | 3,313 | 3,229 | 3,177 | 3,054 | 3,038 | 2,893 | 139 | 160 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| 45 to 49 years ............................................... | 1,604 | 1,877 | 1,503 | 1,848 | 1,451 | 1,747 | 52 | 101 | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| 50 years and over ............................................. | 1,036 | 1,208 | 713 | 877 | 668 | 842 | 46 | 35 | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| MONVETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yotal, 35 to 49 years ............................................ | 16,770 | 17,765 | 15,711 | 16,678 | 15.145 | 15,907 | 566 | 771 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| 35 to 39 years ................................................. | 7.650 | 8,149 | 7.237 | 7.755 | 6,953 | 7.389 | 284 | 366 | 3.9 | 4.7 |
| 40 to 44 years ................................................... | 4.968 | 5.400 | 4,851 | 5,031 | 4,520 | 4,839 3 | 130 | 192 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| 45 to 49 yeers .................................................. | 4,152 | 4,217 | 3,824 | 3,892 | 3,672 | 3,679 | 152 | 213 | 4.0 | 5.5 |

[^5]Table A-43. Employnent atatus of the chullam Doputation for eleven targe States
(Numbers in thoustancts)


See foctnotes at and of table.
household data
Table A.13. Employment status of the civllan poputation for eleven large States-Continued

| State and employment status | Not eensonally adiusted' |  |  | Seasonally adjustou' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1989 | Nov. 1890 | Dec. 1990 | Dec. $1089$ | Aug, <br> 1890 | Sept. 1990 | $\underset{1890}{O_{\mathrm{ct}}}$ | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1690 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pennsyivaria |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 9,377 | 9,392 | 9,393 | 0,395 | 9,388 | 0,402 |
| Civilian noninstitutional population ............................. |  | 5,911 | 5,891 | 5,860 | 5,777 | 5,850 | 5,897 | 5,029 | 5,024 |
| Civilian labor torce ...................................................... | $\mathbf{5 , 8 5 5}$ $\mathbf{5 , 5 6 7}$ | 5,563 | 5,567 | 5,575 | 5,496 | 5,531 | 5.535 | 5,571 | 5,582 |
| Employed .......................................................... | 5,567 | 5.347 | 5.324 | 305 | 281 | 318 | 362 | 358 | 342 |
| Unemployed Unemployment rata $\qquad$ | 4.8 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.1 | . 6.0 | 5.8 |
| Texas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12,432 | 12,447 | 12,288 | 12,381 | 12,404 | 12,416 | 12,432 | $\therefore 12,447$ |
| Crilian noninstitutional population ............................ |  | 12,432 | 8,521 | 8,423 | 8,325 | 8.484 | 8,398 | 6,470 | 8,562 |
| Civilian labor torce ....................................................... | 7,392 7,002 | 7,941 | 7,965 | 7.868 | 7,833 | 7,853 | 7.916 | 7.878 | 7.945 |
| Employed ........................................................ |  | , 583 | '557 | 557 | 492 | 531 | 482 | 592 | 617 |
| Unemployed ....................................................................................... | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 7.2 |

' These are the official Burazu of Labor Statistics' estimates used in the dministration of Federal fund allocation programs. The population fquires are not adjusted for saasonal varasion; therefore,

COlumRS: Revised weasonal edjustment factors are not yet avaliable tor Stete data. The seasonally adjusted series will be revised for the reloase of January data on February 1.

HOUSEHOLD DATA
household data
Tebw A-14. Persons not th the tabor force by reation, sex, and race, quarterty averages
(In thoustends)

| Reason, sex, and rece | Not adasonatly ediusted |  | Sezsornaty edurated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1889 | 1990 | 1899 |  |  |  |  |
|  | IV | IV | IV | 1 | II | III | N |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total not in labor force ....... | 62.658 | 63.872 | 62.586 | 62.764 | 62.985 | 63,471 | 63,772 |
| Do not went a job now $\qquad$ | 57,6547.808 | 50,4638.232 | 57,370 | 57,332 | 57,4496,606 | 58.248 | 58,1886,707 |
|  |  |  | 6.248 | 6.397 |  | 6,927 |  |
|  | 4.662 | 5,039 | 4,731 | 4,692 | 4.994 | 5.059- | 5.115 |
| Keeping house .-.-. | 23.696 | 23,438 | 23,827 | 23,897 | 23,422 | 23.619 | 23.562 |
| Retred | $\begin{array}{r} 17,987 \\ 3.500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,367 \\ 3,388 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,211 \\ 4,352 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,228 \\ 4,118 \end{array}$ | 18,3494.079 | 18,542 | 18,598 |
| Other activity ._............................................ |  |  |  |  |  | 4.061 | 4.206 |
| Want a job now $\qquad$ Peason not looking: School attendance $\qquad$ <br> In healith distibility | 1,215 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,409 \\ & 1,385 \end{aligned}$ | 5,142 | 5.482 | 5.571 | $5,356$ | $5.530$ |
|  |  |  | 1,243 | 1,412 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,429 \\ 915 \end{array}$ | 1.410 | $\begin{array}{r}1.393 \\ \hline 947\end{array}$ |
|  |  | 949 | 12021,245 | 9181.181 |  | 18761,229 |  |
| Horne responsiblities .-................................. |  | 1,093 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 915 \\ 1,263 \end{array}$ |  | 1,150 |
| Think cannot get a job $\qquad$ Job-market tactors $\qquad$ | 1.186 807 | $\begin{gathered} 954 \\ 603 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 799 \\ 543 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 784 \\ & 508 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 879 \\ & 539 \end{aligned}$ | 831 | 941588 |
|  | 556 |  |  |  |  | 519 |  |
| Personal factors ....................................... | $\begin{array}{r} 250 \\ 893 \end{array}$ | 351 | $\begin{aligned} & 258 \\ & 953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 276 \\ 1,188 \end{array}$ | 1.084 | 3121,010 | 1,100 |
| Other reasons' .................................................... |  | 1,028 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total not in tabor torce ............................................................. | 21,267 | 21.814 | 20,966 | 21,182 | 21,338 | 21,597 | 21,505 |
| Do not wam a job now ._-_....................................................... | 19.529 | 19.927 | 19,161 | 19.206 | 19,349 | 18,674 | 19,587 |
| Want a job now $\qquad$ Reason not looking: Schood attendance | $\begin{array}{r} 1,737 \\ 584 \\ 434 \\ 367 \\ 352 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,687 \\ 625 \\ 459 \\ 378 \\ 425 \end{array}$ | 1,771 | 2.018 | 2.011 | 1.951 | 1.927 |
|  |  |  | 596 | 742 | 689 | 713 | 629453 |
| Reasion not looking: School attencance $\qquad$ Ill health, disability $\qquad$ |  |  | 427 | 454 | 487 | 436 |  |
| Think cannot get a job $\qquad$ Other reasons' |  |  | 367 | 333 | 362 | 395 | 383 |
|  |  |  | 381 | 489 | 474 | 407 | 462 |
| Wormen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total not in tabor force .............................................................. |  | 41,392 | 42,059 | 41.619 | 41,583 | 41.650 | 41,875 | 42,267 |
| Do not want a job now ........................................................... | 38,124 | 38,536 | 38,209 | 36,127 | 38,100 | 38.574 | 38,621 |
| Wam a job now .................................................................- | $\begin{array}{r} 3.268 \\ 631 \\ 470 \\ 1,188 \\ 440 \\ 541 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,522 \\ 760 \\ 490 \\ 1,093 \\ 576 \\ 603 \end{array}$ | 3,372 | 3,463 | 3,560 | 3.405 | 3,603 |
| Fieason not looking: School attendance <br> in heath disebizly $\qquad$ |  |  | 647 | 670 | $\begin{aligned} & 740 \\ & 428 \end{aligned}$ | $698$ | 763494 |
|  |  |  | 475 | 464 |  |  |  |
| Home responsibulties $\qquad$ <br> Think carnot get a job $\qquad$ <br> Other reasons |  |  | 1,245 | 1.181 | 1,263 | 1,229 | 1.150 |
|  |  |  | 432 | 450 | 518 | 435 | 558 |
|  |  |  | 572 | 688 | 610 | 603 | 638 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total not in labor force ......... | 53,040 | 53,722 | 52,697 | 52,959 | 59,103 | 53,302 | 53,549 |
| Do not want a job now ........................................................... | 49,523 | 49.918 | 48,245 | 49,04 | 49,082 | 49,362 | 49.636 |
| Want a iob now $\qquad$ Feason not looking: Sctrool attendance | 3.562810 | 3,783 | 3,687 | 4,020 | 3,931 | 3.809 | 3,905 |
|  |  | 839 | 849 | 990 | 953 | 983 | 874 |
| If hasth, disability ......................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 628 \\ & 868 \\ & 555 \\ & 701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 720 \\ & 794 \\ & 642 \\ & 787 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 649 \\ & 904 \\ & 532 \\ & 753 \end{aligned}$ | 669 | 648 | 864 | 748 |
| Horne respornsibilitios ....................................... |  |  |  | 881 | 916 | 904 | 028 |
| Think cantot get a 900 .................................----- |  |  |  | 553 | 607 | 589 | 612 |
| Other reasons' ............................................ |  |  |  | 948 | 807 | 769 | 643 |
| Elack |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total not in labor force .......................................................................... | 7,601 | 7,889 | 7.614 | 7,880 | 7.728 | 7.911 | 7.906 |
| Do not want a jou now ............................................................ | 6,323 | 6,484 | 6.326 | 6,394 | 6,404 | 6.705 | 8,488 |
| Want a jot now ..................................................--m...........- | 1.278 | 1,425 | 1,258 | 1,273 | 1,350 | 1,239 | 1,4ce |
| Reason not looking: School attendance .....................-------...- | 349 | 484 | 339 | 355 | 405 | 340 | 440 |
|  | 267 | 194 | 252 | 227 | 231 | 181 | 183 |
| Horne responsibilities ......................................... | 290 | 287 | 306 | 288 | 274 | 310 | 303 |
| Think cannot got a job ....................................... | 223 | 261 | 223 | 200 | 207 | 203 | 265 |
| Other reasons' .............................................. | 150 | 219 | 147 | 203 | 233 | 205 | 217 |
| - Incucies small number of men not looking for work because of responsibilities." <br> NOTE: Datail ma, wol add to not-in-labor force totals because | home <br> of the | weightian on the e | codures. ience thi | sonally Decemb | ted data 90. | - been | sed bas |


| Industry | Not samsonolly edjustod |  |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Pect }}^{\text {Pectig }}$ | ${ }_{1990}^{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {Noy }}{ }_{\text {Norapr }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Doc }} 198$ | ${ }^{\text {A499\% }}$ | S0pt. | Octo |  |  |
| Tota |  | 111.16811 | 111.1091 | 110.922 | 109,38311 | 110,6131 | 110.6 | 110.432 | 110.175 | 97 |
| Totel | 91,964 | 92,613 | 92, 381 | 92.271 | 91,456 | 92,320 | 92, 306 | 92,112 | 1,8 | ${ }^{19} 1.756$ |
| Goods-prod | 180 | 25,123 | 24.734 | 24.419 | 25,218 | 25,013 | 24,931 | 24.777 | 24,506 | 24.4 |
| Mining........ | 0, 0 | 74.31 | ${ }^{4} 15.81$ | 418.9 | 718 <br> 961 | 4101 | 10 | 41. | 436 | ${ }_{4}^{715}$ |
|  | 51341 | 558337 | 5278 | 4 | 5.2161 |  | 5, 1781 | 5,0931 | S 5.0231 | 4,995 |
| General builiding cont |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing. | 13,320 | 12,943 | 18,832 | 18.18 .751 | 19.284 124 | 12,0888 | 19,019 ${ }^{12,899}$ | 12,951 | 12,7679 | ${ }_{12,656}^{18,714}$ |
| Dursble gaods... | 11,3394 | ${ }^{11} 1.3485$ | 10,914 | 10.8882 7.198 | 11,296 | 41, 7129 | 11,068 ${ }^{\text {3 }}$, | 11,026 | 10.867 | 10.849 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 739 | , | 㖪 | 16 | 113 |
|  | 524.11 | 510 553 55 | 502.01 545.81 | ${ }_{500.21}^{501}$ | 519, | S131 | Stiol | 5071 | 4971 <br> 941 <br> 7451 |  |
| Stone, cioll and dioss pr | 560.1 760.81 2730 |  |  |  | 759 | 755 | $5475$ | 751 | 345 271 271 |  |
|  | 273 1,43 2.33 183 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathbf{1}, 2719$ | 1,41001 2,082 2 | 2,0851 | 1, 387 <br> 2.068 <br> 2.068 |  |
| linder |  | . 67 | . 663 | 2,063.31 |  | - 2,095 | 2, $21.68{ }^{1}$ | 2, | - | 1.648 |
| iransortation eain oment | ${ }^{2} .041 .011$ | . 969.36 | -905 753.81 | ${ }^{1.926} 711$ |  | 1.897 814 894 | 1.881 | 1.9693 |  | 1. 760 |
| Hotor vethicles and | 1, 1.814 .1 | 986.71 39181 | 985 <br> 385 <br> 15 | 985.31 | ${ }^{1.0121}$ | 3991 | 999 | ${ }^{3} 9881$ | ${ }^{984} 1$ | ${ }_{383}^{983}$ |
| Mistel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mondurable prods | 7,9901 | 7.985 | 5,538 | 7.8590 | 5,6181 | 5,573 | S. 568 | 5,541 | 5.502 | 8.491 |
| food and kindred P | 1,640. 31 | 12.700 .3 | 11665 | 1.645 | 1.650 <br> 67 | 1.650 | 1.652] | 1.654 | 1.649 | 1.655 |
| Tosecel products. | 716 | 1690 |  | ( 688.11 | 166 1.0611 | 1.7981 1.0261 | $\begin{array}{r}687 \\ 1.697 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - $\begin{aligned} & 688 \\ & 1.021\end{aligned}$ | (687 | 682 <br> 1.005 |
| Apaperal and other | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1.062 \\ & 6988 \\ & 6\end{aligned}$ | 1.027 |  | 1, 096.6 | 1.068 | 1.026 | - 1.680 | 1.098 <br> 1.598 | 1.695 1.572 | 1.695 <br> 1.570 |
| Paper and slised produc | 11,541.58 | 1, 575.4 | 1,5872. | 1, 518.11 | 1,5731 | 1,5826 | 1,581 | ${ }^{1}, 587$ | 1.572 | 1.378 |
| chemicaiz and allied produc | ${ }^{1}+075.11$ | +.086261 | 16201 | +158.31 |  | ${ }^{161}$ | 161 | 161 | 161 855 |  |
| Rebber snd mase plasties pro | 874.81 132.11 | 871.81 | 857.8 122.0 | 849,919 | 8731 | 875 | ${ }^{8735}$ | \| $\begin{aligned} & 869 \\ & 122\end{aligned}$ | 855 <br> 121 | ${ }_{120}$ |
| Servicer | 85,009 | 86.045 | 86,37 | 86.503 | 84,165 | 85,600 | 85,681 | 15,655 | 85,667 | 6 |
| Transpartation and put | 5,823 | 5.921 | 5.909 | 5.925 | 5,776 | 5.846 | 5,870 | 5.870 | 5.86 | 5,876 |
| coly | 3,226 | 3,703 2,218 | 3, ${ }^{3,696}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.712 \mid \\ & 2.213 \end{aligned}$ | 3.248 | 3.631 2.215 | 3,2, ${ }^{51818}$ | 3, 3,278 | 2, 2,213 | 2.215 |
|  | 6.3461 | 6,373 5 | \|6,352 <br> 3,742 | - 0.3281 | 6.344 3 | 6, 376 | 6.370 | 6, ${ }^{6}, 755$ | 6,342 | ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6, } 328 \\ & 3,731\end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3.7681 <br> 2.5781 | 5.7521 | \| $\begin{aligned} & 3.742 \\ & 2.610\end{aligned}$ | 2.5971 | 2,577 | 3,7706 2,606 | 2, $\begin{aligned} & 3,607 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 3,603 | 2,600 | 2,597 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{20,} 9$ | 20,524 | 19.710 2.519 | 19,846 | 19,884 2.4861 | 19,7926 | 19,742 | 19.694 |
|  | 12,815.21 | 2.42.9 | 2,593, | 12.698.2 | 2.318 | 2.4.435 3 3.1315 |  |  | 2, 31 3,17 2,126 |  |
| Food store |  | 2:138. | 2.1592. | 12.10063 6,615 | 2, 2.113 | ( $\begin{aligned} & 2.135 \\ & 6.613\end{aligned}$ | (2,1400 | 2.130 | 2.126 6.659 | 2,119 6,645 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{6,843}$ | 6, ${ }^{6} 8.34$ | 6,831 |
| Finance. insurance: | 6,368 3 3 3 |  | (1) $\begin{aligned} & 3,834 \\ & 2,148 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 3,3181 <br> 3.149 | 3.329 | 3.3.159 <br> 2.151 | 3, 3.159 | 3, ${ }^{3}, 156$ | 3, $\begin{aligned} & 3,151 \\ & 2,152\end{aligned}$ | 3, $\begin{aligned} & 3,158 \\ & 2,151\end{aligned}$ |
| Insurance | 1,522 | 1.345 | 1,350 | [1,326 | 1,357 | 1,352 | 1,350 | 1,345 | 1.34 | 1.342 |
|  |  | 28.560 |  | ${ }^{28} 86$ | 27.623 | 22, 587 | 28,440 | 28.475 | 28.545 | 28,576 |
|  | 7,781.5 | \|5.1220.8 | 515, 5 , 384.3 | 15,044.21 | 4,7896 | 8.191 | 8.231 | 8.29 | 8.35 | 8,380 |
|  | 18.225 | 18.555 | 18.723 2 | 18,651 | 17,927 | 18.293 | 18.306 | 18,520 | 18.339 2,966 | 18,341 |
|  | +2, 286 | ${ }^{2} 4.422$ | 2, <br>  <br> 1 <br> 1 | 4, ${ }^{2}, 41$ 11.296 | 2, ${ }^{4}, 206$ 10.744 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4, } \\ 10,943\end{array}$ | - 10.9598 | [11,323 | 4.052 11 | - ${ }^{4,1329}$ |
|  | 10,965 | 11.168 | 11.327 | 11.296 | 10.744 | 10,943 | 10,998 | 11.01 | 11,051 | 11.064 |

$p^{\prime}=$ proliminary.

Table b-2. Average weokly hours of production or nonsupervisory workersl, on private nonfera payrolls by industry

| Industry | Wot seasomaliy edjusted |  |  |  | Seasomally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & \text { 19899 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 c t . \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1990 e | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iDec. } \\ & !1990_{\mathrm{E}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oec } \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept: } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Rov. <br> 1990 g | $\boldsymbol{i n e c}_{1990^{\prime}}$ |
| Total privat | 34.6 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 34.8 | 34.4 | 34.5 | 34.7 | 34.2 | 34.4 | 34.6 |
| Mining. | 43.7 | 44.6 | 45.0 | 45.8 | 43.0 | 43.9 | 44.7 | 44.0 | 44.9 | 45.1 |
| Construction | 37.0 | 38.0 | 38.2 | 38.5 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Manufacturing. Overtine hours | 41.3 | 40.9 | 40.8 | 41.5 | 40.6 | 41.0 | 41.9 | 40.7 3.6 | 40.5 3.5 | 40.8 |
| Durable goods.. Overtipe hour | 41.9 | 41.4 | $4 \frac{1.2}{3.7}$ | 42.8 | 41.2 | 4.5 | $4 \frac{3.7}{3.8}$ | 41.5 | 40.9 | 41.3 |
| turber and wood products | 40.2 | 40.2 | 39.4 | 40.2 | 40.0 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 39.8 | 39.5 | 40.0 |
| Furniture and fixtures.. | 40.2 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 39.8 | 39.1 | 39.4 | 39.1 | 38.6 | 38.5 | 38.8 |
| Stone. clay and glass pr | 41.4 | 42.8 | 42.9 | 41.9 | 42.6 | 42.3 | 42.2 | 41.2 | 41.7 | 42.1 |
| 81est furnaces and basic steel | 43.2 | 45.5 | 43.5 | 44.2 | 42.9 | 43.5 | 43.9 | 42.8 | 42.5 | 43.9 |
| Fobricated metal products. | 42.0 | 41.4 | 41.3 | 42.2 | 41.2 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.2 | 40.3 | 41.4 |
| lndustrial machinury and *quipaent. | 43.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.9 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 41.8 | 42.0 |
| Electronic and other electrical equ | 41.5 | 40.9 | 41.0 | 42.0 | 40.5 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 40.7 | 40.6 | 41.0 |
| Transportation equipaent. | 42.5 | 42.3 | 41.4 | 42.6 | 41.7 | 42.6 | 42.8 | 42.5 | 41.1 | 41.8 |
| Instruments mend related produc | 42.9 | 44.3 | 40.7 | 42.2 | 42.0 |  | 43.5 41.3 | 42.9 | 40.1 | 41.7 |
| Miscellancous manufacturing. | 40.0 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 39.7 | 39.3 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.8 | 39.6 | 39.1 |
| Hondurable goods. Overtipe hours | 40.4 | 40.2 | 40.3 | 40.7 | 40.0 3.6 | 40.2 | 40.2 3.6 | 40.0 .3 | 40.0 3.6 | 40.2 |
| Food and kindred products | 41.3 | 41.0 | 41.1 | 41.7 | 40.7 | 41.0 | 41.2 | 40.6 | 40.7 |  |
| Tobacco products. | 38.1 | 40.8 | 40.3 | 41.1 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Textile mill products | 40.5 | 40.2 | 40.0 | 40.4 | 40.2 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 39.9 | 39.6 | 40.1 |
| Apperel and other textila produc | 36.7 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.9 | 36.4 | 36.6 | 36.6 |  | 36.3 | 36.6 |
| Papar and sllied products | 43.9 | 43.6 | 43.8 | 44.3 | 43.2 | 45 | 43.2 38.0 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.6 |
| Chemicals and allied product | 43.2 | 42.5 | 42.8 | 43.3 | 42.6 | 428 | 38.7 42.7 | 42.6 42.6 | 42.5 | 37.9 42.7 |
| Potroleum and coal products... | 45.4 | 43.9 | 46.3 | 45.7 | ${ }^{(20)}$ | (2), | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Pesther and nisc, plesther orodusts... | 41.4 37.8 | 37.4 | 41.0 36.6 | 41.4 37.6 | 40.9 37.4 | 437.3 | 41.4 37.5 | 47.2 | 30.8 | 40.9 37.2 |
| Transportation and public utilities | 38.7 | 38.6 | 38.7 | 39.0 | 38.6 | 38.9 | 39.1 | 38.4 | 58.6 | 38.9 |
| Wholesale trade. | 38.2 | 38.1 | 38.0 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 58.1 | 58.2 | 37.9 | 38.0 | 38.3 |
| Retail trad | 29.3 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 29.1 | 28.8 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 28.4 | 28.7 | 28.6 |
| Finance, insurance, and real esta | 35.6 | 35.5 | 35.6 | 36.2 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Services | 32.5 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.8 | 32.6 | 32.5 | 32.8 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 32.9 |

manufacturing: construction workers in in mining and and nonsupers: construction workers in construction; public utilities; wholosalo and retail trade; finance, nsurance, ond real estate; and services. These groups insurance for approximately four-fifths of the total
account foyes on private nonform payrolls.

2f These series are not published seasonally
djusted since the seasonal component if small reletive on the trend-cycle sendior irregular components and
to tonsequantiy cannot be separated with sufficient aracision.

Table B-3. Average hourly and weekly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workersl/ on private nonfarm payrolls by industry

|  |
| ---: | :--- |

1/ See footnote 1 , table B-2.

Tabla B-G. Average hourly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workersl' on private nonfarm Tabla B-G. Avarage hourly earnings of prod
payrolls by industry, seasonally adjusted

| Industry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Sept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oct. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1990_{E^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | Percent change from: Nov. 1990Dac. 1900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total private: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotarrent dollars. | \$9.831 | \$10.09 | \$10.131 | \$10.12 | \$10.14 | 510.201 | 0.6 |
| Constant (1982) doijarsz | 7.631 | 7.541 | 7.501 | 7.45 | 7.44 | ${ }^{\text {H.A. }}$ |  |
| Mining................... | 13.401 | 13.731 | 13.831 | 13.79 | 13.84 | \$13.731 | -. 8 |
| Construction. | 13.761 | 13.781 | 13.821 | 13.82 | 13.79 10.97 | 111.78 |  |
| Manufacturing....... | 10.62 | 10.901 | 10.93 | 10.971 | 10.97 | 10.56 | 6 |
| Excluding overtimeǵlicic utilities | 10.731 | 113.401 | 13.021 | 13.031 | 13.04 | 13.131 | 6 |
| Transporta tran and public utilities | 10.601 | 10.841 | 10.941 | 10.89 | 10.94 | 11.06 |  |
| Retail trado........, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.64 | 6.821 | 6.831 | 6.841 | 6.86 | 6.86 | 0 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate | 9.751 | 10.061 | 10.171 | 10.11 | 10.10 | 10.231 | 1.7 |
| Services............................. | 9.61 | 9.931 | 9.981 | 9.96 | 9.99 | 10.06 | 7 |

$\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$, See footnote P' table $\mathrm{B}-2$.
and Clarice Indax for Urban and Clerical Workers (CPI-W) is used to deflate this serjes.
used to deflace was -0.1 percent from October
1990 to hovenber 1990 , the latost month
avalable. Derived by assuming that overtine hours are paid at the rate of time and onehalf.
H. A. = not available

establishatht data
Table D-6. Diffusion indexes of employment chengy. seasonally adjusted
(Percent)


[^6]establishment data
able B-5. Indexes of aggregate weakly hours of production or nonsupervisory workersl/ an private nonfarm payrolls by industry
(1982=100)

| Industry | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1990_{\mathrm{R}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Dee } \\ 1989 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Aug } \\ 1990 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 c t . \\ 1990 \end{array}\right.$ | Nov. $1990 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee }, \\ & 1990 \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| To | 124.6 | 124.51 | 124.1 | 125.6 | 123.31 | 124.6 | 125.3 | 123.1 | 123.4 | 124.2 |
| producing industries | 111.21 | 111.1 | 108.9 | 108.5 | 110.41 | 110.5 | 110 | 108.0 | 107.0 | 107.7 |
| Mining. | 64.2 | 68.6 | 69.0 | 69.9 | 63.01 | 66.1 | 67.3 | 66.6 | 67.8 | 68.7 |
| Constructi | 134 | 143.9 | 139.0 | 132.0 | 138.11 | 159.8 | 139.7 | 132.1 | 135.3 | 136.0 |
| Nanufactur | 109 | 106.8 | 105.0 | 106.0 | 107.6 | 107.1 | 106.8 | 105.6 | 103.5 | 104.2 |
| Durable goods. | 109.4 | 105.51 | 103.2 | 104.8 | 1107.11 | 106.5 | 105.91 | 104.6 | 101.8 | 102.6 |
| Durable goods.......atucts | 130.71 | 128.71 | 122.7 | 122.9 | 1131.4 | 129.7 | 130.51 | 126.1 | 122.9 | 123.8 |
| Fumber and wood products | 151.61 | 124.21 | 120.8 | 122.9 | 1126.6 | 125.71 | 123.91 | 1121.4 | 118.0 106.2 | 118.3 |
| Stone, elay, and glass prod | 109.01 | 109.3 | 107.8 | 104.2 92 | -111.21 | 109.5 <br> 93.0 | 108.71 | 185 | 106.2 90.8 | 106.2 90.6 |
| Primary metal industries | 94.2 81.2 | 92.5 80.4 | 881.3 | 82.2 | - 81.11 | 80.8 | 81.6 | 81.8 | 81.2 | 88.0 |
| Plast furnaces and basic steel product | 110.6 | 107.3 | 105.6 | 107.3 | 1107.7 | 108.1 | 107.4 | 105.9 | 103.5 | 104.5 |
| Fabricated metal products. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ industrial machinery and equipmen | 102.1 | 96.21 | 96.1 | 97.9 | 99.51 | 98.11 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 95.6 | 95.4 |
| Industrial machinery and equipmont.i | 112.7 | 107.0 | 106.5 | 108.5 | 1109.4 | 107.2 | 107.6 | 1106.1 | 104.7 | 105.6 |
| Transportation equipment. | 124.3 | 120.2 | 111.8 | 116.8 | 120.71 | 1122.21 | 121.31 | 1120.01 | 110.0 109.2 | 113.2 |
| Motor vehiclas and equipment | 133.5 | 129.11 | 112.1 85.8 | 120.8 87.8 | 128.71 | 186.5 | 126.1 | 84.81 | 84.3 | 85.3 |
| Instruments and related prod | 90.1 105.6 | 85.4 <br> 107.51 | 185.8 | 187.8 | 1104.3 | 104.8 | 104.8 | 103.8 | 102.5 | 101.9 |
| fliscellaneous manufacturing |  | 107.5 |  | 102.7 | 104.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 109.6 | 108.6 | 107.6 | 107.8 | 108.3 | 108.0 | 107.9 | 106.91 | 106.0 | 106.5 |
| Hondurable poods ${ }^{\text {Food and kindred produ }}$ | 108.91 | 114.1 | 111.8 | 111.0 | 108.4 | 109.7 | 110.4 | 108.9 | 109.0 | 110.3 |
| Tobeceo products. | 72.1 | 73.3 | 70.4 | 72.2 | 165.8 | 68.81 | 68.51 | 66.01 | 64.7 96.8 | 97.0 |
| Textile mill products | 103.8 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 105.1 | 1100.1 | 92.4 | ${ }_{9} 97.31$ | 86.8 | 97.4 |
| Apparel and other textile eroduct | 112. | 92. | 191.4 | 113.1 | 110.2 | 111.8 | 110.8 | 111.41 | 110.5 | 111.2 |
| Paper and allied products. | 130.01 | 127.71 | 128.2 | 129.7 | 127.01 | 129.61 | 128.31 | 127.81 | 126.7 | 126.6 |
| Printing and publishing... | 106.6 | 103.41 | 103.7 | 105.2 | 105.3 | 1103.21 | 1104.1 | 103.9 | 103.5 | 104.1 |
| Chemicals and acal products | 86.91 | 89.21 | 94.0 | 89.8 | 87.9 | 88.01 | 89.91 | 86.81 | 93.3 121.9 | 90.3 |
| Rubber and misc. plasties products | 127.31 | 126.3 | 123.2 | 122.9 | 125.4 | 126.8 | 126.7 | 125. | 121.9 55.3 | 121.1 56.0 |
| Leather and leather products | 63.5 | 58.4 | 56.0 |  |  | 59.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Service-producing ind | 30.61 | 1150.6 | 130.9 | 133.2 | 129.1 | 130.91 | 132.0 | 129.9 | 130.7 | 151.6 |
| rtation and | 114 | 1116 | 116.1 | 117.7 | 11 | 115.21 | 1116.4 | 114.4 | 119.9 | 116.2 |
| l |  |  | 118.6 | 119.2 | 119.1 | 119.5 | 119.6 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 118.9 |
|  |  |  | 124. | 129.0 | 123.8 | 124.11 |  | 122.51 | 123.3 | 122.6 |
| Retail trade |  |  | 124.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate | 120.9 | 121.11 | 121.2 | 123.4 | 121.0 | 122.9 | 124.1 | 121.2 | 122.0 | 123.9 |
| Services | 141.6 | 196.5 | 146.4 | 147.8 | 142.8 | 146.0 | 147.7 | 145.7 | 146.9 | 148.7 |

1/ See footnote 1, table B-2.
$p=p r e l i m i n e r y$.

Senator Sarbanes. Thank you very much, Commissioner. Let me first just pick up on something you said towards the close of your statement.

In addition to the rise in the unemployment figure, which you've outlined this morning, now it is 6.1 percent, the number of discouraged workers-in other words people not looking for a job because they don't think a job is to be found-is now almost a million, the highest figure in a couple of years.

And, the number of people working part time-they want to work full time, but they can only find part time work-is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. That number has reached over $51 / 2$ million.
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. If those figures were factored into the unemployment rate, what would the unemployment rate be?

Mrs. Norwood. That's a number that we publish as U-7, and it's 8.9 percent for the fourth quarter of the year.

Senator Sarbanes. It is 8.9 percent?
Mrs. Norwood. It's a quarterly figure.
Senator Sarbanes. What was it last June when unemployment started to rise?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, in the second quarter, it was 8.0 , so it's up nine-tenths.

Senator Sarbanes. It is up almost a point?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. Now, what do you make of the fact that, as you say, the impact of higher unemployment has been remarkably even? In other words, that it is affecting every major demographic group.

What are the implications of that observation?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, I think that it is the result of the widespread impact of the declines in employment that we are seeing. About a third of the unemployed are 16 to 24 years old. And, many of them are working in some of the sales occupations, some of the other parts of the retail trade industry and other services that tend to hire younger people.

It's quite clear that those industries have been losing jobs. If you look at it in terms of whites, blacks and Hispanics, we find that approximately 22 percent of the unemployed are black. And, that's a disproportionate number, since only about 11 percent of the population are black. So, it's nearly double their proportion of the workforce. So, they are obviously, as always in a period of economic distress, affected the most.

The Hispanic group represents about 12 percent of the unemployed, while about three-fourths are white. The unemployment is also spread between men and women. Women's participation rates went up some this month, but they have been leveling off at least if not going down a little bit. But, women represent about 44 percent of the unemployed as well.

So, any way you look at it, it seems to me that most groups of the population are seeing their unemployment rates rise.

Senator Sarbanes. Well, we have had eight recessions in the postwar period. Do you have a figure on what the average increase in the unemployment rate was during those recessions?
Mrs. Norwood. For all of the recessions?
Senator Sarbanes. Well, just generally speaking.
Mrs. Norwood. No, I don't.
Senator Sarbanes. The figure I have is that the average increase is about 3 percent in the unemployment rate during a recession period.

Would that square with your analysis?
Mrs. Norwood. You mean, over the entire period of the recession?

Senator Sarbanes. So, when the nation goes into--
Mrs. Norwood. It's about right, yes.
Senator Sarbanes. - a recession, if you use average figures, you can project that the unemployment rate at the bottom of the recession is going to be about three points above-

Mrs. Norwood. That's correct. Absolutely correct, yes.
Senator Sarbanes. So, if you project that onto this recession, it is reasonable to assume that we are going to go above 8 percent.

Mrs. Norwood. If it's like every other recession.
Senator Sarbanes. If it's like the other eight.
Mrs. Norwood. The average of the other recessions, yes.
Senator Sarbanes. We started at 5.3 percent. If it's not as bad it won't be as much, and if it's worse it will be even more.

Mrs. Norwood. That's right.
Senator Sarbanes. But, if it's at the average, it is reasonable to anticipate an unemployment rate in excess of 8 percent; would that be correct, on the basis of the--

Mrs. Norwood. If that happens.
Senator Sarbanes. - past performance?
Mrs. Norwood. If that happened, yes.
Senator Sarbanes. Even if it were a mild recession, it would be well up above 7 percent, would it not, on the basis of this historical performance?

Mrs. Norwood. Perhaps, if the conditions were the same. I've seen a number of forecasts that go up to certainly 6.8 percent. I think that's generally a consensus at the moment, without the data we are putting out today.

Senator Sarbanes. That projection is really quite optimistic on the basis of what has occurred in past recessions in the postwar period, isn't it, 6.8 percent?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, it's based, I think, on the view that some people have that the recession will be fairly short.

Senator Sarbanes. That's right. But, it would be the shortest and the shallowest of the postwar recessions at that figure, would it not?

Mrs. Norwood. 1980 was rather short.
Senator Sarbanes. Yes, other than 1980, possibly 1980, isn't that correct? I mean, I hope it turns out that way, but if one is just trying to anticipate what is coming, the projection of 6.8 percent as the bottom of the recession is a quite optimistic projection, given what we have experienced in past recessions in the post-World War II period.

Mrs. Norwood. It depends partly, Mr. Chairman, on what assumption you are making about when the recession started. And, there is still a good deal of disagreement about that.

But, we do know that considering all of the post-war recessions, the contraction period lasted on average about 11 months and that there was a range that went from about 6 months to 16 months.

So, in part, it depends on whether we are in the second quarter of the recession or the first quarter of the recession.
Senator Sarbanes. You have testified in previous hearings that the normal growth in the labor force is about $11 / 2$ million a year; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. That's correct.
Senator Sarbanes. Is that the figure you are still using?
Mrs. Norwood. The labor force is growing very, very slowly now which, of course, keeps the unemployment rate somewhat lower. There is a lot less upward pressure on the unemployment rate.
We only had about 550,000 growth over the last year; that is, from December to December.
Senator Sarbanes. Well, let me ask this question: I have a time frame that from May 1989 to May 1990 the civilian labor force grew about $11 / 2$ million; is that correct?
Mirs. Norwood. That sounds right. We can check the figure, but that sounds right.
Senator Sarbanes. Now, between May and November of this year-in other words up until May of last year, for the previous year the labor force grew by $11 / 2$ million-from May to November of this year, a 6 -month period, the labor force fell 400,000 . It didn't even grow. It actually fell 400,000 people.
What has happened?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, we have discussed this several times. I think that several things have happened.
One is, there are fewer teenagers; fewer babies were born 16 to 19 years ago, so fewer young people are coming into the labor force now. In fact, we had a decline in the labor force of 650,000 over the last year for teenagers alone.
The second thing is that the labor force participation rates for women have stopped growing as fast. And, in fact, over the past several months, except for last month, they seemed to have begun going downward.

And, as a result of that, there is considerably less upward pressure on the unemployment rate. And, that's one of the reasons I think that, in analyzing what is going on in the economy, we have to look both at unemployment and at employment to see what is going on.

Senator Sarbanes. Well, now the decline in labor force participation of teenagers, that's not a demographic decline. I mean, the teenagers are there; they are simply not entering the labor force; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. It's both.
Senator Sarbanes. Or, is it that the teenagers aren't there because there have been demographic changes and we don't have as many teenagers coming along?
Mrs. Norwood. There were changes in the birth rate. But, I think a good part of this is also that many of the kinds of jobs that
formerly were available in large numbers to teenagers are no longer there. Those industries are not hiring.

Senator Sarbanes. And, the decline in the participation by women?

Mrs. Norwood. I'm not sure what to make of that. For several months, we have seen a decline in that participation rate.

For the month of December, the women's participation rate went up a little. It's now still slightly below the level of September. I believe that that is moving around a little bit.

Senator Sarbanes. Is there a greater drop-out from the labor force in this recession than we've seen in the past?

Mrs. Norwood. We do have a comparison of the participation rates for this period. If you look at the last 6 months at least and look at 6 months of the July 1981 recession, it's about the same. It's about one-tenth of a point drop in labor force participation.

Senator Sarbanes. The unemployment rate can rise rather quickly on the basis of our experience in past recessions; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. That's right. But, it rises most frequently with a fast growing labor force.

As you know, if the labor force doesn't grow very fast, then there is not going to be a lot of upward pressure on the unemployment rate, even if you are losing jobs.

Senator Sarbanes. Suppose you have a situation in which the unemployment rate is not rising that fast but the reason for it is that a lot of people are discouraged right from the beginning and simply drop out of the labor force in terms of looking for a job. In that instance would not the unemployment rate understate the amount of economic distress which existed, because the unemployment rate would be not as high but the reason it's not as high is because more people are simply abdicating out of the labor force or not looking for a job because they don't think a job is there to be found?

Mrs. Norwood. There are a number of factors that relate to that situation. One is, of course, that the number of discouraged workers, as we've discussed, goes up. And, that usually happens in a recession period. And, it certainly has happened over the last several months.

Another is that conditions in different parts of the country are somewhat different. The mid-west does not seem to be exhibiting the kind of increase in unemployment rates that other parts of the country are having. And, that may be a variety of reasons, but it may also be that the labor force is not growing as fast.

Perhaps Mr. Plewes can add more to that.
Mr. Plewes. That was the essential point.
Senator Sarbanes. Well, I will come back on another round. I will yield to Senator Symms.

Senator Symms. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I would like to welcome Dr. Norwood and the other witnesses.

And, Mr. Chairman, I would also like to take this moment to congratulate you on your designation as chairman of the JEC for this Congress.

Senator Sarbanes. Thank you.

Senator Symms. I think that I can say certainly for myself and I think for most of the other Republican members that we look forward to working with you in a spirit of cooperation and mutual respect.
Now, having said that, I think you and I know that we don't always agree with the majority on every policy issue. However, we would like to cooperate whenever possible. I think that it will add to the ability of this committee greatly to be able to have a full airing of many of these economic issues that come before this committee.

Now, this unemployment compensation, of course, and unemployment in general, are issues of growing importance in the recession. Perhaps if the payroll tax increases had been less drastic over the past 15 years, faster job growth might have provided more adequate funding in state UI programs.
I find it fascinating that Congress since 1986 really has been hell bent for repealing America's perestroika that seems to have done so well toward adding new jobs and new growth in the economy from 1982. When I look back at what we did last year with additions of regulations on our producers, excessive regulations in many instances that are costly, the failure in my view of the Congress to lower the payroll tax, the failure of the Congress to reduce the rate of capital taxation, we do things that unravel an otherwise growing economy.
Having said that, I am not naive enough to think the business cycle isn't still alive. Consequently, I think that when you do go through periods of sustained economic growth, a downturn is probably natural. Dr. Norwood has seen this, year in and year out, that there is some slow down and some correction of the economy.
I would like to start off with a question, to you, Doctor, or to any of the people here. Do you think that a reduction in the payroll tax which would lower the cost of job creation, would help lessen this deterioration, as you point out in your testimony, of the job market?

Mrs. Norwood. I really don't know. And, I think very few people do know exactly what kinds of activities or actions could help in a recession period.

And, I certainly, as you know, do not comment on any specific policy questions. It's quite clear that what we need is more opportunity for investment, for more consumer confidence, than is apparent right now.

There are some very encouraging signs. Exports are improving. The dollar is quite weak, which certainly means that our goods are much easier for foreigners to buy. Interest rates are coming down. And, those are all very important factors.

On the other hand, we don't really know yet what is going to happen in the Middle East. But, we do know that any really large increase in oil prices could have a very depressing effect upon the economy. And, we are also not sure how much credit is going to be available, given the problems that we have in the banking system.

Senator Symms. Given the condition of the economy, do you think this is a time when Congress should be imposing large new tax increases so they can continue massive new spending programs, which is what happened in last year's budget which we will now be
living with this year? In addition, do you think this is a time to be passing new burdensome regulations on business in the country?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I have learned as one who is a manager in government who has struggled with trying to work through the kind of budget that the Congress appropriates for us that it is best to leave decisions of that kind to the wisdom of the members of the Congress.

I really can't comment on that.
Senator Symms. Well, you are very tactful, Doctor. I don't suppose you have the Idaho specific data, but could you tell us a little bit about the regional patterns of the employment and unemployment trends?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. I think the regional developments are really quite interesting.

It's clear that the economic downturn began some time ago in New England and that if you look at some of the states in New England, Massachusetts in particular, the jobless rate has really gone up quite a bit.

Senator Symms. Could I just interject and ask you a point on that?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Symms. Did it start in New England with the initiation of the cut-backs of the defense budget in 1985 ?

Mirs. Norwood. I don't know. Do you Tom?
Mr. Plewes. It was tied to a number of things, not only defense but the softening of some of the high tech industries, especially the computer market; those kind of movements tended to cascade.

Senator Symms. I always found it interesting that during the buildup in defense spending, during the late 1970's and the early 1980's, there were millions and literally billions of dollars spent in the Boston area at some of the high-tech universities and labs. This was a big underpinning of the economy.

Yet from that region of the country, oftentimes there were the biggest howls about the defense budget. As it has not been increased since 1985 and, as you know, actually it has been reduced if you take out inflation, I wonder how much that had to do with the economy there?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, clearly the New England area in general has been a source of well trained people who have gone into high tech industry. And, the high tech industry, as you will recall, began to experience some downturns. And, I think that has been in large part, at least a large part of the issue there.

But, we've had now other areas of the country that-
Senator Symms. Go ahead, please. I'm sorry that I interrupted you.

Mrs. Norwood. For example, if you look at the west, we've seen an increase in the unemployment rate. We've seen an increase in the unemployment rate of the south, perhaps even more of an increase than we've seen in New England. And, then if you get down to the middle Atlantic states and to the south Atlantic states, you can see fairly large increases. The area that seems to have had the smallest change is the mid-west. And, that's primarily rural, of course.

Senator Symms. Do you have the northwest in a regional pattern?

Mrs. Norwood. The northwest? We have--
Senator Symms. Or, is it all in with the West?
Mrs. Norwood. -the Pacific.
Mr. Plewes. It's in with the Pacific region. And, in the Pacific region as a whole, which includes California and Hawaii as well as the northwest, the unemployment rate increased by 1.4 percentage points over the year.

Senator Symms. What is the ratio of employment based on population with historical standards, for example, compared to 1980 ?
Mrs. Norwood. You mean, for the country as a whole? We have had a decline in the employment population ratio in the last 6 months of about six-tenths of a point.

In the first 6 months of the 1981 or 1982 recession, we had a decline in the EP ratio of about nine-tenths.
Senator Symms. How does that compare historically with what we've had during past recessions?
Mrs. Norwoon. Well, slightly less.
Senator Symms. This is slightly less?
Mrs. Norwood. Than the 1981-82 recession.
Senator Symms. Okay.
Mrs. Norwood. It's about two-thirds of it.
Senator Symms. I was trying to recall the other day how the general premise of the recession and the attitude of the public was in 1980-81 compared to today and compared with what they are being told on the nightly news cast every night about how bad everything is.

Do you recall peoples attitudes?
Mrs. Norwood. No, I don't.
Senator Symms. But, you are saying this is better at this point?
Mrs. Norwood. Than the first 6 months of the beginning of the 1981-82 recession. However, as I commented before in discussion with Senator Sarbanes, employment in manufacturing began to decline at the beginning of 1989 . So, we have had really 2 years of decline in employment in manufacturing.
Employment in construction began to decline last spring. So, we've had, say, 9 months or so of decline there.

What we are talking about in terms of an overall economic downturn is really either the fourth quarter or the third and fourth quarter. So, we shouldn't overlook the fact that those two industries at least have really been in recession for some time.

Senator Symms. I wonder if I can summarize this-and I think the chairman has another round of questions he wants to ask-you are saying that the employment population ratio by historical standards is not abnormally low, it's basically high?

Mrs. Norwood. I'm saying that if we compare the last 6 months to the first 6 months of the 1981-82 recession period, the employment population ratio has declined only about $2 / 3$ of the earlier amount.

Mr. Plewes. But, it's still high historically.
Mrs. Norwood. Yes. It's still high.
Senator Symms. It's still high historically?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.

Senator Symms. Thank you very much.
Senator Sarbanes. I would just say that I draw small comfort from an observation that these figures compared with the 1981-82 figures are not quite as bad since the 1981-82 recession, if I'm not mistaken, was the worst recession the country has experienced since the Great Depression.

Am I correct in my recollection that the 1981-82 recession was the worst that we had experienced since the 1930s?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, sir. It certainly was in the labor market.
Senator Sarbanes. That was when the unemployment rate reached a peak of 10.8 percent, wasn't it?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. In the labor market, it was very steep.
Senator Symms. Do you think this is headed for 10.8 percent? Excuse me, Mr. Chairman, but-

Mrs. Norwood. I will wait to see where it's headed.
Senator Sarbanes. I have a chart. You do a table on aggregate weekly hours of workers. We've tried to do sort of a rough correlation between the decline in aggregate hours and the change in real GNP, and, it is reflected here in this chart.

We have taken these previous recessions and we get a decline in the aggregate hours worked. I think it is your Table B-5 in your report. And, we have gone back and looked at the decline in real GNP that corresponded for that time period. In each instance the decline in GNP exceeded, and in some instances by substantial margins, the decline in the hours worked.

Now, for this quarter, we have had a decline of 4.2 percent; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. Using this correlation, isn't it reasonable to project that the decline in real GNP would be in the 5 percent range or certainly in excess of 4.2 percent?

Mrs. Norwood. That's an interesting way to look at this situation, and I think it could be a predictor. It appears, as your chart has shown, to have been in the past.

I would point out, however, as I indicated to Mr. Symms, that there has really been a long lead time into this downturn. The goods producing sector has really been in recession for a considerable period of time.

And, it's possible, therefore, that because of that situation we could be overstating the effects of aggregate hours, because we are looking just at the current hours. I believe what you are doing is looking at the percent change from the third quarter to the fourth quarter. And, since we've had a lot of aggregate hours declining since 1989 , I'm not sure that the change from the third to the fourth quarter is as good a predictor as it might have been, say, in 1974 or 1970.

But, I don't really know. It certainly is an interesting approach.
Senator Sarbanes. You would have to break with all our past experience. I don't expect you to answer this, but the Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisors estimated that the fourth quarter real GNP will decline at 3.4 percent annual rate.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. That was Chairman Boskin's estimate. Now, I am increasingly concerned by an unwillingness to come to grips
with economic realities. I mean, people said we are in a recession. We kept hearing denials from Administration officials that we were into a recession. In fact, we got all kinds of rhetoric, different kinds of rhetoric.

Finally, Alfred Kahn said, "Well, it's a banana we are into." Let's say we are in a banana in order to try to address this unwillingness. Now the administration has itself acceded to the fact that we are into a recession. It seems to me you have to come to terms.

Certainly on the basis of this kind of correlation, there is no reason to project a decline in GNP of only 3.4 percent. It just doesn't square with past experience.

We will get those figures later, I take it, but again it's putting a gloss on the situation that may be unwarranted and may delay policy reactions that are important to address the situation. We started this year with 5.3 percent unemployment; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. And, as I recall, with a fairly strong job growth at the beginning of 1990. Could you, Commissioner, just give us an overview of how the employment/unemployment situation then developed over 1990 ?

We now have in the December figures for 1990, so we have the basis to look at the year. We started the year at 5.3 percent. We started the year with a fairly strong employment growth.

What happened?
Mrs. Norwood. I think one needs to look at the data in terms of employment and unemployment, because they are somewhat different. We had a relatively stable unemployment situation for the first 6 months, the first 5 months really, of the year, somewhere around 5.3 percent almost every single month. In fact, people lost interest in the releases BLS were putting out, because they still seemed to say the same thing.

By the middle of the year--
Senator Sarbanes. They all thought it was last month's release because the figure was the same.

Mrs. Norwood. That's right. By the middle of the year, beginning really in July, the unemployment rate began to creep up first in dribs and drabs, two-tenths, one-tenth, another one-tenth, twotenths and so on, so that it has, as we discussed before, increased eight-tenths of a percent in 6 months.

The employment situation is somewhat different, because manufacturing peaked late in 1988. And, it began going down and with some exceptions it continued to go down. We have lost a lot of jobs in the manufacturing industry over a 2 year period. It didn't wait until the last half year to begin going down.

Construction also began going down much earlier than other industries. What was keeping things up was basically services.

Along about the fall of the year, perhaps a little bit before that, the service producing sector began to show some signs of change. The services industry itself, which has been a big area of job creation, continued to add jobs but at a much, much slower pace than before. And, it just wasn't enough to compensate for the job loss in the goods producing sector.

Business services, which had been responsible during a good part of the expansion for one in every eight new jobs, began to go down-
hill and has continued down over certainly the last quarter of the year. And, what we have been seeing really is job growth only in public education and health services.

Overall non-agricultural employment continued to grow quite a bit through June, and that's because of the service producing sector, and then began to slow down. The declines picked up in the fall.

Some of that was affected by the Census Bureau hiring and letting go of people. So, in some ways it's a little bit better to look at the total private economy which separates out, of course, both the public education people but also the Census Bureau people coming and then leaving once the census was over.

The total private economy, is down 300,000 jobs over the year.
Senator Sarbanes. I want to lead into the next hearing a bit by asking you a few questions about unemployment insurance benefits.

Mrs. Norwood. Okay.
Senator Sarbanes. But, before I do that, let me just ask about regional employment figures. Is the current recession hitting all regions of the country in roughly equal proportions?

Mrs. Norwood. I would say that it's hitting the northeast, the south and the west now in about equal proportions if what you are looking at is the unemployment rate. The mid-west is not experiencing the increases in the unemployment rate that the other areas are.

If you look at job loss, however, the northeast has had really the biggest job loss in percentage terms of any of the regions.

Senator Sarbanes. And, do you do labor force participation by regions?

Mrs. Norwood. We do. I don't know that we have them here. We can supply them for the record.

Do you have them?
Mr. Plewes. No.
Mrs. Norwood. No, we don't. Now, of course, one of the prob-lems--

Senator Sarbanes. Do you recollect whether labor force participation varies in any significant degree from region to region?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I know that we have problems of interpretation in the mid-west, because much of that is rural, with farm areas, although a lot of people have jobs in non-agricultural industry as well. We also have a lot of seasonal unemployment.

And, it's not always clear that the kinds of definitions we have do very well in areas of that kind.

Senator Sarbanes. Let me just turn to the unemployment insurance system as a prelude to the next panel.

Mrs. Norwood. All right.
Senator Sarbanes. It is anticipated that during this recession, millions of workers will lose their jobs for some period of time. Do you measure how many of the unemployed are covered by unemployment insurance?

Mrs. Norwood. We don't actually measure that in surveys, but we do get information from the unemployment insurance staff of the Employment and Training Administration of the Department
which comes from the administrative records of the unemployment insurance system in most of the states.
So, we do know about coverage of those people.
Senator Sarbanes. When do you do your survey in December for the unemployment figures that you have just given us?

Mrs. Norwood. The unemployment survey, the household survey usually uses as its reference week the week that includes the 12th day of the month. But in December, the reference week is often moved up a week in order to avoid the Christmas/New Year's period. And, so it really covers a week earlier than usual, which is the 5th to the 8th.

Senator Sarbanes. The 5th to the 8th of December?
Mrs. Norwood. The second to the eighth. It's usually a week later.

Senator Sarbanes. There is an article in this morning's New York Times, in the business section, that says, "Sharp Rise in Claims by Jobless: Applications Highest in Nearly Eight Years. The number of Americans applying for unemployment benefits soared 16.8 percent the week before Christmas, the highest level in nearly 8 years, the Labor Department reported today.
"Normally, the weekly report on initial jobless insurance claims receives little attention. But, the figures tend to move sharply at economic turning points and consequently analysts have begun to monitor them closely for clues as to the severity of the recession that even the Bush administration now acknowledges exists.
"Today's report, which covered the week ending December 22, intensified fears about the economy because the increase was exceptionally large and it followed 2 straight weekly declines."
Now, in your survey and the figures you gave us this morning preceded this report; is that correct?
Mrs. Norwood. That's correct.
Senator Sarbanes. Is this report any sort of reasonable indicator of what the next month's unemployment figures might show?

Mrs. Norwood. The relationships are not as clear as they used to be. There are a number of forecasters who use the insured unemployed. And, I would think that it would be an important element, but not the only element.

What we have seen is an increase in the number of initial claimants and also an increase in the coverage of those claimants by UI, not by a great deal but some. If you look at the CPS reference week, in November we had UI coverage of roughly 34 percent of the CPS total unemployed. In December, considering the week of the 5th, we had unemployment insurance coverage of roughly 41 percent.
And, as you said, the number of initial claimants did go up in the week following.
Senator Sarbanes. It went up rather sharply, the highest in eight years.
Mrs. Norwood. Some of that is related to the up and down nature of the automobile industry, because many of those auto workers qualify for unemployment insurance.
Senator Sarbanes. How many of the workers losing their jobs qualify for unemployment insurance? What percent?

Mrs. Norwood. I think that's one of the issues that you are going to discuss with the panel later. We did carry out a supplement to the current population survey which researchers at The Urban Institute have been analyzing.

And, we did ask at least a portion of the unemployed people whether they had applied for unemployment insurance. And, they will be providing you with some of their analysis.

Senator Sarbanes. It's fair to say that the number of people covered by unemployment insurance today in percentage terms is significantly less than it was, say, in the 1960 s and 1970 s ; is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. There has been a trend downward during the 1980s and in 1979 as well.

In the 1975 recession, approximately two-thirds of the total unemployed as measured in our survey were covered by UI benefits. That went down to somewhere around $30,31,32$ percent.

For the week of the 8th, it's up to 40.9 . But, it's still pretty low compared to the 1960s and the 1970s.

Senator Sarbanes. So, essentially we have gone from having twothirds of the unemployed covered by unemployment insurance to having--

Mrs. Norwood. To slightly more than one-third.
Senator Sarbanes. -only one-third of the unemployed covered?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, that's correct.
Senator Sarbanes. Well, that is what we are going to pursue with the next panel.

Thank you very much, Commissioner, and your associates.
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you.
Senator Sarbanes. Did you have anything more?
Senator Symms. No. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Madam Commissioner, and all of you. Happy New Year to all of you.
[Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:40 a.m., Friday, January 4, 1991.]

# JANUARY EMPLOYMENT SITUATION 

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1991<br>U.S. Congress, Joint Economic Committee, Washington, DC.

The committee met at $9: 26$ a.m. in room 2359 of the Rayburn House Office Building, the Hon. Lee H. Hamilton (vice chairman of the committee), presiding.
Present: Representative Hamilton.
Also present: William Buechner, professional staff member.

## OPENING STATEMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE HAMILTON, VICE CHAIRMAN

Representative Hamilton. The Joint Economic Committee will come to order. This morning the committee meets to examine the employment and unemployment statistics for January 1991.
Our witness is the Honorable Janet Norwood, Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The employment and unemployment figures you are releasing this morning, Commissioner, and how you interpret them in your testimony will we hope shed some new light on the current state of our economy and help clear up some of the uncertainty about where the economy is going in the months ahead.
I welcome you to the committee, also your colleagues, and you may now proceed with your statement.

## STATEMENT OF HON. JANET L. NORWOOD COMMISSIONER, bureau of labor statistics, department of labor, acCOMPANIED BY KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS; AND thomas J. Plewes, associate commissioner, office of EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS

Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
As is our custom, I have with me on my right Kenneth Dalton who is our price expert; and Thomas Plewes, our employment/unemployment expert on the left. We very much appreciate the opportunity to be here this morning.
Employment continued to decline in January, and unemployment continued the slow rise that began last summer. The civilian unemployment rate was 6.2 percent, nearly a full percentage point higher than last June.
Payroll employment fell by 230,000 in January. Over the past 4 months, an average of 205,000 jobs per month were lost. Durable
goods' manufacturing was again hard hit, with a loss of 60,000 jobs in January. The declines in nondurable goods' employment have moderated in the last 2 months.

Overall, about 900,000 factory jobs have been lost over the last 2 years, half of them in just the last 5 months.

Construction had by far its worst performance of recent months, with employment falling by 155,000 after seasonal adjustment. Some of these losses may stem from the temporary effect of unusually bad weather, however; bad weather certainly contributed to the sharp January decline in hours for this industry. The decline in construction employment is now approaching 10 percent of this industry's employment.

Retail trade showed an increase of 85,000 jobs in January after seasonal adjustment. Nonetheless, I believe that the underlying trend in retail trade employment continues to be quite weak. PreChristmas hiring was less than half the average over the past 10 years, and there were therefore fewer workers to be laid off. The seasonal adjustment process, which is based on the holiday buildup and wind-down of prior years, probably has exaggerated recent movements, including that in January.

Employment growth in the services' industry has come to a halt. Losses in business services now total 90,000 jobs over the past 4 months. However, employment in health services continued to grow.

Last month I pointed out that the increase in hours of work might not be sustained in subsequent months and that the number needed to be interpreted with caution. In January, the overall workweek and factory workweek each fell by half an hour, and in fact hours were down in every industry shown in our news release. Given the volatility of these data in recent months, the underlying trend in the workweek is still a bit uncertain.

Total employment-from the household survey-fell following a small gain in the prior month. The employment loss in January was concentrated among adult men. Up until this point, it was actually women who had borne the brunt of employment declines, an unusual pattern during a recessionary period.

Although total unemployment was up only slightly, the number of unemployed persons who had lost their last jobs rose by nearly 300,000 in January. That was partly offset by a decline in the number who had left their last jobs voluntarily. Such hesitation of workers to leave a job and look for a new one is typical during an economic downturn.

There has been less upward pressure on the unemployment rate so far during this recession than in past downturns because we have had so little labor force growth. During the 1981-82 recession, for example, the labor force continued to grow, primarily the result of the long-term trend toward increased participation of women.

Since June, we have had no net labor force growth, and there has been hardly any over the past year. This has at least two causes.

First, there is probably less population pressure than there has been in the past, as the number of persons reaching working age has been on a downtrend.

Second, the trend toward increased participation of women has slowed. In fact, labor force participation rates for women were actually lower in the fourth quarter of 1990 than they were a year earlier, the first such over-the-year decline in three decades. It is still too early to determine whether this decline reflects the reaction of women to the poor job market or a change in their interest in labor market activity.

Any slowdown in labor force growth reduces the pressure on the unemployment rate. The nine-tenths of a percentage point rise in the rate since June is about half that in the first 7 months of the 1981-82 recession.
I would like to take a moment, Mr. Chairman, to call your attention to a cautionary note that we have included with Table A-1 of our release this morning.

Because of the fast-moving changes related to the Gulf conflict, it has not been possible for us to estimate the number of resident Armed Forces on a current basis.

Although the effect on the aggregate data is probably quite small, this point should be kept in mind when interpreting the data in Table A-1 which includes the resident Armed Forces as part of the labor force. As the note explains, we believe that there is virtually no effect on the civilian labor market data.
We have also received inquiries about the effect on our payroll data of the callup of reservists. Active members of the Armed Forces are, in concept, excluded from the payroll estimates. However, during this period of rapid change, we have no way of determining the net effect on establishment employment levels.
In summary, the Nation continued to experience substantial employment declines in January, especially in goods-producing industries. Unemployment continued the slow rise that began in the middle of last year.
[The table attached to Mrs. Norwood's statement, together with the Employment Situation press release, follows:]

Unemployment rates of all civilian workers hy alternative seasonal adjustment methods

| Month and year | IInadjusted rate | X-11 ARIMA method |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { X-ll method } \\ \text { (official } \\ \text { method } \\ \text { hefore } 1980 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Range (cols. 2-8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | official procedure | Concurrent (as first computed) | Concurrent (revised) | Stable | Total | Residual |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| Fehruary.... | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| March....... | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | .1 |
| Apr11....... | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| May......... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| June........ | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | . 1 |
| July........ | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | . 1 |
| August...... | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | - |
| September... | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |  |
| nctober..... | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |  |
| November.... | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | . 1 |
| December.... | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | - |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 7.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | . 1 |

```
SOURCE: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
    Bureau of Labor Statistics
    February 1991
```

(1) Unadjusted rate. Unemployment rate for all civilian workers, not seasonally adjusted.
(2) Official procedure (X-11 ARIMA method). The published seasonally adjusted rate for all civilian workers. Each of the 3 major civilian labor force componentsagricultural employment, nonagricultural employment and unemployment-for 4 age-sex groups-males and females, ages 16-19 and 20 years and over-are seasonal ly adjusted independently using data from January 1974 forward. The date series for each of these 12 components are extended by a year at each end of the original series using ARIMA (Auto-Regressive, Integrated, Moving Average) models chosen specifically for each series. Each extended series is then seasonally adjusted with the $\mathrm{X}-11$ portion of the $\mathrm{X}-11$ ARIMA program. The 4 teenage unemployment and nonagricultural employment components are adjusted with the additive adjustment model, while the other components are adjusted with the multiplicative model. The unemployment rate is computed by summing the 4 seasonally adjusted unemployment components and calculating that total as a percent of the civilian labor force total derived by summing all 12 seasonally adjusted components. All the seasonally adjusted series are revised at the end of each year. Extrapolated factors for JanuaryJune are computed at the beginning of each year; extrapolated factors, for JulyDecember are computed in the middle of the year after the June data become available. Each set of 6 -month factors are published in advance, in the January and July issues, respectively, of Employment and Earnings.
(3) Concurrent (as first computed, X-11 ARIMA method). The official procedure for computation of the rate for all civilian workers using the 12 components is followed except that extrapolated factors are not used at all. Each component is seasonally adjusted with the X-11 ARIMA program each month as the most recent data become available. Rates for each month of the current year are shown as first computed; they are revised only once each year, at the end of the year when data for the full year become available. For example, the rate for January 1984 would be based, during 1984, on the adjustment of data from the period January 1974 through January 1984.
(4) Concurrent (revised, $X-11$ ARIMA method). The procedure used is identical to (3) above, and the rate for the current month (the last month displayed) will always be the same in the two columns. However, all previous months are subject to revision each month based on the seasonal adjustment of all the components with data through the current month.
(5) Stable (X-11 ARIMA method). Each of the 12 civilian labor force components is extended using ARIMA models as in the official procedure and then run through the X-11 part of the program using the stable option. This option assumes that seasonal patterns are basically constant from year-to-year and computes final seasonal factors as unweighted averages of all the seasonal-irregular components for each month across the entire span of the period adjusted. As in the official procedure, factors are extrapolated in 6-month intervals and the series are revised at the end of each year. The procedure for computation of the rate from the seasonally adjusted components is also identical to the official procedure.
(6) Total ( $X-11$ ARIMA method). This is one alternative aggregation procedure, in which total unemployment and civilian labor force levels are extended with ARIMA models and directly adjusted with multiplicative adjustment models in the X-11 part of the program. The rate is computed by taking seasonally adjusted total unemployment as a percent of seasonally adjusted total civilian labor force. Factors are extrapolated in 6-month intervals and the series revised at the end of each year.
(7) Residual ( $X-11$ ARIMA method). This is another alternative aggregation method, in which total civilian employment and civilian labor force levels are extended using ARIMA models and then directly adjusted with multiplicative adjustment models. The seasonally adjusted unemployment level is derived by subtracting seasonally adjusted employment from seasonally adjusted labor force. The rate is then computed by taking the derived unemployment level as a percent of the labor force level. Factors are extrapolated in 6 -month intervals and the series revised at the end of each year.
(8) 12-month extrapolation ( $X$-11 ARIMA method). This approach is the same as the official procedure except that the factors are extrapolated in 12 -month intervals. The factors for January-December of the current year are computed at the beginning of the year based on data through the preceding year. The values for January through June of the current year are the same as the official values since they reflect the same factors.
(9) X-11 method (official method before 1980). The method for computation of the official procedure is used except that the series are not extended with ARIMA
models and the factors are projected in 12 -month intervals. The standard X-11 program is used to perform the seasonal adjustment.
Methods of Adjustment: The X-11 ARIMA method was developed at Statistics Canada by the Seasonal Adjustment and Times Series Staff under the direction of Estela Bee Dagum. The method is described in The X-11 ARIMA Seasonal Adjustment Method, by Estela Bee Dagum, Statistics Canada Catalogue No. 12-564E, February 1980 .
The standard X-11 method is described in X-11 Variant of the Censes Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program, by Julius Shiskin, Allan Young and John Musgrave (Technical Paper No. 15, Bureau of the Census, 1967).

United States Department of Labor
Bureau of Labor Statistics Washington, D.C. 20212

| Tectrical information: (202) $523-1371$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $523-1944$ |
|  | $523-1959$ |
|  | $523-1913$ |
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THE EMPLOLMETT SITLATION: JANUARY 1991

Enployment dec!ined in January and unemployment continued its upward trend. the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported coday. The civilian worker unerployment race was 6.2 percent. cormared wi:h 6.1 percent in Decenber and 5.3 percent last June.

Vonfarm payroll erployment. as measured by the survey of business establishnenes. dropped by 230.000 in Januar and has decilined br about 1 milion since last June. Totai civilian employnent, as estamated tirough the survey of households. feil substantially in Janwary and since June has declined by over 1 mulizon workers.

Conerploment (Househoid Surver Data)
The number of unempioved persons edged up to 7.7 mallion , seasonally adjusted. in january, and the civilian worker unemployment rate moved up to 6.2 percent. continuing the upt rend which began last summer. Since June, the jobless count has insen by 1.2 mallion and the jobless rate has increased by mine-tenths of a percentage point. Both measures were at their hignest points in more than 3-i. 2 years.

Teenagers accounted for the upuard movement in unemployment in January. Their jobless rate rose substantially, from 16.6 to 18.2 percent, while the rates for adult men ( 5.6 percent) and adult women ( 5.3 percent) were unchanged over the monch. Among the major race-ethnuc groups, the jobless rate for whites rose 0.2 percentage point to 5.5 percent in January, the rate for blacks ( 12.1 percent) was about the same as in December, and the rate for Hispanics 19.3 percent) was unchanged. Rates for each of these groups have increased substantially sunce mud-1990. (See tables $A-2$ and $A-3.1$

The number of unemployed persons who lost thenr last jobs rose by 270.000 in January to 4.1 mallion. The number of job losers has increased by about 850.000 since June and now account for 53 percent of the total unerployed. The number of unemployed persons who had voluntarily left their last jobs declined over the month. (See cable A-7.)

The numier of persons working part tume for economic reasons-sonetines referred to as the partially unemployed-was about unchanyed but. at 5.5 malli ion in Januar:, was up substantially fram the levels that prevalled during the first half of 1990. (See table A-4.)

Table A. Major indicators of labor market activity, measonally adjusted

| Category | Quarterly averages | Monthly data | $\because \quad \vdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1990 | $1991 \text { :Dec.- }$ |
|  | III $\quad$ : IV | Nov. $\vdots$ Dec. $\vdots$ |  |
| housthold data | Thousands of persons |  |  |
| Labor force $1 /$ | 126.418. 126.525. | 126,338: 126,791: | 126.253.-538 |
| Total employment $1 /$ | 119.441: 119,165. | 119,001: 119,191 | 118,537: -654 |
| Civilian labor force. | 124,795: 124.924: | 124,723: 125,174: | 124.638 - 536 |
| Civilian employment. | 117,818 117,564 | 117,386: 117,574 | 116,922.-652 |
| Unerployment. . . . . . . | 6.976. 7,360: | 7.337: 7.600: | 7,715. 115 |
| Not in labor force.... | 63,471. 63,772: | 63,974: 63,692 | 64,339: 647 |
| Discouraged workers. | 831.941 . | N.A. : N.A. | N.A. S.A. |


|  | Percent of labor force |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployment rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ald workers 1/...... | 5.5. | 5.8 : | 5.8 : | 6.0 | 6.1 | 0.1 |
| All civilian workers | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 : | 6.1 | 6.2 | . 1 |
| Adult men. . | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | . 0 |
| Adult women. | 4.9 : | 5.1 | 5.1 : | 5.3 : | 5.3 | . 0 |
| Teenagers. | 16.0: | 16.7 | 16.4 : | 16.6 | 18.2 | 1.6 |
| White.. | 4.8 : | 5.1 : | 5.0 | 5.3 : | 5.5 | . 2 |
| Black... | 11.6 | 12.0 | 12.2: | 12.2 | 12.1 | -. 1 |
| Hispanic orıgin... | 8.1 : | 8.7: | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.3 | . 0 |


| ESTAEMISEMENT DATA | Thousands of jobs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonfarm employment... Goods-producing. . . . Service-proctucing. . | $110.655:$ p110,205: 110,165:p110,017:p109,785:p-23225,016: p24.571: 24,511: p24.426: p24,197:p-229 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 85,639. | 5,633: | 24,511: p24,426: p24,197: |  |  | p-3 |
|  | Hours of work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average weekly hours: Total private....... Manufacturing....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34.6: | p34.4 | 34.4 : | p34.6: | p34.1: | -0.5 |
|  | 41.0: | p40.6: | 40.5: | p40.7: | p40.2. | p- 5 |
| Manufacturing...... | 3.7: | p3.6 | 3.5. | P3.6. | p3.4: | P-2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Civilian Eriplovment and the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)
Total civilian employment, which had shown a small increase in December, fell by 650,000 in January to a seasonally adjusted level of 116.9 mullion. The percentage of the population that is employed (the employment-population ratio) dropped by four-tenths of a point in January to 61.9 percent, its lowest level since March 1988. (See table A-2.)

The civilian labor force fell by 540,000 in January to 124.6 million; this followed an increase of 450,000 in December. Over the past year, the labor force has grown only negligibly-by 300,000 --while the working-age population has continued to increase at a faster pace. As a result, the civilian labor force partıcipation rate dropped by half a percentage point over the past year to 66.0 percent in January. Most of the decreasing participation has occurred among teenagers. (See table A-2.)

## Industry Pavroll Emplovment (Establishment Survey Data)

Nonfarm payroll employment decreased by 230,000 in January to 109.8 mullion, following a decline of 150,000 in December, as revised. Job losses now total over a mullion since last June, with about three-fourths of the decline occurring in the private sector. The construction and manufacturing industries were the hardest hit in January. (See table B-1.)

Construction employment fell by 155.000 , seasonally adjusted, with sone portion of the decline probably resulting from unusually bad weather during the January survey period. This industry has lost 450,000 jobs since last May.

Manufacturing employment declined by 70,000 over the month, continuing a downtrend which has resulted in the loss of 900,000 jobs since the beginiing of 1989. Factory employment declines were concentrated in the durable goods industries, with large losses in construction-related industries--lumber and wood products, furniture and fixtures, and stone. clay, and glass products--and in industries engaged in or related to auto manufacturing-motor vehicles and equipment and fabricated metals.

Employment in the service-producing sector was unchanged in January following losses of 60,000 in Decenber. Weakness in many of the servicesector industries was offset by a seasonally adjusted increase in retail trade, where a very weak pre-christmas buldup led to smaller-than-expected January cutbacks.

Wholesale trade employment edged down in January; the industry has lost 70.000 jobs since it peaked in June. All of the over-the-month decline was in durable goods distribution, mostly in machinery and motor vehacles.

The services industry, which had continued to add jobs through November, now has had 2 consecutive months without further growth. Business services continued to experience large losses, as employment was down more than 30,000 over the month and 90,000 over the past 4 months. Health services added about 40,000 jobs.

## Weekly Hours (Establishment Survey Data)

The average workweek for production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls, which has been quite volatile during Efe economic downturn, decreased by 0.5 hour in January to 34.1 hours, seasonally adjusted, following increases in the prior 2 months. The manufacturing workweek also decreased by half an hour to 40.2 hours, and factory overtime, at 3.4 hours, declined by 0.2 hour. While both the manufacturing workweek and overtime had increased in December, they have been generally trending dowward in recent months. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers decreased by 1.9 percent to 121.7 (1982=100) in January, seasonally adjusted, as a result of the sizable declines in both employment and working hours. The index for manufacturing decreased 1.4 percent to 102.2 , seasonally adjusted. Over the year, the index for manufacturing was down by 4.4 percent. (See table B-5.)

## Hourly and Weekly Earnings (Establishment Survey Data)

Average hourly earnings of private production or nonsupervisory workers were essentially unchanged in January, on a seasonally adjusted basis, at $\operatorname{si0} 18$. Average weekly earnings decreased 1.5 percent to \$347.14. Prior to seasonal adjustment, average weekly earnings were down s8.85. Over the past year, average hourly earnings increased by 3.7 percent and average weekly earnings by 2.5 percent. (See tables B-3 and B-4.)

The Employment Situation for February 1991 will be released on Friday, March 8, at 8:30 A.M. (EST).

## Changes in Data Presentation

As announced in last month's issue, this release includes new seasonally adjusted data for broad occupational groupings. These data are incorporated into tables A-4 and A-5 and replace not seasonally adjusted data in old table A-11, which has been discontinued. Data for "black and other" workers (old table A-10) also has been discontinued. The data for more detailed occupational groups and the "black and other" data series will continue to be published in Employment and Earnings and aiso will be available upon request. Data for specific race and ethnic groups (black, white, and Hispanic) continue to appear in table A-3 of this release.

(Nhmbers in thousenos)

| Efrotornum zatus and mex | Mot memercily acipered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\tan }{1950}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Onc: } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{san} \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sen} \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Sept | $00 \mathrm{cos}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sen} \\ & 1091 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horrasturonel pocuatsor' | 188.990124.990 | 150.463 | 100,592 | 188.000128.186 | 190.002 | 128,445 | 128.328 | 125,791 | 190.592 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126.253 |
|  | 66.1 | 00.3 | 68.7 | 08. | 08.6 | 110.303 | 08.4 | 68.6 | 86.2 |
|  | \$17.734 |  | 118,605 | 110,842 | 118.484 |  | 119,001 | 118, 191 | 118,537 |
| Emproyment-cooudaton rapo | 62.3 | 118.004 624 | $\begin{array}{r} 612 \\ 1,815 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1,697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 629 \\ 1.001 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 628 \\ 1.570 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 625 \\ 1815 \end{array}$ | 62.8 | 1.622 |
| Alemown Ammal ferces. | 1.697 | 1.617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comban errolovd - | 118.007 | 117.207 | $\begin{array}{r} 194,990 \\ 2.750 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117894 \\ 3.145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,883 \\ 3,104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117.733 \\ 3.175 \end{array}$ | 117.388 | 117.574 | $\begin{array}{r} 116.922 \\ 3.163 \end{array}$ |
| Agnourte...- | 2720 | 2943 |  |  |  |  | 3,185 | 3253 |  |
| Nernegrerare mastiea | 113.317 | 71,343 | 112,2400.595 | 174.0006.504 | 114.6397.007 | 114,588 | 114.201 | 114.321 | \$13.759 |
| Unerrovored ...- | 7255 |  |  |  |  |  | 7337 | 7,000 | 7,715 |
| Unemowornom rate' | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 04,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 04,236 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 08.392 \end{array}$ | $8.82$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 0.431 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 8.650 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 69,974 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ 03,092 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ 64,399 \end{array}$ |
| Nest in tiber bore\% .-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worn 16 y warl ma over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 00.772 | 91.537 | 01. 590 | $\infty \times .772$ | 01.271 | $\begin{aligned} & 01,209 \\ & e 0,804 \end{aligned}$ | 01,440 | 91.537 | 91.590 |
| Leow rercor | $\begin{array}{r} 68.0 \mathrm{en} \\ 75.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.568 \\ 76.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.015 \\ 73.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00.000 \\ 76.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.814 \\ 78.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 60.890 \\ 78.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.058 \\ 76.5 \end{array}$ | 68.54375.9 |
| Perbecason rate' |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 60.804 \\ 78.5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Torat armorno - .-. | 0.802 | 85.242 | 63.225 | 00,013 | 00.853 | 65,422 | 65,700 | $65.781$ | 8.25171.2 |
| Encuorment-Doputibon rato | 712 | 71.3 | 60.7 | 727 | 722 | 72.1 | 71.9 | 71.9 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.523 \\ 03.079 \end{array}$ | 1,454 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,453 \\ 82.372 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.523 \\ 04.490 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,441 \\ 64,412 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.414 \\ 04,408 \end{array}$ | t,453 | 1.454 | 1,45383.708 |
|  |  | 63.788 |  |  |  |  | 64,337 | 64.327 |  |
| Unembove . ............................................................... | $\begin{array}{r} 4.242 \\ 6.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.324 \\ 6.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.000 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.585 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.251 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.982 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.800 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | 4.2776.1 | 4.2926.2 |
| Unemowiment cate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women, 16 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forarzanconel pooundior' | 08.218 | 90.946 | 90.00298.285 | 00.21858.578 | 98.731 | 68,768 | 03.872 | 88.048 | 99,002 |
| Lebor forcr ${ }^{\text {a }}$.............-. | 56.14 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.681 \\ 57.3 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}56.757 \\ \hline 87.5\end{array}$ | 58.6457.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 58,439 \\ 57.1 \end{array}$ | 56.73387.3 | 56,71057.3 |
| Parcipation ratex | 57.2 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 58.285 \\ 58.0 \end{array}$ | 58.578 57.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ernoverei ..............................................-............... | 53.13 | 53.662 | $\begin{array}{r} 52,760 \\ 53.3 \end{array}$ | 53.82954.6 | 53,031 | 53.46184.1 | 53.21150.8 | 53.41034.0 | 53.28753.8 |
| Emowormem-cooviaton rave'. | 541 | 54.2 |  |  | 64.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Resioent armoa Forces | 174 | 183 | 182 | 174 | 100 | 158 | 162 | 163 | 182 |
| Contan moreyoo | $\begin{array}{r} 52.950 \\ 3.014 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.409 \\ 3020 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.898 \\ 3.505 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | 53,455 | 63,471 | 58.325 | 53.048 | 53.247 | 53.125 |
| Unemporyd |  |  |  | 2049 | 2.125 | 3,180 | 3.228 | 3,323 | 1.4236.0 |
| Unemporment rate' |  |  |  | 5.2 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 8.8 |  |

 sepsona! vination, therstore. dentcal mumbert apceet in the unsaluatid and seasoneor achested cownts

- Incluctes memoers of the Armed Forcen graboned in the Unried stares

- Totidemoteyment as a percert of the norersituntions popuabion. Anried Forcies.


## Note on Armed Forces entimatioe

Estimates of the labor force including the Anred Forces that appear in tabie A-1 of this relaase should be interpretec with caution. The recent transfer of active-duty personnel to the Poritan Gut and the callup of reservists are not fuly reflected $n$ the current estimates of the stze of the resident Armed Forces. These daia come from administraitve sources and are affected, among other things. Dy the practice of most branches of the services to treat current deployments as tempo-rary-duty assignments. In adotion, the ctillian poputation estimates may bo signtly oversiated, eivilian reservists. The Bureau believes, however, that this shuation has had no apprectable eftect on the ctivlian labor markel data.

Toble A-2. Employment status of the ctrilien popciation by cax and ape
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|  | Mot emeporelly expresed |  |  | Emomonily acluatad |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{19}^{\operatorname{dan}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dece } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tan. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\tan }{1900}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gept } \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1000}{\infty}$ | Nov. $1000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | Lan. |
| . mesanc orian |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,514 \\ 0.472 \\ 65.3 \\ 8.586 \\ 59.2 \\ 887 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 14,4350.56008.40,79300.976782 | 14,4740.60065.6088000.001786 | 148540.58868.98.67689.8608-8.3 | 14.5530.67568.58,79800.38069.3 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,553 \\ 0.515 \\ 6.5 .4 \\ 6,577 \\ 50.9 \\ 088 \\ 9.9 \end{array}$ |  | 14.3080,03208.00.090128238.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tha peptation fugret ert not edipatad for masonel variation; crestap coctmas.




propation.
MOTE: Detin tor the bove race and Hmpenio-arigin growp will not
 and Hispancs ere inculued in toin othe white and beack population groups.


| Category | number ofun unouming |  |  | Unemptoynert mias' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{1000}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dec} \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $1901$ | $\frac{18 \Omega}{1090}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seot } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{OL}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\tan$ |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 16 peers and owtry ...- | 0.544 | 7.000 | 7,795 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 6.2 |
| Man, 16 yeers and over | 1.595 | 4.277 | 4,292 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 8.3 |
| Men. 20 yeers and over | 2.872 | 3.615 | 3.6121 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Women. 16 yean and over. | 2040 | 3.323 | 3,4231 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Wormen. 20 yeers and over. | 2438 | 2783 | $2797!$ | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
|  | 1,134 | 1,192 | 1,307 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 38.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 18.2 |
| Marrod man. ncouse present | 1.424 | 1.616 | 1.677 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| Manned women. sporse preserl ... | 1.158 | 1,270 | 1.237 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Wormen who remituit termes ..- | 516 | 003 | 634 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
|  | \$.306: | 0.250 | 6.415 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 8.0 |
| Pen-trese monters ...... | \$.286 | 1.354 | 1.37: | 72 | 72 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.7 |
|  |  | - | - | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| occupa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mansperal and cretessional ecocanty .-.....................-..... | 595 | 702 | 846 | 1.9 | 23 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| Tecnracal sems, and adrumstatve eupoorl ................-..... | 1.543 | 1.031 | 1.705. | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Precrson Drocvevon, cratt and repar ................................ | 755 . | 1.023 | 9.0511 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.3 |
| Ooerators facmeators, and tadorers ...................................! | \$. 689. | 1.881 | 1.924 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 |
| Farruing iorestry. and tishung | 209 | 255 | 2011 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 7.6 |
| ImDUSTRY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonagncultal orvale wape and salary work ers ..................: | 5.100 | 5.438 | 5.957 : | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 64 |
| Goous-0.cowang naustres ...............................................): | 1.929 | 2336 | 2346 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 8.2 |
|  | 53 | 42 | 611 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 7.5 |
| Constuepon ............................................................ | 620 : | 870 | 805 | 0.4 | 120 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 14.5 |
|  | 1.256 | 1.424 | 1,390: | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
|  | 737 | 653 | 680: | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 6.8 |
| Nonourable goocs ......................................................... | 510: | 571 | 525. | 5.7 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 5.9 |
|  | 3.171: | 3.502 | 3.6111 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| Transoorition and pubtic urtibes | ${ }^{259}$ | 273 | 288 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| Whowesele and retal fract | $1.476$ | 1.571 | 1,4571 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 7.0 |
|  | 1.436 | 2.650 | 1,687! | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Government workers | 4.42 : |  | 5551 | 24 | 28 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
|  | 166 | 235 | 218 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 11.0 |
|  <br> - Agoregate nours lost by the unemployed and perzons on part ume tor conorvc reasons as it percent of potenteaty avalable iabor force mours <br> - Sazaonaly acturted unemployment dali for servie octapasoms ere not avaidebie oncause the ekasonal componants are tmall relative to the temo-ges endor irrgiles componemts and consequertily carnot be enperated will buttiong drecepon. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A\&. Durstion of untmployment

| Weakt of unemomommert |  |  |  | Suatenty coruted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\tan .}{1000}$ | Dec. 1900 | $\frac{\tan }{1991}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{man}}{1000}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{locx} \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $10 \mathrm{Cos}$ | $1991$ |
| DURATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leat tran 5 mowis | 1,447 | 3.057 | 3,754 | 2.131 | 3007 | 8.189 | 3277 | 3280 | 3.410 |
| 5 to 14 meeks | 2204 | 2814 | 2853 | 2010 | 2452 | 2391 | 2334 | 2.518 | 2400 |
| 15 meens and own. | 1.514 | 1873 | 1,8e8 | Pso\% | 1.805 | 1.501 | 1.727 | 1.738 | 1829 |
| 15 to 25 mopes ... | 83 | c08 | 1,005 | 734 | 181 | 003 | 683 | 940 | 91 |
|  | 632 | 785 | 009 | 642 | 744 | 000 | 789 | 709 | 648 |
|  | 11.7 | 127 | 127 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| Meakn ofration. n weeks ........-.-.-.......... | 5.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 8.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| PERCENT DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totat unembiovec. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Less then 5 meens ...-..-................................. | 47.5 | 41.6 | 43.7 | 47. | 432 | 44.1 | 44.7 | 43.5 | 4.1 |
| 5 to 14 meens | 31.6 | 35.6 | 33.2 | 30.7 | 34.3 | 33.6 | 31.8 | 33.4 | 32.2 |
|  | 20.01 | 228 | 23.1 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 22.3 | 23.5 | 23.1 | 23.7 |
| 15 to 38 meens ..................................................--3..... | :1.5 | 12.4 | 126 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.7 |
| 27 weoks tha cver .......... ................................. | 04 | 104 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 0.8 | 10.8 | t0.6 | 11.0 |

Taste A-7. Resaen for unemployment

| Reassons |  |  |  | Smanily mixutiod |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\tan .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deec } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $1981$ | ter. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{10 c t}{0 c t}$ | Nov. 1090 | Des. $1090$ | 릉. <br> 1021 |
| MOMAEER OF UNEMPLOYED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job rowers | 3,810 | 3,056 | 5.000 | 3.116 | 3.519 | 3.563 | \$ 788 | 2,707 | 4,088 |
| On tayot | 1.543 | 1.284 | 1,730 | 1,012 | 4.119 | 1.058 | 1,156 | 1,150 | 1,131 |
| Oever iob loeders | 2.278 | 2.802 | 3270 | 2104 | 2400 | 2.507 | 2880 | 2.84 | 2.938 |
| jot leavers. | 1.113 | 957 | 883 | 1.015 | 954 | 001 | 096 | 1.024 | 898 |
| Amentrants | 1.772 | 1,800 | 2.036 | 1,775 | 1,052 | 1.919 | 8.026 | 2120 | 2044 |
| Now entrams -- | 552 | 842 | 578 | 647 | 603 | 64 | 055 | 062 | 672 |
| FERCENT DESTRIEUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total unemployed | 100.052.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 53.0 | 50.2 | 47.8 | 49.8 | 49.0 | 812 | 40.0 | 63.0 |
| On leyotr | 21.3 | 172 | 20.1 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 14.7 |
|  | 31.4 | 36.7 | 38.0 | 32.1 | 34.0 | 35.1 | 35.7 | 34.8 | 38.2 |
|  | 15.3 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 11.7 |
|  | 24.4 | 25.7 | 23.7 | 27.1 | 27.5 | 20.8 | 28.3 | 28.0 | 28.6 |
|  | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 9.4 | Q. 8 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 6.7 |
| UNEMPLOYED AS A PERCENT OF THE CIVILAN LABOA FORCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1.9 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 25 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Jot leavers ....................-- ................................................. |  | . 8 | . 8 |  | . 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | . 7 |
| Reentrants | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
|  | 4 | 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 5 | . 8 | . 5 |

Table A-f. Range of unemployment mapaures beatd on verying defintions of unemployment and the inber force, cemernady equrstec

| Mesens | Ouriterly murusee |  |  |  |  | Montity deate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989 | 190 |  |  |  | 1990 |  | 1981 |
|  | N | 1 | 11. | 111 | N | Now, | Des. | Stan |
| U. 1 Persons unemployed is weiks of longer ta a peromet of the oivilen tubor force $\qquad$ | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| U2 Job lowers at a peremer of the civitan laber force | 2.4 | 2.5 | 25 | 2.7 | 30 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| U-2 Unerpioyed persors 25 yeas and ove at a percort of the ovikion labor torce for permone 25 years and over $\qquad$ | 4.1 | 4.1 | 42 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
|  whetrie avilien labor force | 4.8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 82 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 8. | 30 |
|  thetreding the revident Arroed Forcese $\qquad$ | 82 | 82 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 6 | 40 | 6.1 |
|  | 68 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 8.1 | 0.2 |
|  $1 / 2$ totul on part orme for coonornic reasorts ast e percent of <br>  | 72 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| U.7 Totil tuatime jabseekers phas $1 / 2$ pert.trine jobenekure phas $1 / 2$ total on part time for econornic repsors plat decourtand workits as a percem of the ovinan labor foree pite discourgige workers lats $1 / 2$ of the part-ime tabor force | 7.8 | 7.0 | 80 | 0.3 | 8.8 | NA | NA. | NA |

NA = nos ovelable.

HOUSEHOLD DATA


| Sax and ape |  |  |  | Unumplormert mases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1980$ | $10 \mathrm{cec}$ | $1001$ | $\tan$ | ${ }_{1000}$ | $\underset{1500}{0 c t}$ | Mov. | $\frac{\mathrm{Dac}}{1000}$ | Lent |
| Tocet to yame and over $\qquad$ 16 to 24 years. $\qquad$ 18 to 10 ywars $\qquad$ <br> 16 to 17 yeatr $\qquad$ <br> 18 to 10 yeas <br> 20 to 24 years $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 0.5442316 | 7,0002.460 |  |  |  |  | 8.8 |  | 62 |
|  |  |  | 2617 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 11.7 |  | 6. 1 |  |
|  | 1.134 | 1,182 | 1,307 | 14.6 | 18.7 | 182 | 12.4 | 1.0 | 10.2 |
|  | $49$ | 824 | $\begin{aligned} & 641 \\ & 745 \end{aligned}$ | 16.2 | 184 | 18.7 | 1268 | 19.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 120 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 45.0 | 18.6 |
|  | 1,182 | 1274 | $\begin{array}{r} 745 \\ 1810 \end{array}$ | 6.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  | 18.7 |
| 25 yame and over | $\begin{aligned} & 4,270 \\ & 3,746 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.180 \\ & 4884 \end{aligned}$ | 6,162 | 42 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 50 |
| 25 to 54 years |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}4818 \\ 810 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 80 |  |  |
| 55 yourt end over | 808 | 301 |  |  |  | 25 | 3.3 | 33 | 3.4 |
| Manch 16 wars and ove |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| te to 24 yemer | 3505 1275 | 4.777 | 4.802 | 53 | 58 | 8.8 | 80 | 8.3 | 23 |
| 16 to 19 years. | 023 | 1,363 | 1.461 | 11.216.4 | 119 | 120 | 12.1 | 123 | 13210.2 |
| 18 to 17 years |  | 205 | 278 |  | 18.8 | 18.7 | 17.1 | 17.4 |  |
| 18t to 19 yeers | 231 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7 \\ & 15.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & 16.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | 10.2 | 20.1 | 18.7 188 |
| 20 to 24 y yars. | 052 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 381 \\ & 780 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.1 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.0 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.6 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | 18.80.5 | 16.7 | 18.810.7 |
| 25 rapes end over. | 2370 | 2.297 | 2887 |  |  |  |  | 8.8 |  |
| 25 to 54 y yeers..... | 2.038300 | 2625316 | $\begin{array}{r} 2535 \\ 310 \end{array}$ | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.038 | 5.1 | 6.7 <br> 6.7 <br> .7 |
| 55 yers and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.4 |  |
| Wormen 16 years and over | 2.049 | 3,3231,700 | 3.4881.158 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.2 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ | 5.511.0 | $5.6$ | 8.7110 |  | $6.1$ |
| 18 to 24 years .-.........- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 to 18 years... | 511 | $\begin{array}{r} 530 \\ 229 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 606 \\ & 683 \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \\ & 13.8 \end{aligned}$ | 11.0 | 11.4 15.8 | 11.0 15.8 | $81.1$ $18.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.6 \\ & 18.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 to 17 yatrs. | 286 |  |  | 18.812.5 | 17.812.8 | 18.8 13.4 | 17.814.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 17.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.1 \\ & 20.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 to 19 years. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 283 \\ & 384 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 24 y yans. | $\begin{array}{r} 530 \\ 1,009 \\ 1.708 \\ 202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 570 \\ 2223 \\ 2.039 \\ 185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 530 \\ 2285 \\ 2083 \\ 191 \end{array}$ | 8.1 <br> 4.1 <br> 4.3 <br> 3.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 4.4 \\ & 4.8 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ |  | 14.2 16.7 <br> 8.7 8.1 <br> 4.8 4.9 <br> 5.1 5. <br> 2.8 2.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6 \\ & 4.6 \\ & 4.9 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 55 yeers the over ................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Nembert in thousends)

| Vencran matas and age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Civitian } \\ & \text { nonmitistonal } \\ & \text { popitation } \end{aligned}$ |  | Cuatien tabor loroe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tout |  | Emploped |  | Unmeratornd |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Whater | Puresers of Mresiforon |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { denn } \\ -1900 \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{sen}$ |  |  | $\operatorname{sen}$ | $\operatorname{sen}$ | $182$ | $\tan$ | $\operatorname{sen}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr} \\ & \text { treil } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{tin}}{1920}$ | den |
| VETSALCERA VETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toud, 35 yoers and over | 7.588 | 7,718 |  | $4{ }^{4} 9$ | 6859 | 4800 | 817 | 878 | 46 | 8.4 |
|  | OBCA | 6.409 | 8176 | 8104 | Se\%s | 6,76 | 281 | 41 | 46 | 66 |
| 35 to 38 yeart | 1.367 | 1278 | 1,400 | 1,108 | 1,400 | 1809 | 5 | 9 | 68 | 83 |
| 40 to 44.40 yers | 23818 | 2.208 | 8.160 | 2004 | 8000 | Lect | 131 | 18 | 4.1 | 88 |
| s0 yeers and over | 1,033 | 2015 | 180 | 18.8 | 1481 | 18.818 | 4 | 7 | 4.4 | 40 |
| MONYETERAES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 354049 yeers | 18.800 | 17,830 | 15.77 | 18.713 | 15.047 | 15761 | 7es | 082 | 48 | E. 8 |
| 35 to 39 year | 7,723 | 6.171 | 7208 | 7,763 | 6.005 | 7810 | 323 | 453 | 4.4 | 50 |
| 40 to 44 y yers | 4,002 | 5,4\%4 | 4,654 | 3.004 | 4,483 | 4ate | 2 | 257 | 48 | 5.1 |
| 46 to 40 rears. | 4,958 | 4,20s | 3.634 | 3 l | 31850 | 2815 | 184 | 251 | 4.8 | 8.5 |

[^8]MOUSEMOLD DATA



8en tootrina at and of table.


| finte and enployment dutus | Mor emexanmy ecterind |  |  | Smenorchy elay |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \tan \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | Doc. 1000 | $\frac{\tan }{1891}$ | $\operatorname{sen}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & \text { iteco } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{1000}$ | Now. <br> 1090 | $\mathrm{DNe}_{1000}$ | ter |
| Moweytuate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.378 | 0.402 | 0.402 | 9,378 | 0.309 | 0.395 | 0.308 | 0.402 | 0.402 |
| Ovilantabor torce ....-.... | 5.060 | 5.891 | 5.481 | 5 S 48 | 8.8.70 | 8.803 | 8.817 | 5.822 | 5.853 |
| Errowiod - | 5.513 | 3.587 | 8,418 | 5,542 | 3549 | 5.858 | B.574 | 6.305 | 5,482 |
| Unamoloyed | 348 | 124 | 415 | 305 | 321 | 347 | 343 | 307 | 371 |
| Unorroveymer mit | 5.9 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.3 |
| Teren |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.300 | 1247 | 12.458 | 12.300 | 12.404 | 12418 | 12.437 | 12.44 | 12.458 |
|  | 8,321 | 0.521 | 0,422 | 0.414 | 8.474 | 8.416 | 0,467 | 0.840 | 8.511 |
|  | 78.80 | 7.885 | 7839 | 7.070 | 7.040 | 7816 | 7808 | 7.045 | 7.884 |
| Unerrowored - | 481 | 557 | 583 | 4 | 534 | 800 | 568 | 308 | 647 |
|  | 5.8 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.4 |

 - Thertraton of Fegeral tund allocation programs.



## MOMTE

NOTE: Semacral purtid datis hew been nowsed basod on the


| Industry | Hot scesonelly adjusted |  |  |  | Sepeanally edjurted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{1980}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Movio | ${ }^{\text {Pas.ag }}$ | Jonig | ${ }^{19} 9$ | Spgt. | Oet ingi | Hoys | Pefor | jonig |
| To | 188.150 | 111.899 | 110,441 | 108, 316 | 109.634 | 120.612 | 120.432 | 130.265 | 120.017 | 109,745 |
| Total | 30.167 | 92.385 | 92,218 | 90.071 | 91.656 | 92,306 | 92,112 | 91.340 | 91.785 | 01.527 |
| Goode-produeing industri | 20,627 | 26.737 | 24,392 | 77 | . 181 | 24. | 24.717 | 24,511 | 24,426 | 24.187 |
| ${ }_{\text {ninin }}^{\text {Oin }}$ | ${ }_{397131}{ }^{121}$ | 17431 | 417.31 | 724 <br> 10.4 | 7231 | 736 | 133 | 731 <br> 16 | 7401 4151 | 7312 |
| Conztruetion.iain. |  | ${ }_{2}^{5} 121.3$ | $4{ }^{4} 4017$ | .1469 | 1;2961 | 5,176 | 5, 1.273 | 3,029 | 4,987 1.263 | 8,832 |
| Monufpe | 12,031 | 12.727 | 12,7341 | 18:4931 | 19,171 <br> 13 <br> 109 | 12,0199 | 12, 867 | 11,74414 | 12,6991 | 12,636 |
| Surgble praduction | 12, 12321 | 10.911 | 10,174 | ${ }^{10,704}$ | 11,402 | 11,8881 | 11,986 | 20,175 | 10,1581 | ${ }^{10,7111}$ |
| mbe |  | 717 | 703 | 68 | 75 | 157 | 130 | 716 | 112 | 704 |
| Furnitury |  |  | 598 | ${ }_{312}$ | 5191 | 517 | 507 | 9461 | Stal | ${ }_{59} 9$ |
|  | 545 |  | 532 736 268 | 312 73 267 | 351, |  | 346 751 271 | ${ }_{3} 9$ |  | 545 755 267 |
| fobricitut furnacen and bisi | 11.272 | ${ }^{2} 89$ | 268. |  | 1,422 | 2, 27 |  | 1.371 | 1.3691 | 1.357 |
|  | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1,132 \\ & 1,721\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2,132 | 2,012 | 2,011 |  | 2,037 | 1.058 1.458 |
|  |  |  | cs | 17 | 1.735 ${ }^{1}$ | 1.:171 | 2.9691 | 1,897 | 1.905 | 1, 785 |
| Instrumpente | 1.680 .31 | 733 315 315 | 78.21 | 974 974 74.1 | 1.911 | 9910 | 986 |  | \% ${ }^{6}$ | 975 |
| Miscellencousa mautactur | 1.480 | 336.4 | 378.4 | 374.01 | 389 | 345 | 334 | 380 | 340 | 362 |
| Mondurbble poods | 7.8081 | 7:919 | 7,8701 | 7,747 | 7.8791 | 7,951 | 7,925 | 3,3791 | 3,487 | 7.838 |
| Food And kindred | 12.6 | 1.66 | 12.44 | 2.613 .31 | 1,651 | 1.6521 | 1,654 | 1,647 | 1.654 | $\begin{array}{r}1.658 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ |
| Yobecta moduct |  |  | ${ }_{6} 1.6$ | 979 | 7,75 | 1.977 | , 619 |  | g2 |  |
| Approl thal oither | 04 |  |  | 98.61 | 1, 685 | 1. ${ }^{1} 2701$ | 1.021 | 1.689 | 1.8031 |  |
|  | 12:37. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | , 98. | 085.7 | . 3179 | 1,376 | 1, 381 | 1,5791 | 1, 3721 | 3, 3781 | 1. ${ }^{\text {1.068 }}$ |
| Chomicale and ellind orod | ${ }^{1.674 .81} 15$ | - 14 | 1095:7 | 133 139 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{c}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 1. 181 | 1. ${ }^{1671}$ | ${ }^{1} 1681$ | 1.086 |
| Pubber and mize plasticespro | $165: 21$ 130 | 951.01 | 1519:1 | 363.71 116.41 | ${ }^{1691}$ | 1731 | 1691 | 255 120 | 849 | 18.9 |
| Sarvice-produring indurat | 83, 323 | 86, 3621 | 46,649 | 84.639 | 36.466 | 15.611 | 85.65s | 85,636 | 85,581 | 15,388 |
| Trensoortation ond and |  | 5.919 | 3.9301 |  |  | -5.7791 | 5.870 |  | S. 881 |  |
| commonictitions ind dubiic | 2.3101 | 2:213 | 2.2131 | 2,201 | 2,222 | 2:218 | 2.218 | 2.213 | 2,215 | 2,214 |
| atale trade | 6.304 | 4.353 | 6.321 | 6.266 | 6,356 | 6.370 | 6.3531 | 4,343 | 6.326 | ${ }^{6} 3174$ |
| Dursblegoodz..as | 3,5s5 | 2.611 | 2,594 | 2,366 | 2,3n3 | 2,607 | 2,603 | 2,601 | 2,596 | 2.595 |
|  | 19.511 2.600 .5 | 29,6853 | $2{ }^{29} 63131$ |  | 14,807 ${ }^{2}$ | 14,846 | 19,7421 | 19,739 |  | 19,768 2,622 |
| Gonorsl merthandize. | 2,600. |  | 2, 313.1 | 2, ${ }_{2}$ | 2, 263 | 3.306 | 2.461 | 2,4461 | 2.421 |  |
| Avtometyer donirer and | 2:071:4 | 2, 123 | 2in9: | , 354.1 | 2.178 | \%.160 | ${ }_{6}^{2} .185$ |  | 2,119, cis | 2.167 6.65 |
| Finance. insurance |  |  |  | 6.768 | 6.739 | 6.a31 | 6.163 | 6.133 | 6,831 |  |
| Insurna... | 2,317 | 3.169 | 3,14 | 2, ${ }^{1}$ | 3:124 | 2.132 | 3:342 |  | 3.3181 2.151 | 3,327 2.157 |
| Reol eatoto | 1.297 | 1:530 | 1:325 | 1,2才3 | 2, 303 | 3,350 | 2,345 | 1,361 | 2,541 | 2, 359 |
| Sorvicas..... | 27,234 | ${ }^{23}{ }^{519}$ | 23.442 |  | 27.721 | 23:440 | 24.485 | 22,544 | 24.356 | 28.539 |
| Musinge | i:815:6 | 5:931.7 | 5.035. | 4:607:3 | 7,873 | 8:337 | 3.021 | 3.359 | 3:315 | : $8: 615$ |
| Gouproment |  | 18,714 | 11,623 | 14,24s | 17.989 | 18, 306 | 18, 380 |  |  |  |
| feders: | ${ }^{2} .18181$ | 2,949 | 2.945 | ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2}, 221$ | 3.087 | 2,300 | 2, ${ }^{2} \times 12$ | 2.961 | 2.9481 | 2.1928 |
|  | 10.121 | 11.317 | 11.268 | 12.663 | 10.773 | 10,093 | 21:014 | 11:061 | 11:036 | 11:088 |

- proliminery.



estabitshment data
Table b－3．Average hourly and weakly ebrnings of production or nonsupervisory workerelf on privete nonfarm
pioyrolis by industry

| Industry | Averege hourly esprings |  |  |  | Avaraesentily arnings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \tan . \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nev } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Pec. | Jan． 1991 | $\frac{\tan }{1990}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hov. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Dee． 1990 g | $\operatorname{son}_{199 i_{2}}$ |
| Totel pri | ＋9．87 | 110.17 10.14 | 110.20 10.29 | 10.24 10.78 | ＋336．57 | 458.85 348.82 | ${ }^{3} 553.941$ | $\begin{array}{r} 345.09 \\ 367.14 \end{array}$ |
| Mining． | 13.46 | 13.78 | 13.81 | 14.04 | 586.86 | 618.72 | 631.12 | 616．36 |
| Construction． | 13.59 | 13.82 | 13.87 | 13.97 | 520.98 | 527.92 | 332.61 | 501.32 |
| Menufacturing | 10.60 | 10.98 | 12.07 | 11.06 | 430.36 | 447.98 | 657．19 | 443.51 |
| Durable geods．．${ }^{\text {c．}}$ | 12.06 | 11.48 | 11.60 | 11.58 | 455.67 | 474.12 | 486．041 | 470.15 |
| Lumber and woad product | 9.00 | 9． 12 | 9.12 | 9.09 | 559.101 | 359.351 | 365，711 | 551.78 |
| Furniturt snd fixtures．．． | \％ 8.45 | 8.64 | 8.70 | 11.31 | 353.74 | 474．05 | 346.26 | 329.18 |
| Stone，ciay＇and oless produch | 12.96 | 11.26 | 13.21 | 13.10 | 536.31 | 561.54 | 563731 | 541．27 |
| Fiost furnmeas ond tosie itieel | 14.47 | 15.89 | 14.96 | 14.96 | 625.101 | 662.45 | 65674 | 636.44 |
| Fabricsted mettal products．．．．．． | 20.60 | 10.94 | 11.06 | 12.05 | 435.66 | 451.82 | 463.41 | 448.63 |
| Industrisl machinery and equipmen | 11.55 | 11．96 | 12.11 | 12.07 | 487.41 | 502.32 | 520.73 | 504.53 |
| Electronie end other sleetricel | 10.15 | 10.53 | 10.57 | 10.65 | 485.93 | 432.78 | 441.8 | 431.33 |
| Trantpertotion equipment．． | $\frac{13}{23.72}$ | 14.61 | 14.90 | 14.86 | 559.78 | 597．55 | 625.801 | 604.80 |
| Instruments and reloted produ | 11．09 | 11.49 | 11.62 | 12.68 | 454．69 | 475.69 | 488.041 | 475.38 |
| Misecelieneous menufecturíng．． | 8． 57 | 8.66 | 8.78 | 8.80 | 336.60 | 548．131 | 150．32 | 341.44 |
| Mondurable poods | 9.97 | 10.31 | 10.35 | 10.37 | 396.81 | 415.49 | 420．21 | 409.62 |
| Food and kindred product | 9.53 | 9.77 | 9.85 | 9．81 | 384.06 | 402.521 | 406.961 | 395.34 |
| Tabeces products． | 15.69 | 16.71 | 16.20 | 16.36 | 582.429 | 675．081 | 646.381 | 623.32 |
| Textile mill mroducts． | 7.90 | 8.15 6.65 | 8.85 | 6.87 | 316.791 232.321 | 322.76 | 324.371 245.09 | 318.63 239 |
| Apperel and other taxtala | 12.112 | 12.65 | 6.66 12.53 | 12.69 | 525.57 | 547．56 | 553.89 | 538．32 |
| Printing end publishing． | 12．12 | 12．38 | 11.45 | 11.42 | 418.11 | 433.58 | 440.831 | 625.97 |
| Chemicals and elliod produc | 13.34 | 13.73 | 13.75 | 13.82 16.69 | 569.621 698.28 | 789.021 | 598.13 | 588.73 719.34 |
| Patroloun and cos 1 products． | 15.87 9.65 | 16.60 9.95 | 16.52 9.99 | 16.69 10.11 |  | 763.601 | 726.881 415.58 | 719.34 413.50 |
| Rubber and misc，plastics pr | 9.65 | 9.95 7.00 | 9.99 7.08 | $\begin{array}{r}10.11 \\ \hline .10\end{array}$ | 253．70 | 408.951 | 415.58 266.92 | 413.50 258.44 |
| Trassportation and public utilities | 12.79 | 13.07 | 13.09 | 13.15 | 483.46 | 507.12 | 510.51 | 501.02 |
| Mholesple trede | 10.61 | 10.94 | 21.07 | 11.07 | 401.86 | 415.72 | 425.091 | 417.34 |
| Retail trade | 6.73 | 6.88 | 6.86 | 6.92 | 189.11 | 195.39 | 200．31 | 192.10 |
| Finance，insurance，and real estote | 9.80 | 10.14 | 10.25 | 10.23 | 348．88 | 360.98 | 371.05 | 364.90 |
| Sarvices | 9.72 | 10.05 | 10.14 | 10.17 | 314.93 | 525.62 | 331.58 | 326．46 |

1／Set footnote 1，table（－2

Toble B－4．Avarage hourly cerninga of production or nonsupervicory morkersl／on orivete nonfarm piovrolla by industry，seasernily edjueted

| Industry | 197\％ | ${ }_{3890} 9$ | ${ }^{065}$ | ${ }^{30 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {Pafem }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Jonip }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totel erivete： <br> Current dolitare．．．．．．．．．．．． | 9.82 | ${ }^{10}{ }^{10} .15$ | 12.12 | 130．14 | 10.18 | ${ }^{10} .18 .18$ | （09）${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | 13：${ }^{1}$ | 19.72 | ${ }_{13} 13.8$ | ${ }^{23} 7$ | ${ }^{15}$ | cis |
| construction． |  | 13：123 | 13．32 | 赴：780 | 碞： 11 | 13：34 | ． |
|  | 建 12.131 | 13：420， | 10.50 | 130．02 |  | 15． 18 | ． 6 |
|  |  | 10：94， | 10， |  | cil 11.85 |  | － 2 |
|  |  | 10：97 ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{10} 9$ | 10：129 | 10.27 10.07 | 10.17 10.07 | －1：0 |

y）Sae footnote t．toble t－2．
wepe Earne Consumer price Indoz for Urbon in waed to defliote this sories．

 hours are peid ot the rett of tice ond one－
hoif $\underset{\text { Nif．}}{ }$ a not availeble．

establishaent data
estanisharent bata

(1982:100)

| Indusetry | Not measenally matusted |  |  |  | Seameraliy edjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1900 \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iNov. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. 1990若 | don. | Jingo | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5008 \\ & 1990^{\circ} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 c t . \\ 1999 \end{array}\right.$ | iNov, | Dat. $1990^{\circ}$ | $\operatorname{len}_{199 i_{E}}$ |
| Terel privete | 120.11 | 124.1 | 125.4 | 118.4 | 123.6 | 125.3 | 123.1 | 123.4 | 124.0 | 121.7 |
| Goaderproducime industries. | 107.01 | 1108.8 | 108.0 | 100.2 | 111.1 | 120.3 | 108.0 | 107.01 | 107.2 | 103.8 |
| Minine | 63.2 | 69.1 | 69.7 | 64.8 | 44.4 | 67.3 | 46.4 | 67.5 | 48.5 | 66.1 |
| Canstruction | 127.81 | 1139.0 | 131.3 | 108.5 | 144.9 | 139.7 | 132.1 | 135.4 | 135.5 | 123.0 |
| Manufacturing | 105.51 | 1104.91 | 105.5 | 100.9 | 106.t | 206.8 | 103.6 | 103.5 | 103.7 | 102.2 |
| Durable gosds........... | 104.81 | 1103.11 | 106.2 | 115.2 | 103.9 | 105.9 | 104.6 | 1201.8 | 102.0 123.3 | 100.2 |
| lumber and mood graducts | 127.91 | 1122.61 | 122.6 122.5 | 115.2 116.0 | 133.01 | 1230.5 | 126.1 | 122.9 | 125.3 115.0 | 119.7 |
| Furnature ond fixtures... | 127.51 | 1120.9 | 104.3 | 25.2 | 113.3 | 108.7 | 105.91 | 1106 | 106.2 | 101.4 |
| Primery meraj industrios. | 92.4 | 91.51 | 01.1 | 87.7 | 12.4 | 92.9 | 92.71 | 80.91 | 89.7 | 17.7 |
| disst furnscas and basic stal | 12.11 | 81.21 | 81.0 | 77.9 | 101.4 |  | 105.8 | 103.6 | 180.6 | 77.8 |
| Fobracated metal producta. | 105.21 | 1105.51 | 106.6 | 101.3 | 106.1 | 107.4 | 105. | 103.5 | 103.5 | 102.2 |
| ladustris! meninory and oauipeant | 108.21 110.71 | 103.91 | 107.7 | 94.7 103.6 | 110.1 | 1107.6 | 106.1 | 1104.91 | 104.7 | 102.6 |
| Trensportetion ecuipment.......... | 109.4 | 1112.71 | 113.8 | 105.2 | 110.9 | 121.3 | 120.01 | 1110.8 | 112.5 | 209.8 |
| Motor vonicles and eruipmen | 104.21 | 1112.3 | 118.6 | 108.1 | 205.2 | 129.1 | 127.21 | 109.3 | 115.8 | 111.8 |
| Instrumente and related aroduct Miscaliancau canufacturing.... | 102.31 | $\begin{array}{r} 55.81 \\ 105.91 \end{array}$ | 187.3 | 94.1 | 105.9 | 106.8 | 183.8 | $1{ }^{64} 8.1$ | 101.7 | 101.7 |
| Mendursble goods | 106.51 | 107.51 | 107.3 | 103.3 | 108.2 | 107. | 106.9 | 106.81 | 106.0 | 105.0 |
| Food snd kindred products | 203.91 | 1111.51 | 110.5 | 104.7 | 103.6 | 1120.4 | 108.91 | 109.1 | 109.7 | 109.3 |
| Tobecti praducts | 30.71 | 171.41 | 74.3 | 70.6 | 1096 | 48.5 | 66.01 | $66 . a 1$ | 69.0 | 67.8 |
|  | 102.11 | 197.51 | 96.3 | 84.3 |  |  |  | 89.71 | 95.7 |  |
| Apotert end ether textile | 93.7 10.0 | 1111.41 | 190.7 | 109.6 109.5 | 115.2 | 92.3 110.8 | 111.31 | 1110.71 | 110.5 | 88.0 109.8 |
| Presinting end publithinge. | 126.1 | 1125 | 129.5 | 124.6 | 12 c .0 | 128.3 | 127.1 | 126.71 | 126.5 | 125.6 |
| Chemicalin and oliled oroducta | 1104.8 | 1103.71 | 105.4 | 102.4 | 105.4 | 124.1 | 103.9 | 103.5 | 104.3 | 105.2 |
| Petroleum and coal producta | 83.11 | 192.61 | E6.4 | 81.5 | 126.9 | 89.9 | 16.81 | 02.01 | \$6.85 | 85.4 |
|  | 123.91 | 1123.21 |  | 120.3 |  |  | 125.21 | 122.21 | 121.5 | 120.9 |
| bether end desther products... | $61.6$ | \| 36.01 | 55.9 | 53.0 | 62.6 | 58. | 57.1 | 55.41 | 55.4 | 54.0 |
| cing | 126 | 1131.01 | 133.2 | 126.5 | 129.3 | 132.0 | 129.9 | 150.6 | 131.6 | 129.8 |
| Iransportation and public utilities | 109.91 | 1116.7 | 118.0 | 112.8 | 112.9 | 116.4 | 114.4 | 125.4 | 116.3 | 113.9 |
| Wholeaple trede | 117.31 | 1118. | 119.3 | 115.9 | 119.2 | 119.6 | 138.4 | 118.4 | 119.0 | 117.6 |
| Retasl trade | 11 | 1126.31 | 129.7 | 117.7 | 124.4 | 124.9 | 122.5 | 123.3 | 123.0 | 122.4 |
| Finance. insurance. end rest estate | 119.9 | \|121.2| | 123.0 | 119.9 | 121.4 | 124.1 | 121.21 | 122.01 | 123.7 | 121.3 |
| Services | 139.4 | 1146.41 | 147.2 | 142.0 | 142.8 | 147.7 | 145.7 | 146.91 | 148.2 | 145.3 |

Teble :-6. Diffusion indexte of emploveent change, seasoneliy edjusted


Representative Hamilon. Is there anything here to suggest that the recession is coming to an end or bottoming out?
Mrs. Norwood. Almost all of the labor market data for January suggest we are still heading downward.
Representative Hamilton. So there is not anything in the employment and labor market conditions which suggest that we have bottomed out.

Mrs. Norwood. I do not see anything in these data, except the fact that the employment declines are considerably less diffused throughout the economy than they had been over the last several months.

Representative Hamilton. I am not sure I understand that.
Mrs. Norwood. Well, we have been seeing very widespread, every single industry declining. We are now seeing within manufacturing, as I pointed out in my statement, that non-durable manufacturing is not going down as much as it had before, and that is an encouraging picture. But of course one would not look to the labor market data alone to determine what is happening to the recession

Representative Hamilton. Sure.
Mrs. Norwood. -but these data are certainly not an encouragement.
Representative Hamilton. Now let us see. Employment declined by 650,000 in January.

Mrs. Norwood. In the household survey.
Representative Hamilton. In the household survey. The unemployment rate only went up a tenth of a point. Which of those gives you a better picture, a more accurate picture of the state of the economy?

Mrs. Norwood. I think that the payroll employment figure, which is down about 230,000 , and which has been going down fairly steadily over the last 4 months by about 200,000 each month, is an indication of the difficulties of the labor market.
The household survey provides us with information both on unemployment and the labor force, and also of course employment. You will note that this decline in employment came after an increase in employment, so there is a certain kind of catch-up that exists there and the surveys do not seem to be telling us anything very different. As I indicated, we really are seeing a very slow increase in the unemployment rate considering the kinds of employment declines that we have been getting. The reason for that is that the participation rates and the population increases have been slowing.

So there is a lot less upward pressure than is usual on the unemployment rate. It is much easier to have the lower unemployment rate now than it would be under conditions when the labor force keeps rising.

Representative Hamilton. So what is this phenomenon of so little labor force growth? When you say "little," how little do you mean? Can you quantify that?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, over the last year the labor force grew by only about 300,000 . That is pretty much flat.

Representative Hamilton. For the whole year?
Mrs. Norwood. For the whole year.

Representative Hamilton. What would it have been in say a fairly typical year in the past?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, in the 1970's when the baby boom generation was entering the labor force, we were talking about a 2 to 2.5 million a year increase, and we had to keep racing to find jobs for those people. But, there is also a kind of job-creation effect from an increase in the labor force as well. What seems to be happening reflects two developments. One is that the population has grown more slowly. Birth rates were lower some years ago, and so fewer people are reaching labor force age.

We had a decline over the year in teenagers in the labor force of more than 500,000 . That is in stark contrast to the 1960 s and 1970 s when we had teenagers pouring into the labor force. In addition to population declines, there seems to be a decline in participation rates, but we are not quite sure why. So that is one kind of situation that we are seeing. That could be both good and bad.

It could be that they are going to school and spending more time getting trained for the future. But it could also mean that there are not many jobs available for them, since many of the teenagers were employed in services industries which have stopped growing.

The second puzzle is the decline in the rate of labor force participation of women. It is a very small decline, but it is there, and it is the first time in a very long time. I suspect that it will pick up again, but I do not have any statistical basis for believing that.

Representative Hamilion. Now we have had no labor force growth since June, and that is a period of 7 months. Is that quite unusual?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. Very unusual, right, not to have that growth?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. And if you had had normal labor force growth since June, you would have added very substantially to the unemployment rate, I presume?

Mrs. Norwood. That is right.
Representative Hamilton. Do you know how much you would have added?

Mrs. Norwood. It is about 600,000 ?
Mr. Plewes. If you hold everything else constant, you need about 600,000 in employment decline to get a tenth increase in the unemployment rate, or a 100,000 increase in unemployment to get a tenth increase. So if you mix those two together, you are looking at somewhere around 600,000 to 700,000 decline in the labor force for a tenth. Or, the labor force could increase without jobs added, but it does not happen that way. So there are two parts.

Mrs. Norwood. The problem is that we do not independently measure the labor force.

The labor force is the sum of employment and unemployment.
Representative Hamilton. Can you tell us anything from these figures about the nature of the recession, the duration, the intensity, or the characteristics of this recession?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, as we have been saying for some time now, our factory employment has been in great difficulty for 2 years.

It is quite clear that durable manufacturing in particular is not doing well, while it is true that inventories are very low.
Representative Hamilton. Why did construction have such a bad performance?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, because basically if you look at all the housing data, housing starts, housing completions, housing permits, they are all down.

So office buildings, multi-family housing units, new houses are not being built.

Part of that is of course related to the banking crisis, I believe.
We are also seeing in manufacturing that employment in con-struction-related industries is turning down.
There are declines in appliance manufacturing, for example, lumber and wood, and furniture manufacturing.

Representative Hamilton. If you look at the figures since June, and June was apparently the turning point in the labor market data, what are the chief characteristics that stand out to you? In durable goods' manufacturing, the loss of jobs there? What other things stand out to you, if you try to stand back and get a 6 - or 7 month perspective?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I think the big thing that we are seeing is that construction, as you pointed out, is very weak. This is a real estate problem which goes not just to construction but to some of the real estate services, as well-and manufacturing, as we have discussed.

In addition, apart from health services, we are really seeing very little growth in the service-producing industries.

During the first couple of years of the expansion period after the 1981-82 recession, one in every eight new jobs was in business services. Now, we have been seeing consistent declines in business services.

The strength in health services is perhaps more related to the changes in population, than it is to economic activity. So, while I do not want to overlook the importance of employment and health services, it is not quite as encouraging to me as it would be to see a growth in business services, for example.

I do think that the declines that we are seeing in some of the services' industries, including the service industry itself, have stopped growing.

We are seeing at best a flatness I think over several months in retail trade, and that is a place where a lot of our teenagers have been employed in the past.

So that is not very encouraging.
Over the last couple of months we have seen an increase in the unemployment rate of adult men, so that their rate is now really a full percentage point higher than it was a year ago.

And the rate for married men has gone up, as well. That is rather typical of a recession.
For quite awhile we were seeing women affected, and women are being affected more by recession now than they were before perhaps because they have in the last several decades been expanding their work into different kinds of nontraditional jobs and nontraditional activities.

Representative Hamilton. When you have 6.2 percent of the civilian labor force unemployed, how many people is that?

Mrs. Norwood. That is about 7.7 million.
Representative Hamilton. Now if the unemployment rate stays at that level, how many people would be unemployed at some time during the year?

Mrs. Norwood. In normal times, about 2.5 times that number experience some spell of unemployment.

In a period of recession, I am not sure that that multiple would be as high, because more of the same people tend to stay unemployed.

Representative Hamilton. And how long do people stay unemployed?

Mrs. Norwood. We have had, for example, of that 7.7 million, we had, not quite 1 million, 850,000 , who were unemployed 6 months or more.

So the others are unemployed less than that.
We do have some--
Representative Hamilton. What would be a typical period of unemployment for a person, the duration of unemployment?

Mrs. Norwood. Pardon me.
Representative Hamilton. What would be a typical unemployment duration for a person? Is there a way of measuring that? Is that usually just a matter of a few weeks, or months?

Mrs. Norwood. If we look at the median-that is, where there are as many with more unemployment as with less-it is close to 6 weeks now.

Representative Hamilton. And that is lengthening?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, it is a bit more than it has been.
Mr. Plewes. It is up a week from a year ago.
Representative Hamilton. The duration of unemployment would usually go up in a recession, I presume.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Sure, there are fewer jobs. And, you see fewer people leaving their jobs voluntarily. There is always a lot of churning in the labor market and you expect to see a lot of people changing jobs, but obviously in a period of recession you see a lot less of that.

Representative Hamilton. Do the people who are unemployed for the short terms, do they tend to be people who had lost their job? Or are they new entrants into the labor force?

Mrs. Norwood. I really cannot answer that question fully; there are many factors that affect the situation.

Representative Hamilton. Now how about the long-term unemployed? First of all, is there a definition for "long-term unemployed" under the statistics you keep?

Mrs. Norwood. I consider the long-term unemployed to be 6 months--

Representative Hamilton. Six months or more?
Mrs. Norwood. -or more. But we do have figures for long-term unemployed who have been unemployed for at least 15 weeks, as well; and 5 to 14 weeks, 15 weeks to 26 , and then 27 weeks and over. If you include, for example, the 15 weeks and over, that is 1.8 million.

Representative Hamilton. How many long-term unemployed people do we have now? Let us use that 6 -month period.
Mrs. Norwood. For the 6 months, we have 850,000 .
Representative Hamilton. Does that tend to go up also in a recession?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, but there are differences in timing.
In a recession, more people lose their jobs so that at first you have more shorter term unemployed people. That affects that median or the mean, if you want to calculate the mean.
Representative Hamilton. What are the characteristics of the long-term unemployed with regard to skills, sex, race?

Mrs. Norwood. Normally in any period of time, whether it is recession or not, the unemployed are disproportionately black, especially minority in general. They tend to be unskilled. They tend to be located in areas of the country where there is difficulty in getting jobs, in central cities, for example. They are the disadvantaged people.

Representative Hamilton. Are we holding to that pattern in this recession?
Mrs. Norwood. Well, certainly they form a core of the long-term unemployed. Do we have any information on others who have been added more recently?

Mr. Plewes. We can do a comparison of, for example, June and current. I do not have it with me, but that would be instructive.

Mrs. Norwood. We could provide that for the committee.
Mr. Plewes. On a flow basis.
Mrs. Norwood. We would be glad to do that.
Representative Hamilton. Now you have an Unemployment Insurance System with benefits that lasts for 26 weeks.

These long-term unemployed people then run out of benefits, if they ever got them.

Do you have any information at all on how these people survive? Mrs. Norwood. No, we do not.
We do not know what happens to people once they have exhausted their benefits.

We have thought about trying to do something about that, but we have not been able to.
Representative Hamilton. So as the economy goes deeper into recession, the unemployment rate goes up, and the amount of time a person can be expected to be out of work goes up. Right?

Mrs. Norwood. That is right.
Representative Hamilton. Just looking over your statement, I was impressed by the fact that there is not much good news in there.

Payroll employment down, durable goods manufacturing hard hit, construction the worst performance, retail trade quite weak, unemployment rate up.

Employment growth came to a halt. Hours down. Total employment fell.

It is pretty dismal, is it not?
Mrs. Norwood. We always prefer to come to the Joint Economic Committee with a happier set of data, but we present the facts as they are.

Representative Hamilton. I understand that, but this must be about the worst report I have seen for a long time.

Is it about the worst report you have given?
Mrs. Norwood. No, I do not think it is the worst report I have given.

Representative Hamilton. You have given some worse ones?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes. I have been here a long time.
[Laughter.]
Mrs. Norwood. But it certainly is a report that is very different from the very long expansionary period that we had.

Representative Hamilton. Well let us turn to the optimistic side: inflation. Okay?
[Laughter.]
Representative Hamilton. What is happening on inflation? You did not cover that in your statement.

This is an employment hearing, but let us talk about inflation a little bit.

Where are we there?
Mrs. Norwood. Well we have an inflation rate of a bit over 6 percent this past year.

A significant part of that increase really was a temporary kind of acceleration in energy prices due to the crisis in the Gulf.

Representative Hamilton. Is that receding any now, energy prices?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Mr. Dalton. They appear to be, based on private sector information.

Representative Hamilton. That is within what-the last couple of weeks, or month?

Mr. Dalton. The last several weeks.
Representative Hamilton. The last several weeks.
Mrs. Norwood. But I should point out that the Consumer Price Index, All Items, excluding energy, is still over 5 percent.

If you just take energy out of it completely.
Representative Hamilton. And the total figure is what?
Mrs. Norwood. 6.1. Now part of that does, excluding energy, does include some secondary effects of the increase in the price of energy like increased airline fares, for example, that are energy related.

Representative Hamilton. Now can you tell so far whether the recession has had any impact on inflation or wage increases? Is that noticeable at this point?

Mrs. Norwood. We are seeing less upward pressure on wages and salaries, very clearly.

Representative Hamilton. So that should begin to be reflected, should it not, in the inflation rate?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. And we are seeing in the producer price level, we are seeing some effect. At the producer level, for example, finished goods over the year is rising at a 5.6 percent rate, and we have seen-we do not see any explosion really in intermediate goods, which would be coming into the finished goods.

Representative Hamilton. Your Employment Cost Index is your most comprehensive wage earnings index?

Mrs. Norwood. That is correct.

Representative Hamilton. Is that correct?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. And that includes fringe benefits and bonuses in addition to wages, and salaries?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. And that went up 4.6 percent in 1990. Is that correct?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. So compensation went 4.6 percent; inflation during 1990 was what?

Mrs. Norwood. It was higher, much higher.
Representative Hamilton. So workers lost ground.
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. And that is the most comprehensive measurement then of whether or not workers are losing ground. Is that right?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I think so. You probably would want to look at the wage and salary component-that is, what workers are paid actually-and deflate that. That is a little bit lower than the overall compensation figure because employer costs of things like health insurance and pensions and things of that sort have been rising faster than wage and salaries.
Now of course if the employer were not-
Representative Hamilton. If you take that 4.6 percent, how much of that is wages and salaries, and how much of that is fringe benefits?

Mrs. Norwood. If you look at wages and salaries for the private, non-farm economy, that was 4 percent. Whereas, you had-it was higher, more than half a point higher, if you included the employer cost of fringe benefits.

Representative Hamilton. Is there anything in the statistics today to tell us about the regional economic situation? You have said in the past that New England was probably hit hardest. Do you notice anything in the statistics today that alters your judgment with respect to regional impact?

Mrs. Norwood. Not really. The Midwest has not been hit as hard as the rest of the country, but Mr. Plewes can tell you more about that.

Mr. Plewes. Basically the story continues to be the same. The unemployment rates are increasing faster in New England than they are in the rest of the country. We are starting to see a wider spread, especially on the West Coast now.

We associate some of that with a slowdown in defense industries, for example.

The Midwest, however, is still benefitting, I guess, if you will, from fairly moderate declines in employment and unemployment rates which are virtually unchanged.
We are seeing I think some reports from Federal Reserve Banks that where the auto slowdown is continuing that could turn around, but that has not happened.

Representative Hamilton. Your statistics show a more talented work force in the Midwest?

Mrs. Norwood. They are able to keep their jobs.

Mr. Plewes. It shows I think that what happened is there was a tremendous shakeout during the last recession that they have accommodated quite well.

Representative Hamilton. And state and local governments are cutting back, too, in jobs, right?

Mrs. Norwoon. Probably. I would like to wait for a little more data on that, because local government as you know. has been growing. It has been the only other industry besides health services that has been growing.

Representative Hamilton. Local government?
Mrs. Norwood. Local government.
Representative Hamilton. Not state?
Mrs. Norwood. No. Local government.
Representative Hamilton. But state and local governments have reduced employment over the last 2 months about 45,000 , according to my information.

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. I believe that is true. The local government has been the teachers. That is rather hard to measure, and I would like to wait for another month of data.

Representative Hamilton. They have been hiring more teachers? Is that it?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, because there are a lot more kids in school.
Representative Hamilton. We have a lot more children coming into the system?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. Now you did have a rise in the Composite Index of Leading Indicators in December. Does that come out of BLS?

Mrs. Norwood. No. It comes from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, but a number of our measures go into it. And it was somewhat affected by last month's increase in hours, factory hours, and in the overall average work week which as I indicated has gone down again this month.

Representative Hamilton. So the fact that the composite index of the indicators increased a tenth of a point in December after declining for 4 previous months, what does that mean to you? Much of anything?

Mrs. Norwood. That index-
Representative Hamilton. It does not mean much.
Mrs. Norwood. - is frequently revised. In this case--
Representative Hamilton. Later on revised?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. A few months afterwards?
Mrs. Norwood. Sure. I think we need a little bit more time. It is certainly encouraging that it did not go down a lot more, but I have already told you that the average workweek which had gone up and had some effect-how much?

Mr. Plewes. It has had a . 23 effect, sir. So if we took that away, the Leading Index would have gone down.

Representative Hamilton. Do you see anything in the statistics to indicate the impact of the Gulf War?

Mrs. Norwood. Not really directly. As I indicated, we cannot see the changes quite at the time that they occur, as one would expect.

There is perhaps some effect in say apparel textiles.

Representative Hamilton. Effect where?
Mrs. Norwood. The apparel textile industry where there are more purchases coming from the defense department.

Mr. Plewes. And food processing, also. We have seen some employment improvement there.

Representative Hamilton. We get the President's budget Monday.

Do you know how it is going to treat BLS?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, I do.
Representative Hamilton. Can you say anything about it?
Mrs. Norwood. No, I cannot. I will be glad to discuss it with you later.
[Laughter.]
Representative Hamiliton. On Tuesday?
[Laughter.]
Mrs. Norwood. Right.
Representative Hamilton. I think that has got it. Thank you very much.

Mrs. Norwood. Thank you.
Representative Hamilton. We stand adjourned.
[Whereupon, at 10:02 a.m., the committee was adjourned, subject to the call of the Chair.]
[The following answers were subsequently supplied for the record:]

## Hon. Lee H. Hamilton, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

Dear Congressman Hamilton: This letter is in response to the questions on longterm unemployment that you raised during my February 1 appearance before the Joint Economic Committee.
There are some identifiable patterns that occur in long-term joblessness during the business cycle's upturns and downturns that I will briefly discuss below. For more detail on this topic, I am enclosing an article that analyzes long-term unemployment during every post-World War II recession. Also enclosed are tables showing historical monthly data for total, long-term ( 15 weeks and over), and very longterm ( 27 weeks and over) unemployment. The official (NBER) recession peaks and troughs are highlighted on the tables.
During the last seven recessions (starting in the 1950s and continuing through the one in 1982), the total number of unemployed persons nearly doubled on average, and, on average, the number unemployed for 15 weeks or longer more than tripled. Those unemployed more than half a year showed the largest percentage increase of all, rising to nearly five times their pre-recession low. While recessions varied a great deal in how long they lasted and to what degree overall joblessness rose, this pattern of the increase in long-duration joblessness held in each downturn.
It is still too early in the current slowdown for our data to provide us with much insight into what will ultimately happen to long-term unemployment. So far, the downturn has followed the pattern of business cycle dips in the past. That is, the long-term and very long-term unemployed have become the fastest-growing jobless groups. Both now comprise a larger share of total unemployment than they did last June. Of course, the ultimate extent of the increase in long-term joblessness will depend on the final depth and breadth of the downturn.

I would also like to point out that, when recessions in the post-war era have come to an end and total unemployment has begun to fall, long-term joblessness has continued to rise for a period. This is most likely due to the fact that employers typically recall those workers most recently laid off. Workers who lose their jobs early in downturns often have the least skills and seniority and thus may not find new employment until a sustained period of economic recovery. Such workers, already out of work for long periods, generally have the lowest probabilities of finding employment. Thus, long-term joblessness does not immediately fall.
As the enclosed article points out, the time lag between when total and long-term unemployment reach their respective peaks has appeared to lengthen over tim.e. The length and strength of the recoveries that have followed the recessions has played a major role in determining how quickly the ranks of the long-term unemployed were reduced. I hope this information proves useful.

Sincerely yours,
Janet L. Norwood, Commissioner.
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# Recent recessions swell ranks of the long-term unemployed 

During the past seven recessions, joblessness lasting more than half a year has far outpaced the overall increase in unemployment and in 1981-82 reached the highest level of the postwar era

Philip L. Rones

The recent recession in the United States produced the highest unemployment rates in more than 40 years. It also produced unusually long periods of unemployment for a workforce that is normally among the most dynamic in the world.
Millions of Americans move into and out of each labor force category (employed, unemployed, or not in the labor foree) every month. Generally, about half of the people who are unemployed in one month are no longer unemployed the next, some finding jobs and others ending their job search for other reasons. These people are then replaced by newly unemployed persons. Shor-term unemployment is quite normal in a dynamic economy and. within limits, is necessary for the normal functioning of the job search process.
During 1982. however, as in any recessionary year, fewer unemployed people could find jobs, and, consequently, more remained unemployed from one month to the next. As a result, the number of persons out of work 15 weeks or more rose sharply.
Data on long-term unemployment provide a valuable addition to the more frequently reported unemployment data. This anticle will briefly investigate long-term unemployment and identify those worker groups most affected by this prob-

[^9]lem. Particular emphasis will be placed on the most recent recession. ${ }^{1}$
While an assessment of the causes of lengthy unemployment is not the focus of this discussion. a few comments are appropriate. What is being examined here is largely a cyclical condition, that is, the sharp rise in long-duration unemployment brought about by the severe 1981-82 recession. It should be noted, however, that some long-term joblessness is structural in nature, a result of some basic problem in the functioning of labor markets unrelated to cyclical changes. For example, the persistently high unemployment rate and unemployment duration of some groups of racial and ethnic minorities are evidence of such structural unemployment.
It should be kept in mind, then, that in regard to longterm joblessness, both structural and cyclical forces may be at work simultaneously. Some cases are fairly obvious, such as joblessness among blacks. Some are not. For example. prior to the two recessions of the 1980's, the incidence of long-term unemployment among workers in the primary metals industries was quite low-half the national average. More recently, long-term unemployment among these workers has become among the worst of any worker group. While the timing corresponds to a cyclical downtum. considerable evidence indicates that the Nation's stee! industry is suffering from some basic problems quite unrelated to cyclical declines in demand. Thus, when structural problems appear

## MONTHL.Y LABOR REVIEW Fcbruary 1984 - Long-Term Unemployment

under the "cloak" of recession. unemployment pruhlens will persist after economic recovery is well under way.
Unemployment duration and the unemployment level should not be viewed as completely separate entitios. In fact. the unemployment level is really a function of two factors. ${ }^{\text {. The "insidence" of unemployment refers to the }}$ number of people who begin a spell of joblessness. Assuming a constant duration. the number unemployed will decline if the incidence declines. Conversely, assuming a constant incidence (a steady flow into unemployment status), the number of jobless will rise as duration increases, that is. persons remain unemployed longer. Thus, the increase in the unemployment levels during the recent recession (or any recession) was due both to increasing duration and incidence.
The most widely used measures of unemployment duration are the mean and median duration of a spell of unemployment.' While these indicators generally rise with increases in the unemployment rate (with some difference in timing), they may hide increases in long-term unemployment during certain periods of the business cycle. For instance. early in a recession, when there is extensive job loss, the large number of newly unemployed may actually lower these measures. It is not until the number of newly unemployed begins to decline as a proportion of the total that average duration measures begin a sustained rise. Similarly, during recoveries, the number of newly unemployed may begin to decline first, putting upward pressure on the mean and median durations. Thus. the long-term unemployed need to be examined directly.

## Duration is key to jobless rise

Table I compares the number of newly unemployed (less than 5 weeks) to total unemployment since 1979. The number of persons in the two long-duration categories is also shown. Clearly, the newly unemployed are insufficient to account for the dramatic rise in overall joblessness. Since 1979, the average increase in the newly unemployed never exceeded 13 percent in any year and had totaled 32 percent through 1982. During the same period, total joblessness rose

by 74 percent and. at the extreme. unemplayment of linger than half a year more than ripled.

A similar pattern octurs in every period of unemployment increases. During the list seven recessions istarning in the early 1950's). the cutal of unemployed persons rose. on average. 84 percent from its previous low to its recession high. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ However, as table? shows, the number unemployed 15 weeks or more rose almost 3 times as fast and the number unemployed more than a half year rose more than 4 times as fast. It should be noted that the recovery from the 1980 recession was so weak the unemployment rate only improved half a point) that the percentage increase in longterm joblessness in the subsequent ( $1981-82$ ) recession was somewhat low by historical standards: the actual levels. however. were far higher than those in any previous postwar recession.

Similarly, as a recession comes to an end. long-term unemployment continues to increase. Employers first stop laying off new workers and then begin recalling those workers most recently laid off. This helps to reduce unemployment of short and medium duration. Those workers who had become unemployed early in the downtum often have the least skills and the least seniority, and it typically requires a sustained period of recovery for them to obtain employment.
Thus, there is generally a time lag between when the unemployment rate peaks and when the number of longterm unemployed peaks. The nature of that lag. however. has changed. The following shows the number of months the high in long-duration unemployment followed the peak unemployment rate in the business cycles since 1948:

| Peak year | Unemploved 15 neeks and over | Unemploved 27 weeks and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949 | 1 | 1 |
| 1954 ............. | 0 | 1 |
| 1958 ............. | 1 | 9 |
| 1961 ............. | 2 | 2 |
| 1971 ............. | 1 | 8 |
| 1975............. | 2 | 6 |
| 1980 ............. | 5 | 6 |
| $1982 . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 0 | 6 |

Through the early 1960's, the number of long-term unemployed peaked within I or 2 months of the unemployment rate peak. The recessions were followed by relatively rapid and strong recoveries; the unemployment rate declined at least a percentage point. but generally much more, within 6 months of its peak. The recessions since 1970, however, have generally been followed by slower recoveries. In 1971. for instance, the rate did not fall a full point from its peak for a year and a half. After the 1980 recession, the rate did not even fall by as much as a full point (it recovered only six-teniths of a poims. These weak recoveries do not provide many jub opportunities for people who have experienced considerable unemploynient. Thus. the tanks of those jobless at least 15 weeks have not tended to dectine sufficiently
fant to offset thove who hecome unemployed jus prior to the unemployment peah and u hosuthequently juin the ranh of the long-term unemployed. Movement out of the very long-term unemployed 127 weck, and wer) is very show. and hence this group sometimes peahs mure than ? nuonths after the 15 -week-and-over group peaks.

## Recovery speeds jobless decline ater lag

The 1983 recovery was somewhat different than those that preceded it. While the fall in the johless rate was fairly slow for the first half year, long-term joblessness continued to rise until June. This pattern was similar to the three previous recoveries. In the second half, however, the recovery gained momentum, and by December the 12-month unemployment decline was faster than any previous recovery since the 1960-61 recession. Very long-term joblessness also declined rapidly in the second half to 2.1 million at yearend, compared with a peak of 2.9 million.
The extent of long-duration unemployment during the most recent recession is demonstrated here by comparing data for June 1983 with June 1979. Even though the recession bottomed in November 1982 (according to the National Bureau of Economic Research.) and unemployment began to decline in lanuary 1983, the June data are used because they represent the peak of unemployment of 27 or more weeks' duration. June 1979 is used for comparison because it is near the low point in unemployment between the 1975 and 1980 recessions. Because data for specific worker groups are not seasonally adjusted, the same month in ary 2 years being compared should be used. This is particularly important in analyzing long-duration unemployment. which has a strong seasonal component. A date between the 1980 and 1982 recessions was not chosen because the recovery from the former recession was so weak, particularly in regard to long-term joblessness, that it could hardly be used as a comparison between relatively good and bad times. In fact, long-tern joblessness in mid-1979 was half of what it was at its lowest point in 1981.

No single statistic adequately reflects the extent of longterm unemployment experienced by different labor force groups. For this reason, three types of measures are used which address different aspects of the problem.

1. The long-term unemployed as a proportion of a group's total unemployed answers the question. "If a person was unemployed, what was his or her likelihood of having been jobless at least 15 (or 27) weeks?"
2. The long-term unemployed as a proportion of a group's labor force combines two factors-the likelihood of being unemployed and the likelinood of the unemployment reaching long term. A group could have a high proportion of long-term unemployed under measure 1 (above) but have i low unemployment rate. (See. for example, persons age 55 and over in column 4. table 3.)
3. The percent distribution of the tong-term unemployed provides the derowgraphic and industry make-up of this group but is as much a function of the vize of the labor firce and the unemployment rate of a group as it is a function of the probability of becoming unemployed 15 weeks or more.

## Demographic characteristics

In "good times," the long-duration unemployed are composed disproportionately of black workers and workers under 25 years of age. reflecting these groups' high unemployment rates. As a share of the unemployed, the long-term jobless are more likely to be mate and over 25 years of age. As the economy worsens, some of these relationships intensify and others moderate. The complexity of these relationships is illustrated by focusing on men.

Once unemployed. men have a higher probability of staying unemployed at least 15 weeks. particularly those of prime working age and older. (See table 3.) This is due to several factors. including their greater likelihood (except for those in the oldest age groups) to be persistent in their job search. The lower duration of unemployment among young workers and women is not a result of their more successfu\} job search. Rather. it is due to their greater tendency to end a period of job search by withdrawing from the job market. For instance, in 1979. 27 percent of women age 25 to 54 who were unemployed in I month had left the labor force the next. A comparable figure for persons age 16 to 24 was 25 percent. However, only II percent of men 25 to 54 left the labor force from unemployment in any given month. (For 1982. comparable percentages were 22 for women. 23 for youth, and 8 for men.)
For older unemployed persons, the high probability of long-term unemployment reflects the particularty low chance of finding a job for those who do persist in their job search. An unemployed man age 25 to 54 had a 50 -percent better chance of finding a job in 1979 than did one age 55 and over. Even when many prime-working age men were out of work during the 1981-82 recession. they still stood a 25 -percent better chance of finding a job in 1982 than their older counterpars.s.

| Materer |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ungentis } \\ 0 \rightarrow 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Averag. 7 recessiors | ${ }_{6}$ | 24 | 394 |
| 1954 | 145 | 505 | 845 |
| 1958. | 102 30 | 297 130 | 471 150 |
| 1971 ............ | 92 | ${ }_{26} 6$ | 468 |
| 1977 . $19 . . . . . . .$. | 104 | 219 | 463 |
| $19800 . . . . . . .$. | 43 | 117 119 | 149 174 |
|  |  |  |  |
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The situation for blacks is sumewhis diflerens. The problem of long-duration unemployment for blacks is a result of their higher probability of becoming unenuployed in the finst place. Because the likelitood of reaching is tor 271 wreks of unemployment. once jobless. is roughly the same for blacks and whites (columns 5 and 6 , table 31. the labor force differences (colunms 7 and 8 ) afe proportionate to the white/black differences in their unemployment rates. In both 1979 and 1982. blacks were from 2 to 3 times as likely to be long-term jobless as were whites. roughly the same as the relationship for overall unemployment

## Variations by industry

The statistics by industry show the effects of the recession most dramatically. In 1979. there was little difference among industries in the probability of a worker becoming unemployed for a long time. This probability was generally be tween 1 and 2 percent for 15 weeks or more and about 0.5 percent for 27 weeks and over. By 1983. there were dramatic differences in the long-term unemployment situation among the major industry groups. Finance and services continued to experience relatively low levels of long-ierm joblessness although the levels were triple those in 1979. But some of the changes in other industry statistics were striking. most notably the cyclicaliy sensitive construction and durable goods industries.
While about 4 percent of the civilian labor force was unemployed at least 15 weeks in June 1983, more than 7 percent of the construction and durabie goods labor force had reached that level. And while the average worker was 5 times as likely to have been unemployed more than 6 months in June 1983 compared to 4 years earlier, workers
in construction were 8 tums as likely and those in durable gexds. 9 times. In primary metals dargely stel), a worker was nearly 20 times as likely to be jobless lor 15 for 27 wecks as 4 years earlier. Nearly three-finurths of all johless workers in this industry had been jobless at leant 15 weeks and 6 of 10 were jobless mure than one hall year. These figures demonstrate the combined effects of both cyclical and siructural problems in the employment situation in steel It should also be noted that auto manufacturing experienced a marked improvement in its unemployment situation during the first half of 1983. The long-term duration tigures shown for June 1983, as bad as they are. actually represent a 50 percent improvement over February. the industry's worst month. These developments make it clearer why prime working age men (25-54 year-olds) were hardest hit by long-term unemployment. These men accounted for half of the wage and salary employment in durable goods and construction in 1979. compared with only one-third of wage and salary employment in the service-producing sector.
A job loser was far more likely to remain unemployed for long periods than was a job leaver or a tabor force entrant. This makes sense. given the voluntary nature of a quit and the more marginal job market commitment of entrants as a group. Moreover. job losers are likely to have come from the cyclically sensitive goods-producing sector. Between June of 1979 and 1983. job losers had risen from one-half to almost three-fourths of the long-term jobless.

## Work experience data

The duration measures discussed thus far come from the responses to the monthly Current Poputation Survey questionnaire. Another measure of unemployment duration ob-

| Churactirlitic | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Usamployed 27 metat of lasat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { kunt } \\ 1076 \end{array}$ | Hund | Total |  | Partitithed trimploped |  | Persand of fater forct |  | Pertantdistrividan |  | Totsi |  | Percial at usemployts |  | Premen of later birct |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hant } \\ & \text { ty } \end{aligned}$ | Hint | ig7s | 1840 | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { MNHA } \\ 1974 \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 7uns } \\ \hline 1501 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Jant } \\ \hline 1974 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dutys } \\ & 1863 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { fyni } \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5un } \\ & 1340 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { duat } \\ & 11788 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mon} \\ & 1173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120ad } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1171$ | hat |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { Men . . . . } \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 6.235 \\ & 2.993 \\ & 3.242 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.570 \\ 6.498 \\ 5.072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.005 \\ 601 \\ 484 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.447 \\ & 2.939 \\ & 1.507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & 20.1 \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ | 30.1 45.2 29.7 | 1.0 1.5 | 3.9 4.6 3.1 | 100 55 44.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 66.1 \\ 33.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 492 \\ & 268 \\ & 208 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.842 \\ 1.934 \\ 908 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 96 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.6 \\ & 29.4 \\ & 17.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .5 \\ & .5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 58.5 \\ 41.5 \end{array}$ | 100 68 319 |
| 16 to 19 years |  | 2.527 |  |  | 6.7 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 12.5 | 7.0 | 44 | 148 | 22 | 3.9 | 4 | 1.5 | 89 | 52 |
| 20 to 24 years | 2.034 <br> 1.41 | 2.581 <br> 2.478 | 233 | 814 | 16.2 | 32.8 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 21.5 | 18.3 | 91 | + 458 | 6.3 .120 | 18.5 | 6 | 2.7 | 18.5 | 16.1 85.2 |
| 25 to 54 years | 2.372 | 5.780 | 509 | 2.829 | 24.8 | 50.0 | 9 | 4.0 | 513 | 65 9 | ${ }_{73} 2$ | 1.938 | 120 | 33.5 35.1 | . 5 | 2.7 | 57.7 14.8 | 68.2 10.5 |
| 55 years and ovit | -389 | 7885 | 128 | 431 | 32.9 | 54.8 | .9 | 2.9 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 33 | 299 | 18.0 | 38.1 | . 5 | 2.0 | 14.6 | 10.5 |
| What | 4,677 | 8,593 | 790 | 3.317 | 189 | 386 | 9 | 3.4 | 72 | 746 | 329 | 2.104 | 70 | 24.5 | $\cdots$ | 2.15 | *89 | 74.0 |
| Blact | 1,421 | 2.599 | 273 | 9.987 | 19.2 | 38.4 | 2.6 | 3 | 25.2 | 22.4 | 819 | 657 155 | 84 | 25.3 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 24.2 | 23.1 5 |
| Mispanic origin | 432 | -896 | 70 | 240 | 15.2 | 25.8 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 65 | 5.4 | 26 | 155 | 6.0 | 17.3 | . 5 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| Construetion | 456 | 919 | 97 | 438 | 21.3 | 477 | 16 | 7.0 | 89 | 9.8 | 32 | ${ }^{262}$ | 70 | 28.5 | 5 | 4.2 | 6.5 | ${ }^{9.2}$ |
| Manulacturing | 1.150 | 2.500 | 304 | 1.429 | 26.3 | 572 | 1.3 | 64 | 280 | 32.1 | 128 | 1.006 | 111 | 40.2 | 6 | 4.5 | 26.0 17.1 | 347 |
| Durable goods | 619 | 1,602 | 182 | 993 | 29.8 | 62.0 | 13 | 75 140 | 168 | 22.3 | ${ }^{8}$ | 703 | 137 | 43.9 59.0 | 5 | 11.4 | 1.1 1.4 1.4 | 44.0 |
| Primary metals | 32 <br> 54 | 195 137 | 10 | 142 91 | 31.3 33.3 2.3 | 72.8 664 | 13 | 140 | 1.9 | 32 20 | 7 | 15 73 | 130 | 59.9 53.3 | 5 | $\begin{array}{r}11.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 14 | 2.6 |
| Mondurdio goods | 547 | 898 | 121 | 435 | 22.1 | 46.6 | 13 | 49 | 11.2 | 98 | 44 | 303 | 80 | 337 | 5 | 3.4 | 89 | 10.7 |
| Trade | 1,304 | 2.243 | 195 | 816 | 150 | 364 | 10 | 38 | 180 | 183 | ? | 444 | 54 | 200 | 4 | 21 1.5 | 144 | 158 191 |
| France | 1,462 | 2.434 | 254 | 860 | 17.6 | 353 | 8 | 24 | 238 | 193 | 134 | 542 | 92 | 223 | 4 | 1.5 | 212 | 191 |
| dot losers | 2.0\% | 6.135 | 577 | 3.314 | 275 | 540 | - | - | 512 | 745 | 265 | 2173 | 126 | 354 | 二 | - | 539 124 | 763 50 |
| Joth havers | 2.03 3.314 | $\begin{array}{r}.748 \\ \hline 4.685\end{array}$ | 143 363 | 231 | 171 11.0 | 309 189 | - | - | 132 335 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 19 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ | 61 165 | 14 522 | is ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 191 | - | - | 124 | 50 184 |

tainable from the cPS comm trum reymmen lran a wet of supplemental quevtum iahed edeh March regarding the respundeat's work experience during̣ the prous atiendar ywar. tach measure has athandeger and doadantuper. The duration measure from the monthly (pse relates to a singhe. continuous spell of unemployment. पhile the March supplement counts the sotal week of unzmploymens over the course of a year regardless of the number of apells. The March data. therefure. undersiate the duration of unemployment for spells that begin beliere. of continue atter. a calendar year. The monthly survey, by contran. provides more reliable estimates of unemployment prinurily becuuse it does nut entail the problems of recall associated with work experience questions. However, the nonthly ces may also understate the duration of unemployment when it is broken by a brief period of employment or labor force withdrawal."
While neither the monihly nor the annual work experience data on duration of joblessness are without limitations. when combined, they provide a fairly thorough view of the problem. For a cyclical perspective, the monthly survey is generally better. To assess the extert of the problem on an individual basis, the work experience questionnaire is quite helpful. In this case, unempioyment duration for 1982 will be compared to 1979. a year of relatively low unemployment.
Data from the work experience tabulations demonstrate much the same demographic pattems as the monthly surveys. In 1982. being male and being black each added 10 percentage points to the proportion of those jobless 15 weeks or more in each group. (See table 4.) In other words. the proportion of black women and white men jobless this long was about 10 points higher than the lowest group. white women, while the proportion of black men was 20 points higher. Hispanic men and women experienced tong-term joblessness in proportions between their white and black counterparts.

Half of all unemployed persons repored at least 15 weeks

| Carastorste | Cration of crempiofmiat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 metis ent eves |  | 21 veets and ovet |  |
|  | 197 | 1382 | 1971 | 192 |
| Tatal | 331 | 495 | 137 | 255 |
| ment | 339 |  | 149 | 276 |
| $\underbrace{\text { What }}_{\text {Brach }}$ | 338 | 225 | 13.3 | 360 |
| Hespume orvon | 388 | 318 | 152 | 266 |
| Wamen |  | 43 ? | 12.3 | 226 |
| mise | 292 | 43 | 11 | 215 |
|  | 宕: | 525 4.9 | 1818 135 | 280 26.3 |

of unemployment in 1982. This figure is higher than the ligure from the monthly cPs largely because it counts all spells of unemployment. The proportion unemployed 27 weeks or longer is severely limited by the time frame of the March supplement questionnaire-the hall-year period had to fall entirely within the particular calendar year.

While Short - TERM JoblessNess is often part of the normal functioning of a market economy. Iong-term jublessness can have proiound consequences ior the individual and lamilytinancial. emotional. and even physical. The 1981-8? recession resulted in levels of tong-term unemployment far higher than any experienced since the Great Depression.

The hardest hit workers were men. who typically work in cyclically sensitive industries, and who tend to persevere in their job search. Racial minorities, whose overall joblessness is extensive, experience a similarly large share of long-term unemployment.

Long-term unemployment is a critical policy area not only during recessions but also during expansions. when the tocus shifts to the hard-core, or structurally. unemployed. This aspect of the unemployment picture receives less attention than the overall jobless rate or level but bears directly on the question of economic hardship.
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## FEBRUARY EMPLOYMENT SITUATION

FRIDAY, MARCH 8, 1991

> U.S. Congress, Joint Economic Committee, Washington, $D C$.

The committee met at 9:35 a.m. in room SD-628 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building, the Hon. Paul S. Sarbanes, (chairman of the committee), presiding.

Present: Senator Sarbanes, Representatives Hamilton and Armey.

Also present: William Buechner, professional staff member.
Senator Sarbanes (presiding). The committee will come to order.

## OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR SARBANES, CHAIRMAN

The Joint Economic Committee has two hearings scheduled this morning. The first hearing is our regular monthly hearing focused on the employment and unemployment figures. In this instance, for the month of February, with Janet Norwood, Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, as our witness.
This hearing will be followed by a second hearing on the recession and the economic outlook. And we will have four distinguished members of the panel who will be testifying at that hearing, which will take place immediately upon the conclusion of this one.

We'll have Roger Brinner, David Jones, Jeffrey Moore and Richard Rahn, four distinguished economists, to talk about the recession and the economic outlook.
As we know, the nation finds itself with an economy in a recession. Between June of 1990 and this morning, unemployment rose from 5.3 percent to 6.5 percent.
It's my understanding that the three-tenths of a point jump from last month, from 6.2 to 6.5 percent, is the largest 1 -month increase in the unemployment rate in 5 years time.

And when we heard from Chairman Boskin, Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisors, last month, testifying on the 1991 Economic Report of the President, he was assuming and the administration was assuming an unemployment rate for the year averaging 6.7 percent.
We have, of course, not yet reached that figure. And, of course, to average 6.7 percent over the year, obviously, since we've been below at these first 2 months, even the Administration, I take it, anticipates going above that.
The Committee has held a number of hearings on the strain which this recession has put on the State Unemployment Insurance System. We are trying to deal with that in the Supplemental

Appropriation Bill, which provides sufficient administrative funds to process the very significant increase in claims for unemployment insurance which have taken place in the course of this recession.

Commissioner, we are looking forward to hearing your testimony this morning from you and your colleagues.
Before I turn to you, I'll turn to my colleagues and see if they have any statements.
We've been joined on the committee by a new member, Congressman Richard Armey of Texas. We're pleased to welcome him to the committee, and I will defer to him now for any opening statement he may wish to make.
Representative Armey. Thank you, Senator Sarbanes.
Of course, it's a pleasure for me to be here on the committee and I'm looking forward to the testimony. As the new Ranking Republican member on the Joint Economic Committee, it gives me a great deal of pleasure to join you in welcoming Ms. Norwood and her colleagues this morning.
I look forward to actively participating in these monthly hearings and other committee activities and working with Chairman Sarbanes.

As a Ph.D. Economist and former Economics Professor, I'm sure that the employment hearings will provide me useful information on the current performance of the economy.
The BLS release this morning shows that the recession continued in the month of February, a declining payroll employment; a good coincident economic indicator reflects current economic weakness.
The three-tenths of a percent point rise in the unemployment rate to the level of 6.5 percent is also discouraging news for American workers.

Fortunately, many economists expect the economic expansion to resume in the coming months.

As policy makers, it is our responsibility to avoid policies that undercut economic growth.
In retrospect, it is clear that the huge tax increase of last year was a mistake. It was the wrong approach to our structural problem of congressional deficit spending and could not have been timed worse to effect maximum economic damage.
Other congressional actions taken to increase regulatory burdens on American workers and business will also undermine our struggling American economy.

Fortunately, our market economy has proven quite resilient. On the other hand, there are a number of actions Congress could take to improve economic conditions-cutting the tax burden on investment and the work ethic would be a good place to start.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I look forward to hearing the testimony of Dr. Norwood.
Senator Sarbanes. Thank you very much, Congressman Armey, and, again, welcome to the committee.

Congressman Hamilton.
Representative Hamilton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Just a note to join you in welcoming Congressman Armey to the committee. We're very pleased to have you. We look forward to working with you.

Senator Sarbanes. Commissioner Norwood, we are prepared to hear from you and your colleagues.
STATEMENT OF HON. JANET NORWOOD, COMMISSIONER, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, AC-
COMPANIED BY KENNETH V. DALTON, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF PRICES AND LIVING CONDITIONS; AND THOMAS J. PLEWES, ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS
Mrs. Norwood. Thank you very much.
I'm joined by Ken Dalton, our price expert, on my right; and Tom Plewes on our left. We're very pleased to be here.
Labor market conditions continued to deteriorate in February. The civilian unemployment rate rose from 6.2 to 6.5 percent and the number of unemployed persons increased by about 450,000 to a total of 8.2 million.

Our business survey shows that the number of non-farm jobs fell by an additional 185,000 , for a drop of just over a million since last September.

Durable goods manufacturing industries were the hardest hit, with the loss of an additional 115,000 jobs in February.

These industries have suffered steady cutbacks over the past 2 years, losing more than half a million jobs in the past 7 months alone.

February job cutbacks were especially large in the manufacture of autos and other transportation equipment, fabricated metal products and industrial machinery.
Sizable losses have also occurred in construction-related indus-tries-lumber and furniture, as well as primary metals and electronic equipment.
Job declines also occurred in non-durable goods industries, but they were essentially limited to textiles and rubber and plastics products.
Both of these industries have lost a substantial number of jobs in recent months. This reduction in manufacturing activity continues to affect related industries.
Employment in wholesale trade fell by 25,000 over the month, following an even larger decline in January.

An exceptionally large employment decline took place in the transportation industry in February.
Although most of this job loss occurred in the airline industry, where large employment cutbacks took place, the trucking industry also lost jobs, probably because of the slowdown in manufacturing activity.
Employment in retail trade continued to be very weak. The number of jobs in this industry fell by 70,000 in February. And these job losses were widespread. Even food stores and eating and drinking places, which, typically, remain fairly strong during downturns, experienced job cutbacks.

Retail trade has lost nearly a quarter of a million jobs over the last 7 months. Employment in the construction industry, which has suffered a string of job losses since last May, totaling 425,000 and
was especially hard-hit in January, edged up in February after seasonal adjustment.

I would caution, however, that one should not read too much into this figure. Much of the gain reflected unusually mild weather during the February survey period that came on the heels of exceptionally bad weather in January, when construction jobs declined by 150,000 .

Some employment growth did occur in February in the services industry, where health services continued to add jobs.

However, business services, an industry strongly affected by the economic conditions of other industries, continued to lose employment.

Total civilian employment as measured by our household survey was unchanged in February, following a very large drop in January. The civilian unemployment rate, which had edged up only slightly in January, rose sharply in February.

Over the 2-month period, we have had a very sharp decline, both in the number and in the percentage of the population that is employed, as well as a correspondingly substantial increase in unemployment.

Adult men bore the brunt of the February increase in unemployment. Their jobless rate rose sharply by seven-tenths of a percentage point.

Jobless rates were a little changed for adult women, teenagers, blacks and Hispanics, although unemployment has risen for all groups since last June.

The increase during the 8-month period has been sharper for white than for black workers. Nevertheless, the black jobless rate is still twice that of white workers. The jobless rate for Hispanic workers continues to fall between the rates for whites and blacks.

The increase in unemployment over the month was concentrated among those who had lost their last jobs rather than among those who had left jobs voluntarily, or who had entered the labor force.

The number of persons working part-time, either because their hours had been cut back or because they were unable to find fulltime work, also rose in February.

At 6.1 million, the number of these workers has increased by a million since last summer and currently is at the highest level since late 1983.

Since last June, the number of unemployed persons has risen by 1.6 million. Adult men accounted for more than three-fifths of this increase.

This is because recessions typically impact most heavily on the goods-producing and distributing sectors of the economy, where men are much more likely than women to be employed.

For example, since last June, jobless rates have risen from 9.8 to 15.5 percent for construction workers and from 5.2 to 7.4 percent for factory workers.

The increase in unemployment has also been much steeper for precision production workers and for operators, fabricators and laborers than for managerial and professional specialty workers.

In addition, of course, as job opportunities have diminished in the service-producing sector, where women are more likely to work, unemployment has also risen among adult women.

In summary, the February data show continued deterioration in the employment situation. Unemployment rose sharply, especially among adult men. Employment declined, particularly in durable manufacturing, but also in the service-producing sector.

The number of unemployed persons has increased by 1.6 million since last June, and the jobless rate has risen from 5.3 to 6.5 percent over this 8-month span.

Mr. Chairman, I have also included in my statement a few comments about our recent productivity release incorporating some revisions in the national accounts. And we'd be glad to try to answer any questions.

Senator Sarbanes. Why don't you summarize that productivity piece?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes. On Wednesday, as you know, the Bureau of Economic Analysis did a rather major revision of the accounts. We rely on their estimates for output when we go into our productivity measures.

And so we used the new output data and we found that manufacturing productivity grew at an annual rate of 3.5 percent from 1979 to 1990 , a result similar to the previous measures showing rapid growth in the 1980s.

The recent performance in manufacturing is somewhat weaker. Productivity grew 3 percent during 1990 , including a 1.6 percent decline in the fourth quarter. The fourth quarter estimate reflects an 8.3 percent decline in output and a 6.8 percent decline in hours.

In the broader business sector, productivity fell less than in manufacturing, by about three-tenths of a percent in the fourth quarter. But it has fallen about half a percent in each of the last 2 years.
[The table attached to Mrs. Norwood's statement, together with the Employment Situation press release, follows:]

Unemployment rates of all civilian workers by alternat $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{s}$ sasonal adjustment methods

| Month and year | Unadjusted rate | X-II ARIMA method |  |  |  |  |  | ```X-11 method (officlal method before 1980)``` | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Rangr } \\ (\operatorname{col} s \\ 2-8) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Official procedure | Concurrent (as first computed) | Concurrent (revised) | Stable | Total | Residual |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February.... | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| March....... | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | . 1 |
| April....... | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | . 1 |
| May......... | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | . 1 |
| June......... | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | -1 |
| July......... | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | . 1 |
| August....... | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | - |
| September... | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | - |
| October...... | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | - |
| November..... | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | . 1 |
| December.... | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | - |
| $\cdot 1991$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 7.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | $6.2$ | .1 |
| February.... | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | . 1 |

$\stackrel{1}{1}$
8

SOURCE: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Bureau of Labor Statistics
March 1991
(1) Unadjusted rate. Unemployment rate for all civilian workers, not seasonally adjusted.
(2) Official procedure ( $X-11$ ARIMA method). The published seasonally adjusted rate for all civilian workers. Each of the 3 major civilian labor force componentsagricultural employment, nonagricultural employment and unemployment-for 4 age-sex groups-males and females, ages $16-19$ and 20 years and over-are seasonally adjusted independently using data from January 1974 forward. The date series for each of these 12 components are extended by a year at each end of the original series using ARIMA (Auto-Regressive, Integrated, Moving Average) models chosen specifically for each series. Each extended series is then seasonally adjusted with the $\mathrm{X}-11$ portion of the $\mathrm{X}-11$ ARIMA program. The 4 teenage unemployment and nonagricultural employment components are adjusted with the additive adjustment model, while the other components are adjusted with the multiplicative model. The unemployment rate is computed by summing the 4 seasonally adjusted unemployment components and calculating that total as a percent of the civilian labor force total derived by summing all 12 seasonally adjusted components. All the seasonally adjusted series are revised at the end of each year. Extrapolated factors for JanuaryJune are computed at the beginning of each year; extrapolated factors, for JulyDecember are computed in the middle of the year after the June data become available. Each set of 6 -month factors are published in advance, in the January and July issues, respectively, of Employment and Earnings.
(3) Concurrent (as first computed, X-11 ARIMA method). The official procedure for computation of the rate for all civilian workers using the 12 components is followed except that extrapolated factors are not used at all. Each component is seasonally adjusted with the X-11 ARIMA program each month as the most recent data become available. Rates for each month of the current year are shown as first computed; they are revised only once each year, at the end of the year when data for the full year become available. For example, the rate for January 1984 would be based, during 1984, on the adjustment of data from the period January 1974 through January 1984.
(4) Concurrent (revised, X-11 ARIMA method). The procedure used is identical to (3) above, and the rate for the current month (the last month displayed) will always be the same in the two columns. However, all previous months are subject to revision each month based on the seasonal adjustment of all the components with data through the current month.
(5) Stable (X-11 ARIMA method). Each of the 12 civilian labor force components is extended using ARIMA models as in the official procedure and then run through the X-11 part of the program using the stable option. This option assumes that seasonal patterns are basically constant from year-to-year and computes final seasonal factors as unweighted averages of all the seasonal-irregular components for each month across the entire span of the period adjusted. As in the official procedure, factors are extrapolated in 6-month intervals and the series are revised at the end of each year. The procedure for computation of the rate from the seasonally adjusted components is also identical to the official procedure.
(6) Total (X-11 ARIMA method). This is one alternative aggregation procedure, in which total unemployment and civilian labor force levels are extended with ARIMA models and directly adjusted with multiplicative adjustment models in the X-11 part of the program. The rate is computed by taking seasonally adjusted total unemployment as a percent of seasonally adjusted total civilian labor force. Factors are extrapolated in 6 -month intervals and the series revised at the end of each year.
(7) Residual (X-11 ARIMA method). This is another alternative aggregation method, in which total civilian employment and civilian labor force levels are extended using ARIMA models and then directly adjusted with multiplicative adjustment models. The seasonally adjusted unemployment level is derived by subtracting seasonally adjusted employment from seasonally adjusted labor force. The rate is then computed by taking the derived unemployment level as a percent of the labor force level. Factors are extrapolated in 6-month intervals and the series revised at the end of each year.
(8) 12-month extrapolation ( $X-11$ ARIMA method). This approach is the same as the official procedure except that the factors are extrapolated in 12 -month intervals. The factors for January-December of the current year are computed at the beginning of the year based on data through the preceding year. The values for January through June of the current year are the same as the official values since they reflect the same factors.
(9) X-11 method (official method before 1980). The method for computation of the official procedure is used except that the series are not extended with ARIMA
models and the factors are projected in 12 -month intervals. The standard X-11 program is used to perform the seasonal adjustment.

Methods of Adjustment: The X-11 ARIMA method was developed at Statistics Canada by the Seasonal Adjustment and Times Series Staff under the direction of Estela Bee Dagum. The method is described in The X-11 ARIMA Seasonal Adjustment Method, by Estela Bee Dagum, Statistics Canada Catalogue No. 12-564E, February 1980.

The standard X-11 method is described in X-11 Variant of the Censes Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program, by Julius Shiskin, Allan Young and John Musgrave (Technical Paper No. 15, Bureau of the Census, 1967).

| Technical information: (202) $523-1371$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $523-1944$ |
|  | $523-1959$ |
|  | $523-1913$ |
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THE EMPLOYMENT SITUATION: FEBRUARY 1991

Employment continued to decline in February and unemployment rose sharply, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. The civilian worker unemployment rate was 6.5 percent, up from 6.2 percent in January and 5.3 percent last Jume.

Nonfarm payroll employment, as measured by the survey of business establishments, fell by 185,000 in February, as manufacturing, transportation, and retail trade experienced particularly large job losses. Total civilian employment, as measured through the household survey, was unchanged, following a large decline in January.

## Unemployment (Household Survey Data)

The number of unemployed persons increased by 440,000 in February to a level of 8.2 million (after seasonal adjustment), and the civilian worker unerployment rate rose to 6.5 percent. This was the highest rate since March 1987. Since June of last year, the number of jobless workers has climbed by 1.6 million, and the unemployment rate has risen by 1.2 percentage points. (See table A-2.)

Adult men accounted for the upward movement in unemployment in February. Their jobless rate rose sharply, from 5.6 to 6.3 percent, while the rates for adult women ( 5.4 percent) and teenagers ( 17.1 percent) were little changed. Among the race-ethnic groups, the unemployment rate for whites rose from 5.5 to 5.9 percent, while those for blacks ( 11.8 percent) and Hispanics ( 9.5 percent) were essentially unchanged. The jobless rates in two male-daminated industries-construction and durable goods manufacturing-continued to rise in February. Over the past year, the workers in these industries experienced by far the greatest increases in unemployment. (See tables A-2, A-3, and A-5.)

The number of unemployed persons who lost their last jobs rose by 450,000 in February to 4.5 million. This figure has risen by 1.4 million since last July. Job losers now comprise 55.5 percent of the unemployed, the highest proportion since October 1983. (See table A-7.)

The number of persons working part time for economic reasons-sometimes called the underemployed or partially unemployed--increased by 550,000 to 6.1 million in February. This figure has risen substantially from the 4.9 million level that generally prevailed throughout the first half of 1990. (See table A-4.)

Table A. Major indicators of labor maricet activity, seasonally adjusted


## Civilian Erployment and the Labor Force (Household Survey Data)

Following a decline of 650,000 in January, total civilian employment was unchanged in February at 116.9 million, seasonally adjusted. The percentage of the working-age population that is employed the employmentpopulation ratio) was 61.8 percent in February, down from 63.0 percent a year earlier. (See table A-2.)

The civilian labor force, which has shown erratic movenents in recent months, increased by 440,000 in February to 125.1 million. Over the past year, however, the civilian labor force has grown by a modest 630,000-an increase of only 0.5 percent. The labor force participation rate-the proportion of the working-age population that is either employed or actively seeking employment-was 66.1 percent in February, little different from the previous month and 0.4 percentage point lower than a year earlier. (See table A-2.)

Industry Payroll Employment (Establishment Survey Data)
Nonfarm payroll employment decreased by 185,000 in Febnuary to 109.6 million. The bulk of the decline occurred in manufacturing, and there were also substantial losses in retail and wholesale trade and in transportation and public utilities. For the seventh consecutive month, more industries had declines than increases. (See tables B-1 and B-6.)

Manufacturing employment fell by 125,000 in February, continuing a downward trend which started 2 years ago. Since January 1989, the number of factory jobs has decreased by over 1 million. Manufacturing job losses in February continued to be concentrated in durable goods, particularly in industrial machinery, autos and other transportation equipment, and fabricated metals. Smaller declines occurred among industries tied to construction-lumber and wood products and furniture and fixtures-as well as in primary metals and electronic equipment. In nondurable goods, small job losses took place in textiles and rubber and plastics. The only manufacturing industry in which there was an over-the-month employment gain was food processing, which has added more than 20,000 jobs in the last 8 months.

Despite relatively mild weather in February, construction employment showed only a slight rebound from the drop of 150,000 in the prior month (seasonally adjusted). The recent string of job losses in this industry totals about 425,000 since last May.

The service-producing sector also lost jobs in February. Employment in retail trade fell by 70,000 , after seasonal adjustment. In transportation, there was a 35,000 cutback, reflecting temporary layoffs and job terminations in the airline industry and continued declines in trucking. Also, employment declined by 25,000 in wholesale trade and 10,000 in finance, insurance, and real estate. Retail and wholesale trade combined has lost a total of 350,000 jobs since last summer.

The services industry, which had been growing robustly until late last year, has show only small employment gains for the past 3 months. Health services has continued to grow, but at a more moderate pace, with an increase of 30,000 jobs in February. There was a further job decline $(20,000)$ in business services, where reductions have totaled 75,000 since last September.

## Weekly Hours (Establishment Survey Data)

The average workweek for production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonfarm payrolls edged up by 0.2 hour in February to 34.3 hours, seasonally adjusted, following a decline of 0.5 hour in January. The manufacturing workweek declined by 0.2 hour from its revised January level to 40.2 hours, and factory overtime edged down to 3.3 hours. The manufacturing workweek and overtime have been trending downward in recent months. (See table B-2.)

The index of aggregate weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers increased by 0.3 percent to 122.3 (1982-100) in February, seasonally adjusted, as a result of the slight increase in hours. The index for manufacturing declined by 1.2 percent to 101.0 , seasonally adjusted. Over the year, the index for manufacturing was down by 6.1 percent. (See table B-5.)

## Hourly and Weekly Earnings (Establishment Survey Data)

Average hourly earnings of private production or nonsupervisory workers were unchanged at $\$ 10.20$, seasonally adjusted. Average weekly earnings increased by 0.6 percent to $\$ 349.86$. Prior to seasonal adjustment, average weekly earnings were up by $\$ 1.03$. Over the past year, average hourly earnings increased by 3.3 percent and average weekly earnings by 2.4 percent. (See tables $\mathrm{B}-3$ and $\mathrm{B}-4$. )

The Erployment Situation for March 1991 will be released on Friday, April 5, at 8:30 A.M. (EST).

## Explanatory Note

This news release presents statistics from two major surveys. the Current Population Survey (household survey) and the Current Employment Statistics Survey (establishment survey). The household survey provides the information on the tabor force, total employment, and unemployment that appears in the A tables, marked HOUSEHOLD DATA. It is a sample survey of about 60,000 households that is conducted by the Bureau of the Census with most of the findings analyzed and published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (Bls).
The establishment survey provides the information on the employment, bours, and earnings of workers on nonfarm payrolls that appears in the B tables, marked ESTABLISHMENT DATA. This information is colleeted from payroll records by BLS in cooperation with Stare agencies. The sample includes over 340,000 establishmenss employing over 40 million people.
For both surveys, the data for a given month are actually collected for and relate to a particular week. In the bousehold survey, unless otherwise indicated, it is the calendar week that contains the 12th day of the month, which is calied the survey week. In the establishment survey, the reference week is the pay period including the 12th, which may or may not correspond directly to the calendar week.

The data in this release are affected by a number of technical factors, including definitions, survey differences, seasonal adjustments, and the ineviable variance in results between a survey of a sample and a census of the entire population. Each of these factors is explained below.

## Covarage, definitions, and differences between surveys

The sample households in the household survey are selected was to reflect the entire civilian noninstitutional population 16 years of age and older. Each person in a household is classified as employed, unemployed, or not in the labor force. Those who hold more than one job are classified according to the job at which they worked the most hours.
People are classified as employed if they did any work at all as paid civilians; worked in their own business or profession or on their own farm; or worked 15 hours or more in an enterprise operated by a member of their family, whether they were paid or not. People are also counted as employed if they were on unpaid leave because of illness, bad weather, disputes beiween labor and management, or personal reasons. Members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States are also included in the employed total.
People are classified as unemployed, regardless of their eligibility for unemployment benefits or public assistance, if they meet all of the following criteria: They had no employment during the survey week; they were available for work at
that time; and they made specific efforts to find employment sometime during the prior 4 weeks. Persons laid off from their former jobs and awaiting recall and those expecting to report to a job within 30 days need not be looking for work to be counted as unemployed.
The tobor force equals the sum of the number employed and the number unemployed. The unemployment rate is the percentage of unemployed people in the labor force (eivilian plus the resident Armed Forces). Table A-S presents a specia! grouping of seven measures of unemployment based on varying definitions of unemployment and the labor force. The definitions are provided in the table. The most restrictive definition yields $\mathrm{U}-1$ and the most comprehensive yields U.7. The overall unemployment rate is $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{sa}$, while $\mathrm{U}-5 \mathrm{~b}$ represents the same measure with a civilian labor force base.
Unlike the household survey, the establishment survey only counts wage and salary employees whose names appear on the payroll records of nonfarm firms. As a result, there are many differences between the two surveys, among which are the following:

- The houschotd survey, athough based on a smaller mample, reflects a lurget seqment of the population; the establishment survey exchudes atpiculture. the selfemployed, uapaid family workers, private household workers, and members of the resident Armed Forces;
- The household survey inctudes people on unpaid leave amons the employed: the estabishment survey does not:
- The houschold survey is bimited to those 16 years of age and older: the establishment survey is not timized by age:
- The househole surver has no duplistion of individuals, because each in. dividual is counted only onet: in the establishment survey. employes wortion as more than one job or othervise appearing on more than one paytol would be counted separately for each appearance.
Other differences between the two surveys are described in "Comparing Employment Estimates from Household and Payroll Surveys," which may be obrained from the bLS upon request.


## Seasonal adjustment

Over the course of a year, the size of the Nation's labor force and the levels of employment and unemployment undergo sharp fluctuations due to such seasonal events as changes in weather, reduced or expanded production, harvests, major holidays, and the opening and closing of schools. For example, the labor force increases by a large number each June, when schools close and many young people enter the job market. The effect of such seasonal variation can be very large: over the course of a year. for example, seasonality may account for as much as 95 percent of the month-to-month changes in unemployment.

Because these seasonal events follow a more or less regular pattern each year, their influence on statistical trends can be elminated by adjusting the statistics from month to month. These adjustments make nonseasonal developments, such as declines in economic activity or increases in the participation of women in the labor force, easier to spot. To return to the school's-out example, the large number of people entering the tabor force each June is likely to obscure any other changes that have taken place since May, making it difficult to determine if the level of economic activity has risen or declined. However, because the effect of students finishing school in previous years is known, the statistics for the current year can be adjusted to allow for a comparable change. Insofar as the seasonal adjustment is made correctly, the adjusted figure provides a more useful tool with which to analyze changes in economic activity.

Measures of labor force, employment, and unemployment contain components such as age and sex. Statistics for all employees, production workers, average weekly hours, and average hourly eamings include components based on the employer's industry. All these statistics can be seasonally adjusted either by adjusting the total or by adjusting each of the components and combining them. The second procedure usually yields more accurate information and is therefore followed by bls. For example, the seasonally adjusted figure for the labor force is the sum of eight seasonally adjusted civilian employment components, plus the resident Armed Forces total (not adjusted for seasonality), and four seasonally adjusted unemployment components; the total for unemployment is the sum of the four unemployment components; and the overall unemployment rate is derived by dividing the resulting estimate of total unemployment by the estimate of the labor force.
The numerical factors used to make the seasonal adjustments are recalculated regularly. For the household survey, the factors are calculated for the January-June period and again for the July-December period. For the establishment survey, updated factors for seasonal adjustment are also calculated twice a year. In both surveys, revisions to historical data are made once a year.

## Sampling variability

Statistics based on the household and establishment surveys are subject to sampling error, that is, the estimate of the number of people employed and the other estimates drawn from these surveys probably differ from the figures that would be obtained from a complete census, even if the same questionnaires and procedures were used. In the household survey, the amount of the differences can be expressed in terms of standard errors. The numerical value of a standard error depends upon the size of the sample, the results of the survey, and other factors. However, the numerical value is always such that the chances are approximately 68 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than the standard error
from the results of a complete census. The chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that an estimate based on the sample will differ by no more than 1.6 times the standard error from the results of a complete census. At approximately the 90 -percent level of confidence-the confidence limits used by BLS in its analyses-the error for the monthly change in total employment is on the order of plus or minus 358,000; for total unemployment it is 224,000; and, for the overall unemployment rate, it is 0.19 percentage point. These figures do not mean that the sample results are off by these magnitudes but, rather, that the chances are approximately 90 out of 100 that the "true" level or rate would not be expected to differ from the estimates by more than these amounts.

Sampling errors for monthly surveys are reduced when the data are cumulated for several months, such as quarterly or annually. Also, as a general rule, the smaller the estimate, the larger the sampling error. Therefore, relatively speaking, the estimate of the size of the labor force is subject to less error than is the estimate of the number unemployed. And, among the unemployed, the sampling error for the jobless rate of adul: men, for example, is much smaller than is the error for the jobless rate of teenagers. Specifically, the error on monthly change in the jobless rate for men is .25 percentage point; for teenagers, it is 1.29 percentage points.
In the establishment survey, estimates for the 2 most current months are based on incomplete returns; for this reason, these estimates art labeled preliminary in the tables. When all the returns in the sample have been received, the estimates are revised. In other words, data for the month of September are published in preliminary form in October and November and in final form in December. To remove errors that build up over time, a comprehensive count of the employed is conducted each year. The results of this survey are used to establish new benchmarks-comprehensive counts of employment-against which month-to-month changes can be measured. The new benchmarks also incorperate changes in the classification of industries and allow for the formation of new establishments.

## Addifional statistics and other Information

In order to provide a broad view of the Nation's employment situation, bLs regularly publishes a wide variety of data in this news release. More comprehensive statistics are contained in Employment and Earnings, published each month by BLS. It is available for $\mathbf{\$ 8 . 5 0}$ per issue or $\$ 25.00$ per year from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20204. A check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents must accompany all orders.
Employment and Earnings also provides approximations of the standard errors for the household survey data published in this release. For unemployment and other labor force categories, the standard errors appear in tables B through J of its "Explanatory Notes." Measures of the reliability of the data drawn from the establishment survey and the actual amounts of revision due to benchmark adjustments are provided in tables $M, O, P$, and $Q$ of that publication.

Table A-1. Employment status of the population, Including Armed Forces In the United States, by sex
(Numbers in thousands)

| Employment status and sex | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1999}{ }$ | Feb. 1991 | Feb. <br> 1990 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | Nov. 1990 | Dec. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1991 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonirstitutional poputation ${ }^{2}$............................ | 189,090 | 190.592 | 190.717 | 189.090 | 190.095 | 190,312 | 190.483 | 190,592 | 190,717 |
| Labor force ${ }^{2}$.................................................................. | \$25,120 | 125.200 | 125.672 | 126,33i | 126,445 | 126,338 | 126,791 | 126,253 | 126.678 |
| Participation rata ${ }^{3}$................................. | 66.2 | 65.7 | 65.9 | 65.8 | 66.5 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.2 | 66.4 |
| Total employed2 .................................... | 117,986 | 116.605 | 116.753 | 119.752 | 119.303 | 119.001 | 119.191 | \$18,537 | 118,520 |
| Emptoyment-poputation ratio ${ }^{4}$................. | 62.4 | 81.2 | 61.2 | 63.3 | 62.8 | 62.5 | 62.6 | 62.2 | 62.1 |
| Resident Armed Forces ........................... | 1.678 | 1,615 | 1,602 | 1.678 | 1.570 | 1,815 | 1.617 | 1,615 | 1,602 |
| Civilian employed .................................... | 116,308 | 114.990 | 115.151 | 118.074 | 117,733 | 117.386 | 117.574 | 118,922 | t 15,918 |
| Agricuthure | 2,693 | 2.750 | 2,785 | 3,119 | 3.175 | 3,185 | 3,253 | 3.163 | 3.222 |
| Nonagricutural indus ries ....................... | 113,615 | 112,240 | 112,366 | 114,955 | 114,558 | 114.201 | 114.321 | 113,759 | 113,696 |
| Unemployed .........................-.................. | 7.134 | 0,595 | 8,919 | 6.579 | 7.142 | 7.337 | 7.600 | 7.715 | 8,158 |
| Unemployment rats ${ }^{5}$ <br> Not in labor force | 5.7 63,970 | 6.9 65,392 | 7.1 65,045 | 5.2 62.759 | 5,6 63,650 | 5.8 63.974 | 6.0 63,682 | 6.1 64,339 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.4 \\ 64,039 \end{array}$ |
| Men, 16 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninstitutional poputation ${ }^{2}$........................... | 90,822 | 91.590 | 91.650 | 00.822 | 91.299 | 91.440 | 01.537 | 01.590 | 91,850 |
| Labor torco ${ }^{2}$............................................... | 68,885 | 68,915 | 69,183 | 69,648 | 69,804 | 69.899 | 70,059 | 69,543 | 69,749 |
| Participation rate ${ }^{3}$ | 75.8 | 752 | 75.5 | 78.7 | 76.5 | 78.4 | 76.5 | 75.8 | 76.1 |
| Toual employedr ...................... | 64,799 | 63,625 | 63.735 | 68,086 | 65,822 | 65,790 | 65,781 | 65,251 | 65,043 |
| Employmem-population ratio4 ................ | 71.3 | 69.7 | 69.5 | 72.8 | 72.1 | 71.8 | 71.9 | 71.2 | 71.0 |
| Resident Armed Forces | 1,508 | 1,453 | 1.439 | $\begin{array}{r}1.506 \\ \hline 64580\end{array}$ | 8,414 | 1.453 | 1,454 | 1.453 | 1.439 |
| Civilian employed .................................. | 63,293 | 62.372 | 62,296 | 64,580 | 34,408 | 84,337 | 64,327 | 63,798 | 63,604 |
| Unemployed ......................................... | 4.087 | 5,090 | 5,427 | 3,562 | 3,982 | 4,109 | 4.277 | 4.292 | 4,706 |
| Unemployment rate ${ }^{\text {5 }}$........................... | 5.9 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.7 |
| Women, 16 yeare and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninstitutioned popudation ${ }^{2}$....en....................... | 88,288 | 99.002 | 99.067 | 08,268 | 88,796 | 88,872 | 98,946 | 99.002 | 99,067 |
| Labor torce ${ }^{2}$.............................................. | 56,235 | 58,285 | 56,509 | 56,683 | 56,641 | 58,439 | 58,733 | 56,710 | 56,929 |
| Participation rato ${ }^{3}$ | 572 | 58.9 | 57.0 | 57.7 | 57.3 | 57.1 | 57.3 | 57.3 | 57.5 |
| Tatas employed2 ..................................... | 53,188 | 52,780 | 53,088 | 53.668 | 53,481 | 53,211 | 53,410 | 53,287 | 53,477 |
| Employment-poputation catiot ................. | 54.1 | 53.3 | 53.5 | 54.6 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 54.0 | 53.8 | 54.0 |
| Resident Armed Forces ............................ | 172 | 182 | 163 | 172 | 158 | 162 | 163 | 162 | 183 |
| Civitian employed ................................... | 53,016 | 52.818 | 52.855 | 53,494 | 53.325 | 53,049 | 53,247 | 53,125 | 53,314 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Unemployment rates $\qquad$ | 3.047 5.4 | 3,505 6.2 | 3.491 6.2 | 3.017 5.3 | 3,160 5.6 | 3,228 | 3.323 5.9 | 3,423 6.0 | 3.452 6.1 |

${ }^{1}$ The poputaion and Arred Forces figures are not adjusted tor seasonal variation; therefore, identical numbers appear in the unadjusted and seasonally adjusted columns.

Inctudes members of the Ammed Forces stationed in the United States.

3 Labor force as a percent of the noninstitutional poputation.
5 Total employment as a percent of the noninatrutional popuration.
5 Unemployment as a percent of the labor force (including the resident Armed Forces).

## Note on Armed Forces estlmates

Estimates of the labor force including the Armed Forces that appear in table A- 1 of this release should be interpreted with caution. The recent transfer of active-duly personnel to the Persian Gult and the callup of reservists are not fully reflected in the current estimates of the size of the resident Armed Forces. These data come from administrative sources and are affected, among other things, by the practice of most branches of the services to treat current deployments as tempo-rary-duty assignments. In addition, the civilian population estimates may be slightly overstaled, because it is not possible for the Bureau of Labor Statistics to reflect fully the recent callup of civilian reservists. The Bureau believes, however, that this situation has had no appreciable effect on the civilian labor market dala.

HOUSEHOLD DATA
MOUSEHOLD DATA
Table A-2. Employment status of the eivilian poputation by sex and age
(Numbers in thoussads)

| Employment status, sex, and age | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjueted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1991 | Feb. 1990 | Oct. <br> 1990 | Nov. $1990$ | Dec. $1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | Fob. 1991 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilien noninstitutional population ................. | 187.412 | 188,977 | 189.115 | 187.412 | 188,525 | 188,697 | 188.086 | 188,977 | 189.115 |
| Civilian labor torce | 123,442 | 123,585 | 124,070 | 124,653 | 124,875 | 124,723 | 125,174 | 124,638 | 125,078 |
| Participation rate ................................... | 65.9 | 65.4 | 65.8 | 66.5 | 66.2 | 68.1 | 68.3 | 68.0 | 66.1 |
| Employed ............................................... | 116,308 | 144,990 | 115,151 | 118,074 | 117.733 | 117,388 | 117.574 | 116,022 | 116,918 |
| Employment-population fatio ${ }^{2}$................ | 62.1 | 60.8 | 60.9 | 63.0 | 62.4 | 62.2 | 62.3 | 61.9 | 61.8 |
| Unemployed | 7.134 | 8.595 | 8.919 | 6,579 | 7.142 5.7 | 7,337 | 7,600 | 7,715 | 8,158 |
| Unemployment rate | 5.8 | 7.0 | 72 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 6.5 |
| Men, 20 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional population ................. | 82,248 | 83,271 | 83,392 | 82.248 | 83,013 | 89,092 | 83.208 | 83,271 | 00,392 |
| Civilian laber force ..................................... | 63.760 | 04,089 | 64,404 | 64.111 | 64.504 | 64,882 | 64,003 | 64,345 | 64,577 |
| Participation rate .................................. | 77.5 | 77.0 | 77.2 | 77.9 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 77.9 | 773 | 77.4 |
| Employed ............................................. | 60,286 | 59,687 | 59,640 | 81,160 | 61,245 | 69,217 | 61,188 | 60,734 | 80,533 |
| Employment-poputation ratio ${ }^{2}$................ | 73.3 | 71.7 | 71.5 | 74.4 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 73.5 | 72.9 | 72.8 |
| Agricuture .......................................... | 2,015 | 2,060 | 2,063 | 2.282 | 2.283 | 2,307 | 2365 | 2,289 | 2,315 |
| Nonagricultural industries ........................ | 58,270 | 57,627 | 57.577 | 58,898 | 58,982 | 58,910 | 58,823 | 58,445 | 58,217 |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ Unemployment rate $\qquad$ | 3.474 5.4 | 4.402 6.9 | 4,764 7.4 | 2.951 4.6 | 3,349 5.2 | 3,465 $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | 3.615 5.6 | 3.811 5.6 | 4.044 6.3 |
| Women, 20 yemere and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilien noninstintional population .................. | 91,157 | 92,139 | 92,198 | 01,157 | 01.857 | 01,003 | 02.042 | 82,139 | 92,108 |
| Civilian libor tore ..................................... | 52,689 | 52.971 | 53,179 | 52,822 | 53,047 | 52,808 | 53,182 | 53,097 | 53,284 |
| Paricipation rate ................................... | 57.8 | 57.5 | 57.7 | 57.9 | 57.7 | 57.5 | 57.8 | 57.8 | 67.8 |
| Employed .............................................. | 50,129 | 50,045 | 50,209 | 50,340 | 50,423 | 50,198 | 50,399 | 50,300 | 50,404 |
| Employment-population ratio ${ }^{2}$................ | 55.0 | 54.3 | 54.5 | 55.2 | 54.9 | 54.8 | 54.7 | 54.8 | 54.7 |
| Agriculure ........................................... | 524 | 557 | 580 | 609 | 228 | 627 | 647 | 684 | 675 |
| Nonagricultural industries ........................ | 49,605 | 49,487 | 49,629 | 49,731 | 49,795 | 48.589 | 49,742 | 49,036 | 49,728 |
| Unemployed ........................................... | 2,560 | 2,828 | 2.970 | 2,482 | 2.624 | 2,700 | 2,793 | 2,797 | 2,081 |
| Unemployment rate .............................. | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Both sexes, 18 to 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutional poputation ................ | 14,008 | 13.587 | 13,525 | 14.008 | 13,655 | 13,642 | 13.616 | 13,567 | 13.525 |
| Civilian labor force ..................................... | 6,993 | 6,526 | 6,487 | 7.720 | 7,234 | 7,145 | 7,189 | 7,196 | 7.215 |
| Participation rato ................................... | 49.9 | 48.1 | 48.0 | 55.1 | 53.0 | 52.4 | 52.8 | 53.0 | 53.3 |
| Employed ............................................... | 5.853 | 5.259 | 5.302 | 6.574 | 6,085 | 5,973 | 5.987 | 5.889 | 5.982 |
| Employment-poputation ratio ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$................ | 42.1 | 38.8 | 39.2 | 46.9 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 44.0 | 43.4 | 44.2 |
| Agriculture ............................................ | 154 | 132 | 142 | 248 | 284 | 251 | 241 | 211 | 232 |
| Nonagriculural industries ....................... | 5.740 | 5,126 | 5,160 | 6,326 | 5,801 | 5,722 | 5,756 | 5.678 | 5,750 |
| Unemployed ......................................... | 1,100 | 1,267 | 1,185 | 1,146 | 1,169 | 1,172 | 1,192 | 1,307 | 1,233 |
| Unemployment rate .............................. | 15.7 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 14.8 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 17.1 |

1 The pooculation and Armed forces figures ase not adjustad for seatonal variation: theretore, identical numbers appoar in the unadjusted and soasonally adjusted columns.
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Table A.3. Employment atatus of the civilian population by race, sex, age, and Hispanic origin
(Nunters in thousends)

| Employment status, race, sex, age, and Hispanic origin | Not seasonally adiusted |  |  | Seasonally achusted' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fab. 1090 | ten. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fib. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | Fub. <br> 1800 | $0 \mathrm{Oc}$ | Nor. 1990 | $1900$ | $\operatorname{lon} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fob. } \\ & 1901 \end{aligned}$ |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMilian noningatiturional population <br>  | 160,007108.11366.3100,6896295.4255.1 | 181.007105.092 65.9 99,42 618 6,670 6.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 161.097 \\ & 108.658 \end{aligned}$ | 100,007 | 160,717307.277 | $\begin{aligned} & 160.831 \\ & 107.048 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180,942 \\ & 107,517 \end{aligned}$ | 181,007108,962 | 181.097 |
|  |  |  |  | 107.090 |  |  |  |  | 107.432 |
|  |  |  | 662 | 689 | 66.7 | 66.8 | 68.8 | 664 | 66.7 |
| Erfoloyed - |  |  | 09,698 | 102.145 | t02.017 | 107.648 | 101.843 | 101,104 | 101.141 |
|  |  |  | 61.9 | 63.8 | 63.5 | 632 | 63.3 | 628 | 628 |
| Unertployed $\qquad$ Uneriploymbert rate $\qquad$ |  |  | 6.958 | 4,945 | 5,290 | 5.400 | 5,674 | 5.858 | 6.291 |
|  |  |  | 6.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| Men, 20 years and over <br> CMilien taber tored $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.554 \\ 70.1 \end{array}$ | *55,663 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58,000 |
| Participation tite. |  | 774 | 55,921 | 55,830 78,4 | $\begin{array}{r} 56,123 \\ 78.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,174 \\ 78.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,307 \\ 7 a .3 \end{array}$ | 55.836 77.6 | 778 |
| Erployed ..........-. | 52.851 | 52.162 | 62.115 | 53.558 | 53.615 | 53,564 | 53,497 | 53.01073.7 | 52,801 |
| Enploymem-poputation tesion | $\begin{array}{r} 743 \\ 2700 \\ 49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.5 \\ 3.501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 724 \\ & 3,008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.3 \\ 2272 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.8 \\ & 2.500 \end{aligned}$ | 74.8 | 744 |  | 73.3 |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  |  | 2,810 | 2.810 | 2.028 | 3,198 |
| Unerroloymerat reo |  | 6.3 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 50 | 5.1 | 5.7 |
| Women, 20 years and ower <br> Civilan inter torice | $\begin{array}{r} 4.513 \\ 574 \\ 42.654 \\ 550 \\ 1.860 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,784 \\ 572 \\ 42,584 \\ 544 \\ 2.180 \\ 49 \end{array}$ |  | 44,694 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participution reta - |  |  | $45,100$ | 4,07.5 | 44.918 | 44.711 | 44,995 | 4,888 | 45.211 |
| Enployed .... |  |  | 42.847 | 42,822 | 43.032 | 42.768 | 43,001 | 42.841 | 43.019 |
| Employment-poputaion fatio |  |  | 54.7 | 65.2 | 55.1 | 54.8 | 55.0 | 54.8 | 55.0 |
| Unemployd $\qquad$ Unerrpognmera ree |  |  | 2.253 5.0 | 1,812 4.1 | 1.886 4.2 | 1.043 | 1,994 | 2047 4.6 | 2.198 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pertictation frte | $\begin{array}{r} 6,046 \\ 52.7 \end{array}$ | 5,685 | 5,638 | 6,620 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.236 \\ 56.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,183 \\ 56.3 \end{array}$ | 6,215 57.0 | 6,238 | 6,202 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,984 \\ 46.0 \end{array}$ | 4.678 | 4,737 | 5,785 | 5,370 | 5.318 | 5,345 | 5.253 | 3,321 |
| Employmert-paputation retio ${ }^{2}$ |  | 43.0 | 43.8 | 582 | 490 | 48.8 | 490870 | 49.3 | 482 |
| Unemployed - | $\begin{aligned} & 460 \\ & 882 \end{aligned}$ | 899 | 899 | 881 | 886 | 647 |  | 985 | 001 |
| Unertploymiert rate | 14.315.113.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & 18 A \\ & 16.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ .179 \\ 130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & 13.1 \\ & 12.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & 14.7 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7 \\ & 14.9 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | 14.0 | 158 | 14.5 |
| Men ...- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.9130 | 158158 | 15.4134 |
| Wornen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinlan mosimatavionel poputation | $\begin{aligned} & 21.188 \\ & 13.202 \end{aligned}$ | 21.470 | 21,493 | 21,789 | 21,383 | 21.417 | 21,448 | 21,470 | 21.483 |
| CWilan tubor force --- ---.-.... |  | 13,341 | 13.255 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.484 \\ 63.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}13.493 \\ \hline 67.1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,550 \\ 633 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,468 \\ 62.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,501 \\ 620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,421 \\ 6,4 \end{array}$ |
| Partictpetion ratio | 62 | 621 | 61.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed -un | $\begin{array}{r} 11,708 \\ 65.7 \\ 1,494 \\ 11.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,707 \\ 54.5 \\ 1.034 \\ 122 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,805 \\ 54.0 \\ 1,651 \\ 12.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12028 \\ 588 \\ 1,439 \\ 10.7 \end{array}$ | 11.913 56.7 1.560 | 11,897 65.5 <br> 1.853 <br> 122 | 11,838 552 1.85012.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,806 \\ 55.3 \\ 1,005 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.839 \\ 55.1 \\ 1.582 \\ 118 \end{array}$ |
| Employmem-population reto ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unterpioloyed $\qquad$ Unemploment re |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemploymert rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parictpaion It | $\begin{aligned} & 6.13 \\ & 728 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 74.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Enploytad .......... | $\begin{array}{r} 5,474 \\ 650 \\ 658 \\ 10.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 730 \\ 5,512 \\ 64.1 \\ 760 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.3 \\ 5.513 \\ 640 \\ 709 \\ 12.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.6 \\ 5.605 \\ 68.6 \\ 590 \\ 9.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.835 \\ 659 \\ 704 \\ 11.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,638 \\ 680 \\ 710 \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,084 \\ 680 \\ 695 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | 5.602652712113 | 5,86985.671711.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 60.7 \\ 5.792 \\ 54.7 \\ 625 \\ 9.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,391 \\ 504 \\ 5,761 \\ 538 \\ 630 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.288 \\ 58.4 \\ 5.671 \\ 52.7 \\ 617 \\ 0.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.8 \\ 5,813 \\ 54.0 \\ 601 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.3 \\ 5,728 \\ 63.5 \\ 617 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 504 \\ 5,717 \\ 53.3 \\ 648 \\ 102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.0 \\ 5,668 \\ 52.8 \\ 671 \\ 10.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 593 \\ 5,738 \\ 534 \\ 630 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 58645.69452.95039.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unploymbu-papuajon tero |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both taxes, 16 to 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMilian lethor tores $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 744 \\ & 34.0 \\ & 532 \\ & 24.3 \\ & 211 \\ & 28.4 \\ & 312 \\ & 25.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 678 \\ & 31.9 \\ & 434 \\ & 20.5 \\ & 244 \\ & 36.0 \\ & 37.6 \\ & 34.5 \end{aligned}$ | 65631.1 | 95539.1 | 609380 | 837398 | 78838.9 | 814 | 769 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.4 |  |
|  |  |  | 42019.9 | 608278 | $\begin{array}{r}550 \\ 258 \\ \hline 258\end{array}$ | 542252 | 50423.6 | 628248 | 49723.5 |
| Errploymerd-poputation taflo ........-......................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemchoyd ............................-........................ |  |  | 235 | 247 | 250 | 295 | 284 | 288 | 272 |
|  |  |  | 35.9 | 28.9 | 31.3 | 3322 | 36.0 | 35A | 35.4 |
| Mmin |  |  | 39.4 | 292 |  |  | 36.4 | 34.6 | 35.5 |
| Wornen |  |  | 32.7 | 28.5 | 32.7 | 37.5 | 35.6 | 36.1 | 35.2 |

[^12]Table A3. Employment status of the clvilian poputation by race, sex, age, and Hispanic origin -- Continued
(Numbers in thousinds)

| Employment status, race, sox, age, and Hispanic origin | Not censonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjuated ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{200} 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1901 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fow } \\ & 1891 \end{aligned}$ | Fwo. 1990 | $\begin{gathered} 0 a . \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Now. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1090 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | tan. | Fob. $1991$ |
| mispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cwilan noninsturtonal popusation ................................. | 14,119 | 16.553 | 14.583 | 14.119 | 14,435 | 14,474 | 14.514 | 14.553 | 14,593 |
| Cimilen libor torce ..........-..................................... | 0,347 | 9.515 | 0,409 | 9,40 | 9,580 | 0.500 | 8.569 | 9.875 | 0.578 |
|  | 682 | 654 | 65.1 | 68.9 | 66.4 | 65.8 | 65.8 | 68.5 | 65.6 |
|  | 8.562 | 8.577 | 0.534 | 8.694 | 8.793 | 8.683 | 8.678 | 8.780 | 8.8en |
| Emptoymeral-coputation ratio ${ }^{2}$................................. | 6088 | 58.9 | 50.5 | ${ }_{7}^{61.6}$ | ${ }^{60.9}$ | 60.0 | 59.8 | 60.3 | 594 |
|  | 785 | 938 | 959 10.1 | 746 78 | 787 88 | ${ }_{88}^{817}$ |  | 808 | 814 8.5 |
| Unerploymert rate ............................................... | 84 | 0.9 | 10.1 | 78 | 82 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.5 |

I The population fipures are nor ajpared tor cassonal varietion; thenders,

 population.

NOTE: Ovall for the abow rece and Hlapmik-origh groupe will not sum to ortis beckues dati for the "other moes" grovp are not presented and Hopenice are hctuded in both the white and tuck population groupe.

Table A-4. Selected employment indicators

| (In thouspndis) |
| :--- |
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Table A.§. Selected unemployment Indicators, seesonally edjusted

| Category | Number of unemployed pertions (in thountinas) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fob. } \\ & \text { ige0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Len } \\ & 1901 \end{aligned}$ | Fab. 1991 | Fab. <br> 1950 | Oct $1890$ | Hov. <br> 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & \text { t900 } \end{aligned}$ | $1901$ | Fon. $1091$ |
| CHARACTERISTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tocat, 18 yaen ane ovar | 6,570 | 7,715 | 8. 158 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 0.5 |
| Men, 18 yeve and own | 3.582 | 4,200 | 4,708 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 6.9 |
| Mers 20 yours end over | 2.051 | 3.611 | 4.044 | 4.8 | 52 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 63 |
| Worten, 16 yeers and over | 3.017 | 3.423 | 3.452 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 6.1 |
| Whorren, 20 years and 0 ver | 2.488 | 2.797 | 2.881 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Bcon cerme, is to 19 ymet | 1.146 | 1,307 | 1,230 | 14.8 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 17.1 |
| Marsied mmon, epouse proeert | 1,316 | 1,877 | 1,809 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| Marred wornm, tpouse orceert | 1.163 | 1.257 | 1,353 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| Whornen who mwintain lerlice .... | 525 | 634 | 030 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Futherme worturs | 5274 | 6.415 | 6.877 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| Petaitue workse | 1.230 | 1,371 | 1,347 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.8 |
| Lerer fores dite ber | - | - | - | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| OCCUPATHON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 600 | 848 | 773 | 1.9 | 22 | 22 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 24 |
|  | 1.689 | 1.705 | 1893 | 4.1 | 44 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| Prection proxuction, cratit, and repalt. | 775 | 1,051 | 1.094 | 8.3 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 73 | 7.6 |
| Openitor, tijuration, and liborers - | 1,580 | 1,024 | 2208 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 04 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 11.8 |
| Farming, torwary, and firing .-.... | 220 | 281 | 298 | 82 | 5.5 |  | 0.0 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| MDUSTRY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.006 | 5,987 | 6.430 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| Goode-producing minduatrien . | 1.910 | 2.306 | 2002 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 8.2 | 0.1 |
| Mindrap -m- | 35 | 61 | 49 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 58 | 7.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 609 | 805 | 048 | 0.2 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 15.8 |
|  | 1206 | 1.390 | 1,605 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 74 |
|  | 714 | 884 | 1.027 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
| Noncurtele goods --.. | 552 | 528 | 578 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 0.6 |
| Serviceproducing induatiot ...w | 3.188 | 3.811 | 3,835 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 64 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| Tragaportation and piticic untione | . 250 | 288 | 349 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| Whoverily end retip trade -- | 1,459 | 1.857 | 1,772 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 6.8 | 70 | 74 |
|  | 1477 | 1,867 | 1,715 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
|  | 454 | 555 | 504 | 2.5 | 28 | 2.8 | 27 | 3.0 | 32 |
|  | 160 | 218 | 212 | 9.6 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 11.5 |

${ }_{2}$ Urorrpioynment as a pprowe of the dvalan bior fores.
Acpreget hours loet by the unemployed and persons on part tirme tor econprtic remeons as a percert of potentialty ivalltion etbor force houre.

 bend-cyde endior trieguter componente and conmequwity cennat be

Table A-s. Duration of unemployment
(Numbers in thousende)

| Weets of unemployment | Not meamonally aclueded |  |  | Sextenatly adjuated |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fab. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | ten. | Fab. $1991$ | F*b. $1900$ | Oct. 1000 | Nov. <br> 1900 | Dac. 1050 | $1991$ | Fab. <br> 1091 |
| OURATION - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lexe then 8 meaks ...umumur | 3.067 | 3.754 | 3,378 | 3.157 | 3,139 | 3.277 | 3.290 | 3.410 | 3,473 |
| 5 to 14 meats | 2.355 | 2.853 | 3,373 | 2.070 | 2.391 | 2.394 | 2.518 | 2.400 | 2.738 |
|  | 1.511 | 1,988 | 2.170 | 1,374 | 1.691 | 1.727 | 1,730 | 1,820 | 1.875 |
| 15 m 28 menes | 845 | 1,085 | 1.207 | 737 | 093 | 038 | 940 | 901 | 1,053 |
| 27 metice and over | 668 | 900 | 082 | 637 | 698 | 789 | 790 | 048 | 021 |
|  Hedian curation, in week | 11.7 50 | 122 | 128 | 11.7 | 120 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 120 |
| PERCENT DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tolal unemployed ..-.................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100.0^{\circ}$ |
| Less than 5 motas ................................................... | 43.0 | 43.7 | 37.9 | 47.8 | 44.1 | 4.7 | 43.5 | 4.1 | 42.4 |
| 5 to 14 meake ....................................................... | 35.8 | 33.2 | 37.8 | 31.4 | 33.6 | 31.8 | 33.4 | 32.2 | 33.4 |
| 15 mowh and ovpr ................................................. | 21.2 | 23.1 | 24.3 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 23.5 | 23.1 | 23.7 | 24.1 |
| 15 to 28 wootes ...................................................... | 11.8 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.9 |
| 27 weakt and over ..........................................-....... | 9.3 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 11.3 |

Tsble A-7. Raseson for unamploymunt
(Numbers in thousends)

| Reason | Not eeasonally adjusted |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Lan} . \\ & 1901 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1991 | Feb. 1990 | Oat. 1990 | Now. 1900 | Dec. 1900 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { san. } \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. <br> 1991 |
| NUMBER OF UNEMPLOYED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jtot loeers ................................................................. | 3.648 | 5,000 | 5,319 | 3.095 | 3,563 | 3.756 | 3,797 | 4,088 | 4.515. |
|  | 1.282 | 1,730 | 1,988 | 957 | 1.056 | 1.136 | 1,150 | 1.131 | 1,485 |
|  | 2,365 | 3,270 | 3.331 | 2.138 | 2.507 | 2.620 | 2,647 | 2.038 | 3.031 |
| Job lotwvil ............................................................... | 1.030 | 983 | 1,004 | 1.012 | 081 | 096 | 1,024 | 609 | 089 |
| Reentrams ............................................................... | 1,837 | 2.036 | 2,014 | 1,815 | 1.911 | 1.028 | 2.128 | 2.047 | , 1.83 |
| New metrante .............................................................. | 618 | 578 | 581 | 672 | 684 | 655 | 862 | 672 | 633 |
| PERCENT DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totel unerrploywd ....................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 51.1 | 58.2 | 59.6 | 48.9 | 49.8 | 51.2 | 49.9 | 63.0 | 55.5 |
| On layoff ............................................................. | 18.0 | 20.1 | 22.3 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 14.7 | 37.3 |
|  | 33.2 | 38.0 | 37.3 | 32.4 | 35.1 | 35.7 | 93.5 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
|  | 14.4 | 114 | 11.3 | 15.3 | 26.8 | 28.3 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 24.5 |
|  | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| UNEMPLOYED AS A PERCENT OF THE CIVLIAN LABOR FORCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 25 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
|  | 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 | 8 | d | .7 | ${ }_{18}^{8}$ |
|  | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | . 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 5 | 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 6 | . 5 |

Tabla A-s. Range of unemployment measurea beeed on varying defintions of unemployment and the taber tores, semenaliy adjusted
(Peromet)

| Moasure | Ouarturly averages |  |  |  |  | Monthly deta |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1989}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 1000 |  |  |  | 1000 <br> Dec. | 1001 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 11 | H1 | N |  | Jan. | Feb. |
| U-1 Persons unemployed is whele of longer eis a percert of the ctvilan thor force $\qquad$ | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 13 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| U. 2 dob loeers as a percent of the civilan labor forse ...-......................... | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| U-3 Unertployed pereore 25 yeas and over as a percert od the dviltan thoor force for pertoms 25 ywart and over. | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.3 |
| U-4 Uneriployw fulltime pobeckers ate a percent of the full-ime ckvian labor force | 4.8 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 52 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| U.Sa Total unomployed as a percant of the labor force, Including the restdant Armed Forces | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 4.4 |
| U5b Totel unemployed as a percent of the elvilian tabor torce $\qquad$ | 5.3 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.5 |
| U-s total fuli-ime jobeekers ptus $1 / 2$ pan-time poweakers plus $1 / 2$ total on part time for econorric reasons as a peftert of the civllian lator lose leses $1 / 2$ of the part-lime labor force $\qquad$ | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| U. 7 Total fulltime jobeokere plua $1 / 2$ part-ime jobseokars plus $1 / 2$ total on pant time for econorric reasons phus discouraged workers as a percent of the ctvilian labor torce plus discouraged workers less $1 / 2$ of the part-time labor force | 7.8 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.9 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |

Table A-A. Unemployed persons by eax and age, eassonally adjusted

| Sex and age | Nurtber of unerrotbyed perans (in thoulenda) |  |  | Unumploymert rate ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fab. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lan. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | Fab. <br> 1991 | Fth. 1900 | $\mathrm{Oc}$ | Nov. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dac. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ten} \\ 1901 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 109 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| Total, 16 yeare and over | 6.570 | 7.715 | Q,158 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 0.5 |
| 18 to 24 yeers .....- | 2.320 | 2.617 | 2.682 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 12. | 12.8 |
| 16 to 19 years | 1.146 | 1,307 | 1233 | 14.8 | 162 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 17.1 |
| 18 to 17 years | 516 | 541 | 480 | 17.1 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 19.8 | 18.9 |
| 18 to 19 yeers. | 618 | 745 | 741 | 132 | 14,8 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 12.7 | 18.9 |
| 20 to 24 yours | 1.174 | 1.310 | 1.469 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 92 | 0.5 | 10.5 |
| 25 years and over | 4278 | 5.182 | 5.501 | 42 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| 25 to 54 years... | 3.777 | 4.818 | 4.900 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
| 55 yers end over | 517 | 510 | 562 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 |
| Mun, is yote and over | 3.562 | 4.298 | 4.708 | 52 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 8.9 |
| 16 to 24 years.. | 1.207 | 1.461 | 1.520 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 138 |
| 18 to 19 your | 611 | 681 | 662 | 152 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 174 | 18.2 | 17.7 |
| 10 क 17 yeers | 270 | 278 | 287 | 172 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 18.7 | 10.1 |
| 18 to 19 yere | 340 | 381 | 375 | 13.8 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 18.8 |
| 20 to 24 yeter | $6 \times 8$ | 750 | 859 | 8.7 | 08 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 11.8 |
| 25 yore and over | 2,305 | 2.807 | 3201 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 8.1 | 6.1 | 8.6 |
| 25 wo 54 year - | 2.028 | 2.535 | 2.847 | 42 | 4.7 | 80 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.9 |
| 56 ymars and own | 310 | 318 | 387 | 3.6 | 30 | 3.8 | 36 | 3.7 | 4.2 |
| Worner, 16 yours end over | 3017 | 3,423 | 3,452 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 6.1 |
| 18 to 24 yenth ......... | 1.073 | 1.158 | 1.168 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 118 | 11.7 |
| 18 to 19 yeas | 535 | 620 | 571 | 14.5 | 18.8. | 15.6 | 15.8 | 18.1 | 18.4 |
| 16 to 17 yeme | 248 | 203 | 193 | 170 | 18.8 | 178 | 178 | 20.7 | 14.4 |
| 18 to 19 yner | 278 | 334 | 380 | 12.4 | 134 | 14.2 | 142 | 18.7 | 17.1 |
| 20 to 24 yena - | 538 | 530 | 591 | 82 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 9.1 |
|  | 1.053 | 2.285 | 2300 | 42 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}1.755 \\ \\ \hline 207\end{array}$ | 2.083 | 2.092 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 52 |
| ¢ Mer | 207 | 101 | 214 | 3.1 | 20 | 27 | 2.8 | 2. | 3.3 |

'Unerploynert en a percent of the cavilan inbor forct.

Tabie A-10. Employment status of male Vietnam-arn veternite and nonveterana by age, not saseonally adfuated (Number in trousince)

| Veteran sizut and age | CWian nonlmacturions populition |  | Civien lubor torce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Toul |  | Ematojud |  | Unemployed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Nuntem | Percent of Hibor torce |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fab. } \\ & 1000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F40. } \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fab. } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fab. } \\ 1991 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fob. } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fob. } \\ & 1901 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fab. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fol. } \\ & 1001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fol. $1000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fand } \\ & 1901 \end{aligned}$ |
| VIETMAMERA VETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,573 | 7,728 | 6.887 | 7,002 | 0.550 | 8,528 | 320 |  |  |  |
| 351049 yeer | 0.514 | $2 \times 84$ | 6,168 | 6.100 | 5,805 | 5.678 | 304 | 425 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
|  | 1.528 | 1.255 | 1443 | 1.185 | 1,380 | 1.058 | 83 | 127 | 5.8 | 10.7 |
| 401044 y mers | 3,324 | 3.178 | 3.175 <br> 185 | 2,003 | 3,023 | 2802 | 151 | 191 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| -45 to 40 yeare - | 1,082 | 2.053 | 1,651 | 1.930 | 1,482 | 1,818 | 8 | 807 | 4.4 | 3.6 |
|  | 1.050 | 1.244 | 719 | 00 | com | 050 | 25 | 49 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| HONVETERANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.820 | 17.894 | 15,764 | 18.788 | 15.179 | 15.832 | 008 | 058 | 3.8 |  |
|  | 7.707 | 8.164 | 7331 | 7.740 | 7.070 | 7.278 | 261 | 488 | 3.8 | 8.0 |
| $40 \pm 44$ year -- | 4.963 | 5,531 | 4.612 | 5.190 | 4.428 | 4.932 | 190 | 258 | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| 45 to 49 yoars -......-............................ | 4.170 | 4,100 | 3,802 | 3,858 | 3.687 | 3.622 | 155 | 236 | 4.0 | 6.1 |



years of age. the groue thas most eloenty corraponde to the tuik of the Vietnam-era wheran poputation.

HOUSEHOLD DATA
Table A-11. Employment efictue of the civilien popetation for ctoven large Stitied

| State and employment stitus | Not emeonely edfuated ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 8easonsty adymindz |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fit. } \\ & \text { 1900 } \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{tmon}$ | F.b. 1901 | Feb. 1000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oat } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}$ | Now. <br> 1800 | Ose. <br> 1000 | $\underset{1001}{\operatorname{lon} .}$ | Fab. 1901 |
| Callfornt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civitan norinaturional poputeron ....................... | 21,783 | 22202 | 22.242 | 21,786 | 20.078 | 22,122 | 22,108 | 22.202 | 22.242 |
| Civalan tabor forte .................................................. | 14,463 | 14,803 | 14.722 | 14.555 | 14,835 | 14,820 | 44.875 | 14.890 | 14.855 |
| Employed ............................................................................ | 13,062 | 15,523 | 13.579 | 13,635 | 13,739 | 13,006 | 13,672 | 13,858 | 15,705 |
|  | 772 | 1,000 | 1.143 | 720 | 694 | 957 | 1,000 | 1.032 | 1,000 |
|  | 85 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 6. | 70 | 7.4 |
| Fioride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuviten nondneturtional poputition ...........-........... | 10.034 | 1024 | 10,207 | 10.084 | 10.188 | 10,200 | 10.220 | 10244 | 10.287 |
| Civilas laber frie .now............................................ | 0270 | 6220 | 6811 | 6350 | 6,443 | 0.468 | 8.494 | 6,453 | 0,7\% |
|  | 8.005 | 3508 | 5,0e6 | 5.831 | 8.047 | 0.085 | 8.07 | 6.000 | 6.023 |
|  | 385 | 89 | 444 | 375 | 598 | 403 | - 858 | 304 | 453 |
|  | 88 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 5.9 | E. 1 | 62 | 8.5 | 6.1 |  |
| Elinole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cvilon roninaturtiond popeinion .....un............... | 487 | 58 | 8800 | 8.857 | E8.8s | 8.800 | 9,894. | 3 meg | 8,000 |
|  | 8,970 | 0.008 | 6,031 | 8,029 | 8,040 | 8.044 | 0.000 | 8,0\%0 | 6,006 |
|  | 6857 | 86.897 | - Eges | 5.207 | 8.877 | 5.808 | 8.707 | 5848 | 5.729 567 |
|  | 502 | 457 | 525 | 38.8 | 8.8 | 381 | 882 | 408 | 367 6.9 |
| Unemployment reli ............-.............a............ | 68 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Masechurnets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civiten nonintiturionel poputetion ................u. | 4,810 | 4828 | 4,822 | 4819 | 4.820 | 4.821 | 4,822 | 4.822 | 4.827 |
|  | 3,174 | 5078 | 3,103 | 0.109 | 3.140 | 3.146 | 3,152 | 3,114 | 3.114 |
| Ermployed ....... | 2,001 | 2.704 | 2,709 | 3023 | 2.087 | 2980 | 2.021 | $2{ }^{2} 48$ | 2885 |
| Unemployed | 183 | 276 | 507 | 186 | 208 | 220 | 231 | 2Es | 200 |
|  | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 73 | 8.8 | 8.3 |
| Milahlear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civien nonmetarional peputetion momumum | 8.809 | 7,009 | 7.010 | 0.000 | 78004 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7.010 |
|  | 4568 | 4812 | 4.809 | 4801 | 4,85t | 4,816 | 4.347 | 4.853 | 4.892 |
|  | 4,180 | 4,123 | 4,074 | 4247 | 4.203 | 4,174 | 4214 | 4,205 | 4.152 |
|  | 570 | 309 | 405 | 554 | 335 | 342 | 35 | 320 | 450 |
|  | 4.1 | 8 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 74 | 7.6 | 73 | 72 | - 8 |
| New dereey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clulien noninstitutional popelation | 6,000 | 0.027 | 8,020 | 8,029 | 8.020 | 8.027 | 0.023 | 6,027 | 6,020 |
|  | 4,088 | 3,000 | 3.802 | 4.020 | 4,103 | 4.009 | 4,030 | 4.015 | 3.947 |
| Emploped ..............-....................................... | 51.38 | 3,708 | 3.004 | 3848 | 3,831 | 3,847 | 3.818 | 3,757 | 3.808 |
|  | 200 | 205 | 280 | 100 | 222 | 222 | 232 | 258 | 269 |
| Unemployment rite ....................................... | 8.0 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.4. | 6.3 |
| Nrw Yert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilion norinatistional pepitmion ...............un....... | 13801 | 13,801 | 13.801 | 13,801 | . 13.700 | 13,801. | 13800 | 13, 801 | 93,801 |
|  | 8 400 | 6539 | 8.502 | 8,728 | 8.823 | 8,565 | B,8ct | 8.819 | 88607 |
| Employed ...................................................... | 208 | 7,900 | 7,906 | 1280 | 0,154 | 8,94 | B,0es | 7.00 | 8.077 |
| Unumployed ................................-................. | 474 | 605 | 567 | 450 | 474 | 481 | 470 | 850 | 530 |
| Unemploymert rat ............-........................... | 5.5 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 6.2 |

See trotroms at end of tithe

Table A-11. Employmins stafus of the clviliten poputation for eloven lape States - Conthued
(Numbers in thousends)

| Stam and ermployment status | Not semmontily edpusted ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  |  | Seasonatly adjuersd² |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. 1980 | Jon. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1091 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \mathrm{ct} \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | Now. 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 5an. } \\ 1991 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1691 \end{aligned}$ |
| North Carollna |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilan nentramutional poputation | 4,975 | 5,093 | 5,038 | 4.975 | 5,016 | 5.022 | 5,028 | 5.033 | 5,038 |
| Civtian lator force ...n-m- | 3,371 | 3,344 | 3,410 | 3.397 | 3.381 | 3,379 | 3,420 | 3.378 | 3,438 |
| Employed .-....... | 3298 | 3.142 | 3,214 | 3.277 | 3.226 | 3,210 | 3.242 | 3.209 | 3.253 |
| Unernployed -- | 832 | 202 | 195 | 120 | 155 | 169 | 178 | 167 | 183 |
| Unemploytrent rete ....inu................................. | 3.8 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 53 |
| Oric |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |
| Chlizom norinstational poputation | 8.275 | 8,299 | 8,301 | 88.75 | 8.294 | 8,205 | 8,290 | 8,299 | 88301 |
| Civilian labor torom ...... | 5,344 | 5,357 | 5.341 | 5.386 | 5,483 | 5,452 | 5,488 | 5,383 | 5,384 |
| Emplowed .-. | 4,998 | 4,983 | 4.838 | 5.073 | 5.148 | 5,150 | 5.178 | 5005 | 5.007 |
| Unemployed | 346 | 389 | 409 | 313 | 315 | 295 | 309 | 318 | 377 |
| Unemploymert rate ....-........... | 6.5 | 73 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.0 |
| Penentyluaria |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilan noninatimutional population | 0.379 | 0,402 | 0.404 | 0.379 | 0295 | 0.308 | 0.402 | 0.402 | 9.404 |
| Culian labor forte .............. | 5,883 | 5,831 | 5,876 | 5,941 | 5,905 | 5,917 | 5,927 | 5,853 | 5.985 |
| Employed - | 5,510 | 5,416 | 5.432 | 5890 | 5,550 | 5.574 | 5.585 | 5,482 | 5,520 |
| Unemployed ........ | 373 | 415 | 443 | 331 | 347 | 343 | 337 | 371 | 390 |
|  | 6.3 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.7 |
| Texas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cvitim nonisathusionel popelation | 12,312 | 12.458 | 12.471 | 12512 | 12.416 | 12.432 | 12.447 | 12.459 | 12,471 |
| CWian mbor fore | 8.373 | 6.422 | 6,444 | 8,475 | 8.418 | 8,467 | 0,540 | 8,511 | 8.544 |
| Enployed - | 7.785 | 7,839 | 7091 | 7.538 | 7,978 | 7,838 | 7.945 | 7.504 | 6,071 |
| Unimployd ........................................-.... | 538 | 588 | 523 | 537 63 | 500 50 | 669 6.7 | 505 70 | 547 6.4 | 470 55 |
|  | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 63 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 70 | 6.4 | 5.5 |

[^14]Table B-1. Employaes on nontare peyrolls by indugtry
(1n thousands)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Intustry} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Nat mensonsily adjusted} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Samanally edjusted} <br>
\hline \& Fibs \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Dec. } \\
1990 \\
\hline 0
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
{ }^{\text {fon }}{ }^{299 \mathrm{p}^{\prime}}
$$ \& Fighigr \& Febi \& ¢0t. \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Nov } \\
1990
\end{gathered}
$$ \& ${ }^{\text {Dece }} 1990$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { jann } \\
& 1991_{R^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\text { Feb } i_{\mathbf{R}^{\prime}}
$$ <br>
\hline Total \& 108.673 \& 110.826 \& 108,306 \& 108,367 \& 209.958 \& 110.432 \& 10.165 \& 120.004 \& 109,711 \& 109.587 <br>
\hline Totul privete \& 90,335 \& 92,212 \& 90.039 \& 89,762 \& 91.917 \& 92.112 \& 91.840 \& \$1.701 \& 91.401 \& 91,283 <br>
\hline Goodenprodveing indu \& 24.67\% \& 24.381 \& 23.667 \& 23,477 \& 25,359 \& 24,777 \& 24.311 \& 24,416 \& 24.184 \& 24.886 <br>
\hline Minine........................................ \& 59712 \& 418.21 \& $4{ }_{4} 726$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
723 \\
411.9
\end{array}
$$ \& 727. \& 735
411 \& 738
414 \& 7401 \& 7371 \& 739 <br>
\hline Oil pat pap extraction....................... \& 397.3 \& 418.2 \& \& 411.91 \& 402. \& 411 \& 414 \& 414 \& 425 \& <br>
\hline Construction. \& 1. 4.846 .9 \& 1.240.71 \& 4,155.21 \& 4, 4.380 .81 \& 5,3681 \& 5.0931 \& 5,0291 \& 4,9831 \& 4.8531 \& 4.860
1.220 <br>
\hline Coneral building eontrectors \& \& \& 1,155.2 \& 1,130.3 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline tenufacturing. \& $$
19.119
$$ \& 18.727
12.654 \& 18.4801 \& 12,3651 \& 19.2441
13.0841 \& 18.951
12.849 \& 18,7441 \& 18.693
12.626 \& 18.6141
12.5651 \& 18,487
12,464 <br>
\hline Production \& $$
12,971
$$ \& 12.6341 \& 12,647 \& 12.359 \& 13.084 \& 12,8491 \& 12,671. \& 12.626 \& 12.365 \& 12.484 <br>
\hline Durable goode \& 11.226 \& 10.3631 \& 10,7071 \& 10.606 \& 11.278 \& 11.026 \& 10,865 \& 10,831
7.146 \& 16.7731 \& 10,656
7,006 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 712 \& \& <br>
\hline Lumber end wood produc \& 731.31
518 \& 793.3
593.1 \& 688.6
492.3
510 \& 382.31
506.8 \& S181
5681

S \& 5071
546 \& 4961
541 \& 694
538 \& \& 482 <br>
\hline Stone, elisy and olaty producta............. \& 754.1 \& 532.71
739 \& 510.81
736.81 \& 506.8
727.4 \& ${ }_{7} 961$ \& 5461
751 \& 5441 \& $\begin{array}{r}538 \\ 738 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 739 \& 727 <br>
\hline Pripary mptal industries ic.o.oi \& 270.8 \& 268:91 \& 266.81 \& 262.3 \& 272 \& 271 \& 271 \& 239 \& $26 \%$ \& 263
.354 <br>

\hline Fsbricated metol procuete. \& 11.612 .8 \& 1 | 1389.4 |
| :--- |
| 2.064 |
| 1 | \& $1,367.91$

2.054 \& 1.348 .5
2.044 .4 \& 1.4181 \& 1.6051 \& 1.3891
2.067 \& 1.3821 \& 1.3781 \& 1.354
2.040 <br>
\hline Industrial machinery and aquipun \& $1 \begin{aligned} & 2.130 .1 \\ & 1.715 .9\end{aligned}$ \& \& \& 2.64.4 \& 2,126 \& 3.065 \& 1.652 \& 1.6441 \& 1.6381 \& 1.629 <br>
\hline Electronice tion othor electricel \& 2.021.7 ${ }^{124}$ \& - 71919.1 \& 1, 1371.11 \& .850 .9
732.1 \& 2,0231 \& 1.9691 \& 1.897 74 \& 1.904
762 \& 1.8641
7521 \& 1.853
756 <br>
\hline Motor vohiclas ond equipmant. \& 11.807 .51 \& 770.51 \& 734.8
975.01 \& 732.15 \& 1,8281
1,009 \& 988 \& 9831 \& 982 \& 9761 \& 973 <br>
\hline Instruatents and reloted produets Hiseellanooul manufacturing.... \&  \& 377.51 \& 372.11 \& 373.71 \& 1. 389 \& 386 \& 380 \& 3791 \& 380 \& 378 <br>
\hline Nondurable goodm. \& 7.8951 \& 7,8641 \& 7,7751 \& 7,761 \& 7.9661 \& 7.925 \& 7,8791 \& 7.362 \& 7.841 \& 7.831 <br>
\hline Produeti on warke \& 3.5341 \& 5.4801 \& 5,399 \& 5,394 \& 5.5961 \& 5.541 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Food and kindred \& 1.395.9 \& 1,644.1 \& 1,612.7 \& 2.611 .01 \& 1.6501 \& 1.654 \& 1.647 \& 1.654 \& 1.6571 \& 1.666 <br>
\hline Tobectio produta. \& 787.91 \& 679.81 \& \& 677.11 \& \& ${ }^{488}$ \& 687 \& 680 \& 675 \& 668 <br>
\hline Textile mill mpoducts. \& 1.044 .9 \& 1, 1002.51 \& 688.5 \& 995.1 \& 1,0451 \& 1.021 \& 1. 0088 \& 1.0001 \& 9951 \& 9494 <br>
\hline Papar ond alijed produrta \& 1,594.9 \& $\mid 1,597.81$ \&  \& 690.31
1.562 .3 \& 1.6991 \& 1. 6981 \& 1,5961 \& 1.5901 \& 1. 6969 \& 1.564 <br>
\hline Printing and publitaing \& 1,576.61 \& 11.577.81 \& 11,565.41 \& 1:562.3 \& 1.076
4.083 \& 1.087 \& 1.087 \& 1,088 \& 1.054 \& 1.095 <br>
\hline Chamicals and tiliwd produc \& ${ }^{1} 154.3$ \& 1-159.11 \& 1. 155.61 \& 1 155.81 \& - 159 \& 161 \& 161 \& 161 \& 1601 \& 160 <br>
\hline Rubber ond mise, plosticspr \& 862.11 \& 851.81 \& 842.61 \& | 834.31 \& 551 \& 869
122 \& 8551
1201 \& 8491
1191 \& 1461

1191 \& | | 857 |
| :--- |
| 177 | <br>

\hline Leether ond l topther producte \& 130.61 \& 118.91 \& 17.3 \& 116.1 \& 31 \& 122 \& 1201 \& 119 \& 119 \& 117 <br>
\hline Sarviea-producing induatrios. \& 43,996 \& 86,445 \& 34,6391 \& 84.8501 \& 84.614 \& 85.655 \& 85.654 \& 85.588 \& 85.547 \& 85,501 <br>
\hline \& \& 3.931
3.719 \& \& \& 5,804 \& 5.8701
3.652
2.61 \& 5.866 \& 5,8821
3.6681
3.81 \& 5,884
3.658
$\mathbf{3}, 381$ \&  <br>

\hline  \& 2,207 \& 2,72121 \& 2,21s \& 2.211 \& 2,221 \& 2,218 \& 2,2131 \& 2.2141 \& 2,226 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
3,626 \\
2,224
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Wheleatis trede \& 4.3041 \& 6.331 \& 4.250 \& 6,222 \& 4.357 \& \& \$.3431 \& 6.331 \& 6.2971
3.710 \& 6,274 <br>
\hline Durable pa \& 3,756| \& 3:736 \&  \& - \& 3.582 \& 3,603 \& 2.601 \& 2.596 \& \& <br>
\hline \& 14.276 \& \& \& \& \& 19.792 \& \& 29.670 \& 19.687 \& 19.418 <br>
\hline Oennersh merchion \& 2,429.9 \& 2,633.1 \& 2.460.: \& 2.329 .8 \& 2.505 \& 2.466 \& 2.446 \& 2.4021 \& 2.394 \& 2,402 <br>
\hline Food stores. \&  \& 3.385.5
2,
108 \& $3,304.4$
12.053 \& 3.274 .2
$2,067.4$ \& 3.2681
2.118
2.18 \& 3.307
2.150 \& 3.517
2.128 \& 3,3131 \& 3.314
3.108 \& 3,309 <br>
\hline Altingtive deplits and aorvi \& 2.088.5 \& 2.108.61 \& 12.083.1 \& 16.362.01 \& 6:556 \& ${ }_{6.633}$ \& 6,620 \& 6.627 \& 6.629 \& 6.613 <br>
\hline Finence, insurance. end real \& \& \& 6.763 \& 1 6.750 \& 6, 817 \& 6.863 \& 6.833 \& 6.829 \& 6,820 \& 6.810 <br>
\hline Finance. \& 3, 3281 \& 3, 3351 \& 3.322 \& ( 3.118 \& 3.3401 \& 3, 3.546 \& 5,3411 \& 3.336 \& 3.332
2.156 \&  <br>
\hline Insurance: \& 2,127 \& 2.130 \& 2,287
1.23 \& + $\begin{aligned} & 2,154 \\ & 1.2731\end{aligned}$ \& 2.1289 \& 2,345 \& 1.341 \& 1;341 \& 1.332 \& 1.326 <br>
\hline Sarvices \& 27,5931 \& \& \& \& \& 28,475 \& 23,5481 \& 28,5731 \& 26.619 \& 28.647 <br>
\hline Surisiness \& 4.922 .0 \& 5.037.7 \& 6,927.1 \& 4.969.01 \& 5.010 \& 5,062 \& 5,0461 \& 5.0181 \& 9.012 \& 4.994 <br>
\hline Hosith =ervicas. \& 7.870.3 \& 18.386.4 \& 8,409.2 \& 218.450 .9 \& 7.889 \& 3.294 \& 3,335 \& 8.3951 \& 6.435 \& 8.467 <br>
\hline avernme \& \& 15.614 \& 24, 267 \& 12,605 \& 15.041 \& 18.320 \& 18.325 \& 18.3031 \& 18.280 \& 28, 304 <br>
\hline Foderel \& 2,991 \& 2.940 \& 2.908
4.285 \& 2.918 \& 3.0051 \& 2.983
4.923 \& 2.961 \& 2.9431 \& 2.928 \& 2.933 <br>
\hline Local \& 11.013 \& 11:267 \& 11.074 \& 11,257 \& 10:747 \& 11.014 \& 11.041 \& 11.035 \& 11.019 \& 11.036 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

$E^{\prime}$ *preliminory.



ESTABLISHMENT DATA
ESTABLISHMENT DATA
Table $\mathrm{g}^{-3}$. Average hourly and weakly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workersly on private nonfarm payrolls by industry

| Industry | Average hourly earnings |  |  |  | Average weakly earnings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Jan}_{1} \\ & 199 \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | lFeb. $19919 /$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $i_{199]_{\mathrm{g}}}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Feb } \\ 1991_{R^{\prime}} \end{array}\right.$ |
| Total private | \$9.91 | \$10.20 | 1 110.24 | $1 \$ 10.24$ | \$358.92 | \$553.94 | \$346.111 | \$547.14 |
| Seasonally adjuste | 9.88 | 10.19 | 10.20 | 10.20 | 341.85 | 352.57 | 547.821 | 349.86 |
| Mining. | 13.46 | 13.84 | 14.17 | 14.12 | 582.82 | 628.34 | 627.731 | 629.75 |
| Construction. | 13.59 | 13.87 | 13.96 | 13.86 | 506.91 | 531.221 | 302.561 | 512.82 |
| Manufacturin | 10.68 | 11.06 | 11.06 | 11.04 | 431.471 | 456.78 | 444.61 | 439.39 |
| Durable goods | 11.18 | 11.59 | 11.58 | 11.57 | 458.38 | 485.62 | 471.31 | 466.27 |
| lunber and wood products | 8.95 | 9.12 | 9.12 | 9.10 | 351.74 | 365.71 | 354.771 | 349.44 |
| Furniture and $f$ axtures. | 8.42 | 8.70 | 8.63 | 8.68 | $326.70{ }^{\circ}$ | 346.26 | 329.67 | 321.16 |
| Stanc. clay, and gloss pro | 10.93 | 11.28 | 11.28 | 11.26 | 448.131 | 471.501 | 452.331 | 456.03 |
|  | 12.66 | 13.11 | 13.17 15.05 | 13.04 | 535.521 | 562.421 | 555.771 | 542.46 623.50 |
| Blast furnaces and basie steel prod Fabricsted metal products............ | 14.62 10.70 | 14.94 | 15.05 | 14.81 11.03 | 624.271 439.77 | 652.881 463.001 | 645.651 448.11 | 623.50 444.51 |
| Industrial machinery and quipment | 11.60 | 12.11 | 12.04 | 12.04 | 487.20 | 520.751 | 503.27 | 498.46 |
| Electronic and other electrical eauipmen | 10.16 | 10.57 | 10.60 | 10.58 | 415.541 | 441.851 | 428.24 | 422.14 |
| Transportation equipment................ | 13.88 | 14.42 | 14.40 | 14.36 | 574.631 | 609.971 | 596.161 | 585.89 |
| liotor vehicles and equipmant | 14.30 | 14.89 | 14.86 | 14.69 | 589.161 | 625.38 | 612.231 | 590.54 |
| Instruments and related products | 11.13 | 11.62 | 11.67 | 11.68 | 456.331 | 488.041 | 478.471 | 480.05 |
| Mizcellaneous manufaeturing. | 8.56 | 8.81 | 8.81 | 8.73 | 335.551 | 350.641 | 342.711 | 339.50 |
| Nondurable goods | 9.97 | 10.35 | 10.35 | 10.35 | 394.81 | 419.18 | 409.861 | 406.76 |
|  | 9.54 | 9.83 | 9.80 | 9.76 | 379.69 | 407.95 | 394.941 | 385.52 |
| Tobacea products......... | 15.73 | 16.18 | 16.14 | 16.26 | 593.02 | 645.58 | 631.07 | 609.75 |
| Textile mill oroducts | 7.90 | 8.16 | 8.17 | 8.14 | 314.42 | 323.951 | 320.261 | 315.02 |
| Anparal and other textile prod | 6.45 | 6.67 | 6.65 | 6.63 | 234.78 | 246.121 | 239.401 | 240.67 |
| Popor and allied products | 12.11 | 12.54 | 12.51 | 12.53 11.39 | 518.31 | 554.27 <br> 439.68 | 539.181 | 532.53 424.85 |
| Printing and publishang. Chemicals | 11.13 | 13.45 | 13.83 | 15.78 | 561.32 | 596.751 | 586.391 | 424.85 582.89 |
| Petroleum and coal products | 15.90 | 16.51 | 16.65 | 17.23 | 699.60 | 724.791 | 714.291 | 765.01 |
| Rubber and misc. plastics orod | 9.64 | 9.98 | 10.08 | 10.08 | 394.28 | 414.17 | 412.271 | 408.24 |
| leather and leather praducts. | 6.84 | 7.09 | 7.10 | 7.12 | 255.13 | 268.00 | 260.571 | 259.88 |
| Transportation and public utilities | 12.87 | 13.13 | 13.19 | 13.16 | 494.211 | 512.07 | 503.86 | 502.71 |
| Wholesale trade | 10.66 | 11.05 | 11.06 | 11.09 | 402.95 | 424.32 | 416.961 | 419.20 |
| Retail trade | 6.72 | 6.86 | 6.93 | 6.91 | 190.18 | 201.00 | 191.96 | 194.17 |
| Finance, insurance, and raal estate | 9.87 | 10.25 | 10.27 | 10.32 | 352.36 | 371.05 | 366.64 | 369.46 |
| Services | 9.75 | 10.14 | 10.16 | 10.18 | 315.901 | 351.581 | 327.151 | 329.83 |

1) See footnote 1. table B-2.

Table B-4. Average hourly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workersl/ on private nonfarn poyralls by industry, seasonally adjusted

| Industry | Feb: | $06 t$ 1990 | Nov. 1990 | ${ }^{\text {Dac. }} 190$ | janig | Feb. $1991 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | Percent change from: Jon. 1991Feb. 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total private: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current dollars................... | \$9.881 | \$10.12 | \$10.14 | \$10.19 | 110.201 | \$10.20 | 0 |
| Constant (1982) dollars? | 7.561 | 7.45 | 7.441 |  |  |  |  |
| Mining...... | 13.331 13.631 | 13.79 13.82 | 13.841 13.791 | 13.771 13.791 | 14.041 | $\$ 13.98$ 13.90 | $-0.4$ |
| Construetion | 13.631 10.671 | 13.821 10.97 | 13.791 10.97 | 13.791 11.001 | 13.921 11.041 | 13.90 11.03 | -. -1 |
| Excluding overtimegi | 10.221 | 10.50 | 10.501 | 10.581 | 10.631 | 10.62 | -. 1 |
| Transportation and pubiic utilities: | 12.851 | 13.031 | 13.021 | 13.101 | 13.18 | 13.12 | -. 5 |
| Hholesale trade. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10.621 | 10.891 | 10.931 | 11.031 | 11.021 | 11.06 | 4 |
| Ketail trade......................... | 6.691 9.77 | 6.84 | 16.871 | 6.851 10.27 | 6.881 10.19 | 6.88 10.21 |  |
|  | 9.771 | 10.11 9.96 | 10.121 9.991 | 10.271 10.07 | 10.19 10.061 | 10.21 10.10 | 2 |

$\frac{1}{2}$ S See footnote lip table B-2.
Indo Earners ond Clerical Workers ( C $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{H}$ ) HEnd to deflate this geries
3' Chinge was to. 3 percent from December
aveiloijering by assuring that over-tine hours are milid at the :ate of time and one-

p. = ntolimanary

## STABLISHRENT DATA

Table B-5. Indexes of aggreget weekly hours of profuction or nonsupervisory workersl/ on private nonform payrolls by industry
11982:100)

| Industry | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fsb. | ivec. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jon } \\ & \text { ig } \end{aligned}$ | Fab. <br> $1991 \mathbf{R}^{\prime}$ | Feb. | joct. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $i_{1990}^{0.0}$ | $\text { jan }{ }^{199 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ | ${ }_{199 \mathbf{I E P}^{\prime}}$ |
| Totel privete | 1120.51 | 125.4 | 118.5 | 118.6 | [124.4] | 123.11 | 23.9 | 124.01 | 121.9 | 122.3 |
| Goods-producing industries | 106.8 | 107.8 | 100.4 | 99.3 | 112.1 | $108.0 \mid$ | 107.01 | 107.01 | 104.0 | 104.1. |
| Mining | 62.6 | 69.21 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 64.91 | 66.61 | 67.9 | 68.1 | 67.0 | 68.4 |
| Construction | 125.31 | 131.01 | 109.0 | 109.7 | 147.71 | 132.1 | 135.6 | 135.01 | 123.5 | 129.4 |
| Manufacturing | 105.8 | 105.41 | 101.0 | 99.3 | 1107.61 | 105.61 | 103.5 | 103.51 | 102.2 | 101.0 |
| Durable goods | 105.8 | 104.1 | 99.4 | 97.3 | 107.21 | 1104.61 | 101.8 | 101.91 | 100.4 | 98.6 |
| Lumber and wood product | 125.2 | 122.51 | 115.9 | 111.6 | 1131.51 | 126.11 | 1122.9 | 123.31 | 120.3 | 117.4 |
| Furniture and fixtures.. | 1125.6 | 122.1 | 116.0 | 109.9 | 1127.01 | 121.41 | 118.01 | 117.71 | 116.5 | 111.4 |
| Stone, clay, and olass pro | 1104.81 | 1104.31 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 1113.31 | 1105.91 | 106.21 | 106.21 | 101.4 | 102.6 |
| Primery metal industries.... | 92.11 | 91.21 80.7 | 89.0 78.5 | 86.3 75.1 | 92.61 80.3 | 92.7 <br> 81 <br> 1 | 80.91 | 89.51 80.2 | 89.2 | 86.7 75.6 |
| Fobriceted metel products........ | 106.01 | 106.51 | 101.4 | 98.7 | 107.41 | 105.91 | 103.5 | 103.81 | 102.5 | 100.6 |
| Industriol machimery and equipment | 99.7 | 98.01 | 96.7 | 93.1 | 199.4 | 96.81 | 95.41 | 95.51 | 94.3 | 93.0 |
| EJeetronic and other electrical equipsent |  | 1107.51 | 103.0 | 100.8 | \|1119.31 | 1106.11 120.0 | 104.91 | 1104.61 | 102.0 | 101.4 |
| Metor vehicles and equip | 125.4 | 118.31 | 109.9 | 106.7 | 125.51 | 127.21 | 109.3 | 114.8 | 113.8 | 108.4 |
| Instruments and related product | 87.6 | 87.31 | 84.1 | 84.2 | 88.21 | 84. ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 84.31 | 84.71 | ${ }_{4}^{4.3}$ | 84.5 |
| Nisecllaneous manufaturing.. | 103.4 | 101.81 | 97.6 | 98.4 | 105.61 | 103.8 | 102.11 | 100.7 | 100.8 | 100.3 |
| Nondurable goods | 105.81 | 107.21 | 103.1 | 102.2 | 108.01 | 106.91 | 106.01 | 105.81 | 104.8 | 104.5 |
| Food and kindred prod | 101.71 | 110.61 | 104.8 | 102.8 | 1108.31 | 108.91 | 109.11 | 109.91 | 109.3 | 109.5 |
|  |  |  | 70.6 | 65.1 | 167.6 |  | 66.81 |  |  |  |
| Textile mill products. | 101.01 | 95.91 90.7 | 84.0 | 91.8 88.3 | 102.21 | 97.6 91.3 | 86.21 | 95.31 89.8 | 94.8 88 | 83.1 |
| Papare and silized products | 108.8 | 112.21 | 109.0 | 107.3 | 110.31 | 111.41 | 110.2 |  | 109.2 | 188.8 |
| Printine ond publishino | 127.5 | 129.11 | 124.5 | 123.9 | 128.11 | 127.8 | 126.7 | 126.2 | 125.3 | 124.4 |
| Chemiesls and slilied produe | 103.9 | 105.11 | 101.2 | 101.8 | 104.4 | 103.91 | 103.5 | 103.91 | 101.8 | 102.5 |
| Petroleum and cosi products | 83.7 | 85.91 | 181.7 | 14.6 117 | 888.41 | $8{ }^{86}$ 81 | 92.01 | ${ }^{86}$ | 85.6 | 89.6 |
| Rubber and migc. plastics prody | 123.6 62.1 | 123.31 56.01 | 119.8 53.9 | 117.2 | 125.01 63.11 | 125.2\| | 122.21 | 121.4 | 120.4 55.0 | 118.3 53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sorvice-producing indust | 126.71 | 133.21 | 126.6 | 127.3 | 129.91 | 129.9 | 130.8 | 1131.7 | 129.9 | 130.5 |
| Trensportetion and public utilit | 111.7 | 118.01 | 112.6 | 111.9 | 114.21 | 114.41 | 115.4 | 116.41 | 115.8 | 114.6 |
| Whalesale trede | 117.2 | 119.3 | 115.7 | 115.0 | 119.1 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 119.1 | 117.3 | 116.9 |
| Ratail t | 118.6 | 129.71 | 116.7 | 116.4 | 124.4 | 122.5 | 123 | 123.31 | 121.5 | 122.2 |
| Finance, insurance, and real extata | 120.91 | 123.01 | 120.0 | 120.3 | 122.21 | 121.2 | 122.01 | 123.61 | 121.5 | 121.6 |
| Serviess | 141.51 | 147.21 | 142.9 | 145.3 | 143.8 | 145.7 | 146.91 | 14t.21 | 146.3 | 147.7 |

Table B-6. Diffusion indexes of employment change. Fomsonslly adjusted

| . | Timespen | Jan. | fab. | Mar. | Apr. | Hay | Juna | July | Avo. | Sept | Det. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private nontarm payralls, 356 industriesi' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 588.0 | 579.9 | 55.655.8 | 57.3 | 55 | 57.7 | 50.045.8 | 53.242.3 | 59.6$\$ 8.4$ | 56.6 |
|  |  | 64.5 35 | 58.7 58.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8/41.2 | 2/39.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over | 3-month mpan 1 |  | 54.2 | 560.0 | 50.1 | 59.7 | 58.353.3 | 59.7 | 54.545.2 | 55.2 | 55.836.8 | 57.735.0 | $\mathrm{P}^{-60.3}$ |
|  |  | 65.3 58.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1991... | 2/31.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dvar | ${ }^{6}$-month spant | 67.6 | 65.456.5 | 65.0 | 61.055.9 | 61.2 | 58.748.3 | 575.9 | 589.9 | 56.236.2 | $\begin{array}{r}38.3 \\ \hline 3.4\end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}57.4 \\ \hline 31: 5\end{array}$ | 58.4 |
|  | $1989 . . .$. 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over | 12 month spen. | 67.1 | 67.754.1 | 65.354.1 | 64.650.0 | 64.9 | $6{ }_{63}{ }_{6} .2$ | $\begin{array}{r}60.0 \\ \hline 840.4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 59.8 } \\ \hline \text { - } 34.1\end{array}$ | 58.6 | 57.3 | 56.7 | 56.0 |
|  | $1989 .$. 1990 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Manufacturins payrolla, 139 indultriesk' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60.442.4$2 / 36.9$ | 48.645.7$x^{3} .1$ | \$30.4 | $47.1$ | 45.3 | 45.740.3 | $45 \cdot 0$ | 45.740.6 | 34.2 | 48.636.3 | 43.525.2 | 48.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| over | S-manth asen: |  | $\begin{array}{r}54.0 \\ 40.3 \\ \hline 22.7\end{array}$ | 54.7 | 45.3 | $43.9$ | 43.2 | 42.8 | 41.7 | 33.1 | 36.329.1 | 34.921.2 | 42.7 | 5 39.2 |
|  | 1989 $1890 . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1991. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| over | 6-month mpant | 56.537.1 | 35.6 | 36.3 | 43.5 | 42.1 | 37.1 | 36.7 | 34.9 | 34.221.9 | -35.3 | R-17.1 | 36.0 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1989 \\ 1989 . \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $19981 . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over | 12-month apen | 53.631.3 | 55.9 | 39.3 | 45.327.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 43.9 \\ 21.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.9 \\ & 18.0 \end{aligned}$ | 18-37.19 | $\begin{array}{r}35.6 \\ \hline 15.8\end{array}$ | 33.8 | 32.4 | 30.4 | 31.9 |  |
|  | $1980 . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Senator Sarbanes. Thank you very much, Commissioner.
We're at 6.5 percent unemployment for the month of February. That's the highest rate since when?

When was the unemployment rate last at that figure, or higher?
Mrs. Norwood. March 1987, when it was 6.6 percent.
Senator Sarbanes. Now, you say on page 4 that adult men bore the brunt of the February increase in unemployment, and that the jobless rate rose sharply, by seven-tenths of a percentage point to 6.3 percent.

In historical terms, is a seven-tenths of a percent jump in the unemployment rate for adult men . . . is that at the high end of the scale in terms of a jump?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes, it certainly is.
I think it should be noted, however, that it only went up onetenth last month, and then two-tenths the month before.

And so what we are having, I would say, is kind of a catch-up of some of the tremendous declines we've seen, particularly in manufacturing jobs, which clearly affected adult men.

Senator Sarbanes. Then, you say the jobless rates were little changed for adult women, teenagers, blacks and Hispanics.

What are those rates?
Mrs. Norwood. For women, they're 5.4 percent. And for teenagers, 17.1. The white rate, which did go up this month, is 5.9 percent. It was 5.5 the month before.
The black rate is 11.8 . It's been around 12 percent for a long time. And the Hispanic rate is 9.5 .

Senator Sarbanes. Now, this is a recession that is now reaching all sectors of the work force, I take it, is what these figures show. Is that correct? Particularly now with the significant jump in the jobless rate for adult men.

Mrs. Norwood. That's correct.
I think what we are seeing also is, first, a slowdown and now some declines in the service-producing sector, which usually is not as much affected.

Senator Sarbanes. Now, would you expect in a downturn for the unemployment rate to be moving the way it's been moving?
In other words, we tend to use June as the reference figure, with 5.3 percent. That's the lowest it's been in recent times.

I notice that it went to 5.5 in July, 5.6 in August, 5.7 in September, 5.7 in October. And then it really has started to move from there. It's gone from 5.7 to 6.5 percent in 4 months' time.

Is that correct?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. Is the kind of pattern you ordinarily encounter in a downturn?

Mrs. Norwood. As we've discussed before several times, what is different this year is the very slow growth in the labor force, which of course has a downward pull on the unemployment rate.

Over the last year, for example, from February to February, we only had a little more than 438,000 increase in the labor force.

Adult women, in particular, seemed to be showing some change in their labor force behavior. I'm not sure how long that will last. But, it is clear from our review that our staff has done, and the change in birth rates by age cohort, that there seems to be at least
some correlation between the child-bearing ages and the increase in the birth rate.

And, therefore, I would suppose the decline in the labor force-I believe it's temporary.

So I think the fact that we have had fewer women and, clearly, many fewer teenagers than we have had for the last decade or more, has meant that we haven't had to create as many jobs for as many people to put to work. And, as a result, we haven't seen the kind of unemployment rate increases that would have occurred under different conditions in the sixties and seventies.

Senator Sarbanes. What that means though, I take it, is that the unemployment figures then are reflecting people who have had jobs and lost them. If, in comparison to the previous downturns, you now have not as many people coming into the work force, being drawn into the work force, so the unemployment figures are not related as closely to additions to the work force, that the unemployment figure is then more closely related to people who have had jobs and lost them.

Would that be correct?
Mrs. Norwood. Clearly, the most recent data are showing that it is the job losers who are responsible for most of the change.

You know, if you look at this recession and compare it, say, to the 1981-82 recession, you find that the unemployment rate in the 1981-82 recession went up about 1 point to 8 points. In this recession, it's gone up 1 point to 2 points.

The adult men have not yet had as large an increase as they had then. Neither have the adult women. In fact, many of the groups have not had as large because we haven't had as large an increase overall.

Senator Sarbanes. My time is up. Let me just put one other question to you.

The part-time workers, of course, are not included within this unemployment rate figure, as I understand it. So, that the people who want to work fulltime and are working part-time, that's not reflected in the unemployment figures.

You say they now stand at over 6 million, the number of such workers?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Senator Sarbanes. And that's the highest level since $1983 ?$
Mrs. Norwood. That's right. They are, of course, included with fulltime job seekers in one of the unemployment rates, you know. We have a whole range of unemployment rates, what we call U-6.

In February, that number was 9.1 percent.
Senator Sarbanes. What would it have been, say, 6 months ago?
Mrs. Norwood. In December, it was 8.4 and it was somewhere around 7.3 in the first quarter of 1990 . And it ranged in there and began going up in the third quarter of 1990 .

In 1989, it was 7.2 percent. So, it's gone up a couple of points. But, that's what you would expect as the economy turns down, that the part-time for economic reasons, that is, the employers cutting back on hours a bit, even though we have higher hours in manufacturing than we have seen in very early periods of business cycles.

That is, in cycles in earlier periods.

Well, I guess that's it.
Senator Sarbanes. Congressman Armey.
Representative Armey. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Mrs. Norwood, I have just, in fact, today joined this committee. And although I know our Republican staff could have given me some of these answers, I'm sort of playing catch-up, since it's been some time since I looked at labor statistics.

But, I recall, in my old days, that we used to always begin by looking at unemployment by first sort of defining what we called structural unemployment.

Has that number changed?
What are the terms we are using now?
Mrs. Norwood. As you well know, there are many different definitions of structural unemployment. Clearly, one might look at the job losers as a percent of the work force. And that's a little bit under 4 percent.

Or, one might look at older workers, 25 and older, and that's about 5.3 percent.

Another way to look at that is to try to look at the minority population, many of whom have very serious structural problems. We've got black youth with unemployment rates in the 35 percent range.

So, there are a series of problems that are very hard to separate out.

Representative Armey. What you're saying is that there is a certain level of unemployment beyond which you cannot go. And, certainly, one of the components in there, which I suppose was the good news component, was the voluntary unemployed workers, moving between jobs.
I would have guessed, for example, in good times, your number of unemployed that are these voluntary workers would be a greater percentage of the whole than it would be in bad times.
But, we used to also pick some numbers. As I recall; something around 4.5 percent, which was sort of the minimum achievable level of unemployment.
Do you still have or operate on such a base number as this?
Mrs. Norwood. Some people do. We don't operate on any base. We just operate with what we get.

Representative Armey. I was struck by the fact, as you pointed out, that at this level of unemployment, where we see the change, that the increased unemployment of some 450,000 was more out of job losers.

Mrs. Norwood. That's right.
Representative Armey. My point is, when you get to this level, that's what you would expect, isn't it?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, it is. And there has been a corresponding decline in the number of job leavers.
Representative Armey. That's right.
I'm also a little curious and, again, I'm playing a little bit of catch-up. I wonder, are you able to give me sort of a thumbnail sketch on how you measure productivity.
Is this, I mean, how sophisticated is your model?
What are the variables?

Mrs. Norwood. Sure. We'd be glad to do that. I think perhaps the best way to do that would be for me to submit a statement to you in a letter or for the record, or both.

Basically, we get the data for output. We rely on the national accounts, the people in the Bureau of Economic Analysis, and, at times, the Federal Reserve Board Indexes.

We use the basic survey data, both from the current population survey and our business survey, to get at the labor costs.

Representative Armey. Is it essentially then an effort to find output per manhour by way of dollar measures?

Mrs. Norwood. Traditionally, our productivity measures have focused on labor productivity. But, several years ago, we began a very important program in looking at multi-factor productivity. And there we include things like capital, energy and we are expanding that work to include raw materials.

Representative Armey. It has been my contention and, again, remember, I have moved from the classroom to something adjacent to the real world when I came to Washington, so I understand perhaps there are some foibles in my own thinking.

But, my impression has been over the years that there is a secular trend in the American economy for productivity to increase. Add that the impetus for that comes primarily from technological innovation and is somewhat mitigated by what I call sociopolitical innovations, such as the mandates on the work force, and so forth, that would essentially give you people getting the same or more money for less work by virtue of some such thing.

Therefore, I'd be very interested in how that works out, in fact. And, perhaps if you could put a study in the record to help me test this out?

Mrs. Norwood. Sure.
[The information was furnished directly to Representative Armey.]

Representative Armey. I want to express my appreciation for the good work that you do. And I'm sure your staff has developed a lot of good innovations. And I'll be real pleased perhaps if you could share some of that.

I will continue to be fascinated with this whole question of measuring productivity.

Mrs. Norwood. I'll be very happy to do that.
And, also, if it would be of value to you, we'd be glad to come over and talk to you about it.

May I also say that it's a pleasure to have someone from Texas on the committee to appear before. I have very strong ties in Texas, since I have a son whose living there.

Representative Armey. Where?
Mrs. Norwood. In Austin.
Representative Armey. Unfortunately, he doesn't have the benefit of my representation.
[Laughter.]
Representative Armey. But he does have Jake Pickle. And I think we'll all agree it's hard to improve on that.

So, your son is in good hands. Thank you.
Senator Sarbanes. He has the benefit of Texas.

## Congressman Hamilton.

Representative Hamilton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Mrs. Norwood, I've often had the experience of coming here and listening to your rather antiseptic and surgical analysis of these numbers. And then I go out and listen to the television and the newspaper reporters, and they get an awful lot more out of it than I do in hearing you give the numbers.
I'm trying to understand just what the significance of this jump is- -6.2 to 6.5 . Is that something that was totally expected?

Is it grim news? Is it going to send the stock market plunging tomorrow?

What's going to happen with this news?
Mrs. Norwood. I must say that I am always perplexed about the movement of the stock market. Frequently, I think things look pretty good and the stock market plunges, and vice-versa.

So I have no way of knowing what will happen in the financial markets.

Senator Sarbanes. If I could just interject, we often get the ultimate demonstration of the separation of Wall Street from Main Street. We get employment news that one would think would show difficulties in the economy, and the market goes up.
They figure: If you get bad economic situations, the Fed is going to ease the interest rates, and the cost of money is going to go down. And, therefore, the market goes up. Because more people are going to be off work, money is going to be cheaper and, therefore, the stock market is going to go up. And, vice-versa.
It's often a very, as I perceive it at least, perverse correlation.
But, I don't expect you to respond to that.
[Laughter.]
Mrs. Norwood. Let me say about unemployment that I would prefer not to focus on this 1 month jump because I believe that some of that really happened a month or so ago. I think that the survey moves in fits and starts. But, the important point is that, a year ago, the unemployment rate was 5.2 percent. And it's now-or 5.3 rather, the civilian rate-and it's now 6.5. And that's a lot of unemployment. Eight point two million people is a lot of people who are unemployed. We've lost a lot of jobs.

And the goods-producing sector has been losing jobs really for several years, particularly manufacturing.

And when you see the service-producing sector flattening out and, in many cases, going downward, it becomes somewhat worrying.

Representative Hamilron. What does this report tell us with regard to the current recession?
Does it give us any information about that recession?
Is it continuing? Is it coming to an end? Is it going to go deeper?
Can you read anything about the recession into these unemployment figures?

Mrs. Norwood. We have to remember that the data we're reporting on today refer to the week containing the 12th of the month of February. And I think all they say is that the economy, at least the labor market, is reflecting the economy where it was continuing to head downward at that time.

That doesn't mean that that will continue all month. We'll have to wait until next month's set of data to see.
Representative Hamilton. Would you call this news this morning grim news?
Mrs. Norwood. It's certainly not good news, yes.
Representative Hamilton. Who is it that's bearing the brunt of this unemployment?
You singled out adult males in your statement this time. But, let's take a look at that 5.2 to 6.5 you mentioned a moment ago, or 5.3.

Who is it that really bears the brunt of that?
Mrs. Norwood. You can look at it in several ways. One way is to look at what has happened during the last 8 months. If you look at that, you see that everybody has suffered unemployment, that adult men and whites have had a bigger increase than blacks, for example, and than women.

On the other hand, if you look, as I think you should, at the whole set of unemployment data, and you see that the unemployment rate for blacks in the population is around 12 percent, 11.8 percent, and has been there for a very long time. And the black teenage rate is in the 35 percent range. And if you look at the converse and look at the employment population ratios, you see that you have some very real difficulties there. Whether they have been exacerbated more by the recession, they have somewhat.

So, I don't think that we can just look at what is happening in the recession. We have to look at where we start.

Representative Hamilon. Is this recession like other recessions in that the people that are hurt most-I think this is correct. Correct me if I'm wrong-are the young, unskilled workers, the young workers, the minority workers?
Are they the ones who are really taking it on the chin here, as usual, in a recession?

Mrs. Norwood. I believe that unskilled workers are taking it on the chin, generally, and will continue to do so because I think that the growth of jobs in this country is going to be for those who have more education and training rather than for those in the jobs that require less education.
It is true, however, that during the last 8 months, there has been a larger increase in unemployment for whites than for blacks, for example.

Representative Hamilton. What are the regional implications of your statistics with respect to unemployment? What sections of the country are doing relatively well? And which ones are not?

You mentioned Texas a moment ago. The release shows the highest unemployment areas are in metropolitan areas in California and Texas.

What are your general observations with regard to the regional impact of these unemployment statistics?

Mr. Plewes. I can try that, sir.
I think, in terms of Texas, just over this last month or 2, in fact the Texas economy has gotten better. And I think it is because of fallout from what's happening in the Gulf in terms of both the oil and gas machinery and the oil and gas industry itself.

But there has been a differential impact certainly among the States and among the Regions. And what that has tended to do is to bring together to limit the dispersion in the unemployment rates together for most parts of the country.

We've gotten to that by having very large differences in the employment loss numbers. For example, we've lost 1 percent of the jobs over the last year in the nation. The Northeast has lost 2.6 percent of its jobs; New England, 3.1 percent; the Middle-Atlantic States, 2.5 percent.

Representative Hamilton. What State has the highest unemployment rate in the country?

Mr. Plewes. Right now, I think it's probably still West Virginia, sir. But, I could look that up.

Representative Hamilton. That's all right.
Mr. Plewes. The East North-Central wasn't affected for a while. That's the Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, Indiana area. Now, that's gone up 1.3 percent.

West North-Central-I'm sorry-we've lost 1.3 percent of their jobs.

The bread basket of the country has actually gained jobs over this period. It's gained about 1 percent in jobs.

The South Atlantic has lost about 2 percent.
South Central--
Representative Hamilton. The bread basket is what? The Great Plains States?

Mr. Plewes. Yes, sir. We're talking about Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota.

Mrs. Norwood. That's mainly because the changes in unemployment rates are usually very much affected by whatever happens in the economic conditions in particular industries.

In this country, industries are located in particular parts of the country.

Representative Hamilton. Before concluding, let me ask you some questions along the lines of Mr. Armey's questions on productivity.

The data released on Wednesday show productivity in the nonfarm business sector down in 1990. It was down in 1989.

Is that correct? Two years in a row, you've had a decline in productivity?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. How long in this country has it been since we've had 2 years in a row decline in productivity?

Mrs. Norwood. Let me ask Dr. Guinn.
Mr. Guinn. I suspect it was in the middle seventies, but it would take a minute to dig that out.

Representative Hamilton. See if it's 1979 and 1980.
Anyway, it's a fairly unusual event, right?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Hamilton. Why is it that productivity went down these last 2 years? What happens there?

Mrs. Norwood. Part of it, I think, is that we have such a vigorous increase in jobs in the service-producing sector during the expansionary period. We've done much better in manufacturing be-
cause, in manufacturing, we were reducing employment faster than we were reducing production.

So, we produced more with fewer people.
Representative Hamilton. You don't have the rise in productivity in the service sector that you have in the manufacturing sector.

Is that right?
Mrs. Norwood. I think probably not within the service sector as a whole. We should be careful, however, not to characterize that as all one, because there are parts of the service-producing sector, like the telephone industry, which are doing extremely well.

Representative Hamilton. If you look at these productivity figures, does it tell you anything about future growth in productivity? Are there figures here that would suggest to you that we're going to have a very strong or a very weak or whatever productivity performance in the next several years?

Mrs. Norwood. I believe that, when you look at the productivity figures for manufacturing and you also look at what has been done in eliminating inefficient plants, for example, and methods of production, that we are somewhat tighter. And, therefore, I think becoming more competitive in that area.

I think that has been reflected to some extent in the increase in our exports.

The rest of the economy, it seems to me, is more spotty. It depends upon the particular industries. Some of them are doing well and others are not.

Obviously, the most labor-intensive are the ones that labor costs are a very high proportion of total costs.

Representative Hamilton. Thank you.
Senator Sarbanes. Commissioner, I had just a couple of more questions I wanted to put to you.

How long does a downturn usually last on the basis of our historical experience?

Mrs. Norwood. You're having as one of your witnesses, I recollect, Jeffrey Moore, who is certainly an expert in that area. But, generally speaking, the expansion periods on average have lasted about 3 years, and the contractions about 1 year.

But, that's an average, and there are a lot of differences from one period to another.

Senator Sarbanes. How long did the 1981-82 downturn last? That's the last one we've had.

Is that correct?
Mrs. Norwood. About 18 months. The average is a little more than a year.

Senator Sarbanes. How long on that downturn did it take us before we got back to the unemployment level at the beginning of the downturn?

In other words, I'm interested not only in how long it takes to reach the bottom, but then how long, in addition, it takes to come back up to where you were before the downward trend began.
[Pause.]
Mrs. Norwood. Fourteen months, something like that.
Senator Sarbanes. Fourteen additional months.
Mrs. Norwood. After you first noticed this.

Senator Sarbanes. So, in effect, you're talking about almost a 3year period from the time you go down to get back up to where you were.

Is that correct?
Mrs. Norwood. Yes. Perhaps, longer.
Senator Sarbanes. Longer?
Mrs. Norwood. A bit longer.
Senator Sarbanes. When did the 1981 downturn begin according to your calculations?

Mrs. Norwood. July.
Senator Sarbanes. July of 1981? What was the unemployment figure then?

Mr. Plewes. Seven point two percent. It got up to 10.8 percent at the trough in November of 1982.

Senator Sarbanes. So, in July of 1981, it was 7.2 percent. And, in November or December?

Mr. Plewes. November or December, November was the official trough, and that was 10.8 percent.

Senator Sarbanes. So it went from 7.2 to 10.8 percent over that roughly 18 -month period.

When did it next get back to 7.2 percent? .
Mr. Plewes. June 1984.
Senator Sarbanes. June of 1984. So that's 3 years. Is that about standard, or is that longer than standard?

Mrs. Norwood. Contraction periods vary. You have the 1980 period. If it isn't added to the 1981-82 period, the 1980 period was 6 months early. We've had some at 8 months. We've had some at 10 months, and longer.

So, they vary.
Mr. Plewes. In the 1975-76 recession, we never got back to where we were in the beginning, before we went into that 1980 recession.

Senator Sarbanes. Congressman Armey.
Representative Armey. Thank you, Senator Sarbanes.
I still remain intrigued with productivity. And I want to thank Mr. Hamilton for keeping the discussion alive. I know that I tend to be fairly conceptual and do need to catch up with your data base and your data analysis. But, it is fascinating to me that we have apparently a sort of dramatic, recent change in productivity.

Let's see if I understand correctly.
Productivity is increasing at a decreasing rate for the first time since perhaps the late seventies.

Is that right?
Mrs. Norwood. 1973.
Representative Armey. If I am correct in my understanding that the practice of science and engineering increases productivity and the practice of law and politics decreases productivity, and if, in fact, then productivity gains come from the bringing on line of new technology through new capital investments, wouldn't the repeal of the preferential capital gains tax in 1986 have a big impact then on our ability to sustain a consistently respectable growth in productivity?

Mrs. Norwood. Well, I leave it to you, Congressman, to determine the effects of those acts.

Representative Armey. But, the statistical data correlations would be there, in which case, I, as a politician, can draw whatever conclusion fits my pre-conceived position.
I really am very fascinated.
Apparently, then, you also have a regression model and a data base by which you can--

Mrs. Norwood. Absolutely.
Representative Armey. I'm very fascinated to see that, and I'm sure our Republican staff probably has a good deal of that already.
Mrs. Norwood. Yes, I believe so.
Representative Armey. Can I ask you back more on the data base, it's my understanding that the manufacturing industry, as a share of the American economy, has remained quite constant throughout the eighties.

Is that correct?
Mrs. Norwood. We have discussed this many, many times. One has to distinguish between employment in manufacturing and production in manufacturing.

We have seen a larger decline in employment certainly than we have in production.

Representative Armey. But if, in fact, there is an increase in production and productivity is increasing and employment is going down, these would all fit pretty well?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Armey. How about inflation? And I'm sorry. I keep going back to productivity.
Mrs. Norwood. We're responsible for inflation measurement, too.
Representative Armey. You obviously have to make some indication of the input of labor capital against the value of output. If inflation is in there, do you somehow adjust for inflation in that process on both sides?

Mrs. Norwood. Yes.
Representative Armey. If you repealed capital gains, the preferential rate of the cost of capital goes up. So you would obviously have to adjust on that side.

And, of course, if you impose new mandates on employment, then you raise your labor costs.

One other thing. Another thing you said that intrigued me. One of the other ways in which we would obtain productivity gains would be to shut down obsolete plants, obsolete bases, or things of this nature. And thereby leave more of the production burden on the hands of the more modern plant.
Would passage of such a law as plant closing, which would impede our ability to retire obsolete facilities, have this perverse impact on productivity that we are seeing showing up?

Mrs. Norwood. I'm not aware of any data which really show that. I have no opinion.
Representative Armey. We could gather the data on plant closings, and so forth, to see if, in fact, there's been some recent change.

Mrs. Norwood. One could do that, but I'm not sure that you can determine a causal relationship among many of these variables.
Representative Armey. My experience is as an academic, that one gets the correlation. The causal relationship depends on the
strength of the assertion, which one has had the privilege of dealing with.

Thank you.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Mrs. Norwood. May I just say, for those of us in the statistical system, that's one of our problems.
Representative Armey. I appreciate that.
Senator Sarbanes. I just had this note of warning. As I listened to Congressman Armey, particularly this last thing, if you can show some kind of correlation behind the statistics, you can then assert your causal relationship.

There's a wonderful story about, in England, at the end of the War, they'd had no bananas because they couldn't ship bananas into the country, because of the blockade.
And they got in a shipment of bananas. And a mother gave her daughter and son, who were going up to London, the first bananas they'd ever seen.

And she said, "This is a big treat, and you're to eat this Banana at the end of your lunch on the train."
So they were riding up to London on the train and they ate their sandwich. And then, the girl, who was the older one, was in charge, peeled her banana. And she took a bite of her banana just as the train went into the tunnel, and everything went dark.
And she screamed in a loud voice to her brother. She said, "My God, Billy," she says, "don't eat your banana. You'll go blind."
[Laughter.]
Senator Sarbanes. So much for causal connections.
We thank you very much for your testimony.
[Whereupon, at 10:30 a.m., the committee was adjourned.]
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    'The mathematical retationship between flow. duration. and the unemployment rate is discussed in Ronald S. Warten. Jr.. "Measuring the flow and duration as jobless rate components." Monthly Luber Reviex: March 1977. pp. 71-72.
    'For a discussion of the issues involved in measuring the duration of unemployment, see Norman Bowers. "Probing the issues of unemploy-
    ment duratiun." Monthily Lutur Revieu: Jul: 1950. pp. 23-32.
    ${ }^{4}$ The 1949 recessum is nut included here beciause bls data. dating to 1948. cannot be used to identity the "pretecessium low."
    'Dats on the prubabdey of laber torice withdrawal and of tinding a job cume frum the Curten Pupulation Suney gross thuws data. Annual avcrapes are used to improue the relizbilty of the extimates.
    *In the monthly crs. a period of 2 weekis ur mure during which a person is cither empluyed ur ceases job scarch is considered a break in a spell of unemployment.

[^11]:    2 Civilien employment as a percent of the civilian noninstitutional popuration.

[^12]:    Sen foctncres ar enc of tate.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exeludes persont whit a joo bul not al work" during the survey period for
    ouch ramens as vacation, iltnets. or industrial dispute.

[^14]:     arbtititution of Federal tund alocation propratis. 2 The population fogures tre not ediustiod for tacisonal variation; theretore,

